Message: Tip Sheet regarding off duty conduct policies

Case Information:

Message Type:

Exchange

Message Direction:

Internal

Case:

IWD Senator Petersen Request - Version 3

Capture Date:

7/10/2014 1:32:28 PM

Item ID:

40861759

Policy Action:

Not Specified

Mark History:

No reviewing has been done

Policies:

No Policies attached

Tip Sheet regarding off duty conduct policies

From Hendricksmeyer, Bonny

Date Friday, November 08, 2013 11:24

AM

To

Wahlert, Teresa [IWD]

Cc

tipsheet.doc (33 Kb HTML)

[IWD]

Off Duty Conduct

Disqualification for off-duty conduct is guided by the decision in *Kleidosty v. Employment Appeal Board*, 482 N.W.2d 416 (Iowa 1992). In that case the claimant was disqualified from unemployment benefits as a result of her being convicted of selling cocaine off duty at her home. That case rested on the employer's policy specifically prohibiting any "illegal, immoral or indecent conduct" on or off the premises and whether or not on company time.

The Supreme Court emphasized this specific rule as the reason for the disqualification. The rule was broad, general and all encompassing.

It was distinguished from rules specifically prohibiting illegal conduct on company time or premises or at events in which the employer was a sponsor or participant. In such situations the claimant would be acting as a representative of the employer before clients or customers or acting directly under the auspices of the employer.

Kleidosty did not require a showing of adverse impact on the employer, simply a violation the rule prohibiting illegal, immoral or indecent conduct.

Rules that are less general require a showing of specific negative impact on the employer for off duty conduct to be misconduct and result in disqualification.

TIPS:

ONE: Have a policy which is all encompassing and very general such as the employer in the *Kleidosty* case, and not a policy which is limited to "time or place."

TWO: The policy should state clearly what will happen to the employee for violation of the rule.

THREE: Firmly establish the employee has received the policy. This is best accomplished by having employees sign and date a form which acknowledges they have received the employee handbook and are responsible for the contents. This should be done again whenever the employee handbook is updated.

Message: RE: Tip Sheet regarding off duty conduct policies

Case Information:

Message Type: Exchange Message Direction: Internal

Case: IWD Senator Petersen Request - Version 3

Capture Date: 7/10/2014 1:32:28 PM

Item ID: 40861762
Policy Action: Not Specified

Mark History:

No reviewing has been done

Policies:

No Policies attached

RE: Tip Sheet regarding off duty conduct policies

From Hendricksmeyer, Bonny **Date** Friday, November 08, 2013 4:36

[IWD] PM

To Wahlert, Teresa [IWD]

Cc

These are the only "tips" I know to give. The bank could not have done anything in this case because the flaw was in their written policy. It all goes back to what the policy says. My tip sheet says what the policy should say. It must be broad and all encompassing as in the cited case law.

If you want to discuss this further I will be working in the office next week we can meet and you can give me a better idea of that for which you are looking in this tip sheet.

From: Wahlert, Teresa [IWD]

Sent: Friday, November 08, 2013 2:50 PM

To: Hendricksmeyer, Bonny [IWD]

Subject: Re: Tip Sheet regarding off duty conduct policies

We don't really need the case law. I do not understand how these tips would have assisted the bank - so there must be more to add

- Teresa Wahlert

On Nov 8, 2013, at 11:24 AM, "Hendricksmeyer, Bonny [IWD]"

<Bonny.Hendricksmeyer@iwd.iowa.gov> wrote:

<tipsheet.doc>

Message: RE: Tip Sheet regarding off duty conduct policies

Case Information:

Message Type:

Exchange

Message Direction:

Internal

Case:

IWD Senator Petersen Request - Version 3

Capture Date:

7/10/2014 1:32:28 PM

Item ID:

40861767

Policy Action:

Not Specified

Mark History:

No reviewing has been done

Policies:

No Policies attached

RE: Tip Sheet regarding off duty conduct policies

From

Hendricksmeyer, Bonny

Date Saturday, November 09, 2013 1:33

PM

[IWD] **To** Wahle

Wahlert, Teresa [IWD]

Cc

I have run it past Devon Lewis and Steve Wise and incorporated their suggestions. I will send it to all the ALJs to see if they have any further input.

From: Wahlert, Teresa [IWD]

Sent: Friday, November 08, 2013 4:46 PM

To: Hendricksmeyer, Bonny [IWD]

Subject: Re: Tip Sheet regarding off duty conduct policies

Do any if your co-workers have ideas?

- Teresa Wahlert

On Nov 8, 2013, at 4:36 PM, "Hendricksmeyer, Bonny [IWD]" < Bonny.Hendricksmeyer@iwd.iowa.gov> wrote:

These are the only "tips" I know to give. The bank could not have done anything in this case because the flaw was in their written policy. It all goes back to what the policy says. My tip sheet says what the policy should say. It must be broad and all encompassing as in the cited case law.

If you want to discuss this further I will be working in the office next week we can meet and you can give me a better idea of that for which you are looking in this tip sheet.

From: Wahlert, Teresa [IWD]

Sent: Friday, November 08, 2013 2:50 PM

To: Hendricksmeyer, Bonny [IWD]

Subject: Re: Tip Sheet regarding off duty conduct policies

We don't really need the case law. I do not understand how these tips would have assisted the bank - so there must be more to add

- Teresa Wahlert

On Nov 8, 2013, at 11:24 AM, "Hendricksmeyer, Bonny [IWD]" <Bonny.Hendricksmeyer@iwd.iowa.gov> wrote:

<tipsheet.doc>

Message: RE: Policy

Case Information:

Message Type:

Exchange

Message Direction:

Internal

Case:

IWD Senator Petersen Request - Version 3

Capture Date:

7/10/2014 1:32:27 PM

Item ID:

40861741

Policy Action:

Not Specified

Mark History:

No reviewing has been done

Policies:

No Policies attached

⊠ RE: Policy

From Hendricksmeyer, Bonny [IWD]

Date Tuesday, November

05, 2013 10:19 AM

To

Wahlert, Teresa [IWD]

Cc

Lewis, Devon [IWD]; Wise, Steve [IWD];

Hillary, Teresa [IWD]

But it was not a "company sponsored social gathering," as specifically stated in the written policy. But I will comply and do the tip sheet.

----Original Message----

From: Wahlert, Teresa [IWD]

Sent: Tuesday, November 05, 2013 10:17 AM

To: Hendricksmeyer, Bonny [IWD]

Cc: Lewis, Devon [IWD]; Wise, Steve [IWD]; Hillary, Teresa [IWD]

Subject: Re: Policy

Certainly a social gathering - with thousands. So I am asking you to write the tip sheet for employers for guidance on company policies for employees to understand what rises to the level of upholding the denial of unemployment benefits.

Thank you

- Teresa Wahlert
- > On Nov 5, 2013, at 9:45 AM, "Hendricksmeyer, Bonny [IWD]"

<Bonny.Hendricksmeyer@iwd.iowa.gov> wrote:

> My decision in this case was based on the employer's policy which prohibits behavior which would reflect poorly on the company "at company sponsored events or social gatherings." In this case the claimant's behavior occurred in neither of these circumstances. > ----Original Message----> From: Wahlert, Teresa [IWD] > Sent: Tuesday, November 05, 2013 9:42 AM > To: Hendricksmeyer, Bonny [IWD] > Cc: Lewis, Devon [IWD]; Wise, Steve [IWD]; Hillary, Teresa [IWD] > Subject: Policy > Please explain the substantial level of misconduct that would uphold the denial of unemployment benefits - Considering a published policy that prohibits off-duty conduct that either reflects poorly on the bank or causes management to lose faith in the employee? Let's suppose an employee was charged with trespassing and resisting arrest in quite a public venue, plus a charge of soliciting a police officer plus admitting to being under the influence. All publicly documented. > Because I am trying to understand the legal requirements, I would like you to prepare a tip sheet for employers on this topic - I would like to see the draft by next week. Thanks.

> - Teresa Wahlert

Message: RE: Policy

Case Information:

Message Type:

Exchange

Message Direction:

Internal

Case:

IWD Senator Petersen Request - Version 3

Capture Date:

7/10/2014 1:32:27 PM

Item ID:

40861739

Policy Action:

Not Specified

Mark History:

No reviewing has been done

Policies:

No Policies attached

■ RE: Policy

From Hendricksmeyer, Bonny [IWD]

Date Tuesday, November

05, 2013 9:46 AM

To

Wahlert, Teresa [IWD]

Cc

Lewis, Devon [IWD]; Wise, Steve [IWD];

Hillary, Teresa [IWD]

My decision in this case was based on the employer's policy which prohibits behavior which would reflect poorly on the company "at company sponsored events or social gatherings." In this case the claimant's behavior occurred in neither of these circumstances.

----Original Message----

From: Wahlert, Teresa [IWD]

Sent: Tuesday, November 05, 2013 9:42 AM

To: Hendricksmeyer, Bonny [IWD]

Cc: Lewis, Devon [IWD]; Wise, Steve [IWD]; Hillary, Teresa [IWD]

Subject: Policy

Please explain the substantial level of misconduct that would uphold the denial of unemployment benefits - Considering a published policy that prohibits off-duty conduct that either reflects poorly on the bank or causes management to lose faith in the employee? Let's suppose an employee was charged with trespassing and resisting arrest in quite a public venue, plus a charge of soliciting a police officer plus admitting to being under the influence. All publicly documented.

Because I am trying to understand the legal requirements, I would like you to prepare

a tip sheet for employers on this topic - ${\rm I}$ would like to see the draft by next week. Thanks.

- Teresa Wahlert

Message: ALJ performance evals

Case Information:

Message Type:

Exchange

Message Direction:

Internal

Case:

IWD Senator Petersen Request - Version 3

Capture Date:

7/10/2014 1:32:08 PM

Item ID:

40861230

Policy Action:

Not Specified

Mark History:

No reviewing has been done

Policies:

No Policies attached

■ ALJ performance evals

From

Hillary, Teresa [IWD]

Date Monday, July 29, 2013 12:34 PM

To

Castillo, Lisa [IWD]

Cc

Wahlert, Teresa [IWD]

Lisa,

I just learned from Joni that you have all the ALJ files at your desk. I have a page to add for each ALJ. Can you let me know when you're back at your desk, and I will bring them over.

Thanks much

Teresa K. Hillary

Iowa Workforce Development 1000 E Grand Avenue Des Moines IA 50319

Phone: 515.725.2683 FAX: 515.242.5144

Message: Reminder about files

Case Information:

Message Type:

Exchange

Message Direction:

Internal

Case:

IWD Senator Petersen Request - Version 3

Capture Date:

7/10/2014 1:32:07 PM

Item ID:

40861217

Policy Action:

Not Specified

Mark History:

No reviewing has been done

Policies:

No Policies attached

Reminder about files

From

Hillary, Teresa [IWD]

Pate Friday, July 26, 2013 10:43

AM

To

Scott, Cheryll [IWD]; Shroyer, Paula [IWD]; Ackerman, Susan

[IWD]; Donner, Lynette [IWD]; Elder, Julie [IWD];

Hendricksmeyer, Bonny [IWD]; Lewis, Devon [IWD]; Mormann, Marlon [IWD]; Nice, Terence [IWD]; Scheetz, Beth [IWD]; Seeck, Vicki [IWD]; Stephenson, Randall [IWD]; Timberland, James [IWD]; Wise, Debra [IWD]; Wise, Steve [IWD]; Alexander, Marty [IWD]; Anderson, Donnell [IWD]; Baughman, Myra [IWD];

Benson, Joni [IWD]; Oatts, Sandra [IWD]; Ziegler, Vanessa [IWD]

Cc

Wahlert, Teresa [IWD]

As you come in to drop off and pick up files this weekend, remember you are only to take one week of files with you. (This does not apply to VS who works in the Davenport office and to TN who works in the CB office) If you need to review files that are scheduled for more than one week out, you must do so in the office at 1000 East Grand. There is a work space in the ALJ room and there is also an empty cubicle right beside Joni.

Also I've instructed the clerical that they are not to scan you files for your review in upcoming weeks. If you need to review files, you must come to the office to do so. As a time and work flow issue, it is a waste of the clerical staffs time to scan files to you. As always, if you have any questions, do not hesitate to contact me.

Teresa K. Hillary

Iowa Workforce Development 1000 E Grand Avenue

Des Moines IA 50319

Phone: 515.725.2683 FAX: 515.242.5144

about:blank

Message: Fact-Finders Listening to ALJ Hearing

Case Information:

Message Type:

Exchange

Message Direction:

Internal

Case:

IWD Senator Petersen Request - Version 3

Capture Date:

7/10/2014 1:32:07 PM

Item ID:

40861213

Policy Action:

Not Specified

Mark History:

No reviewing has been done

Policies:

No Policies attached

☑ Fact-Finders Listening to ALJ Hearing

From

Hillary, Teresa [IWD]

Date

Thursday, July 25, 2013 4:19 PM

To

West, Ryan [IWD]; Eklund, David [IWD]; Ackerman, Susan

[IWD]; Donner, Lynette [IWD]; Elder, Julie [IWD];

Hendricksmeyer, Bonny [IWD]; Lewis, Devon [IWD]; Mormann, Marlon [IWD]; Nice, Terence [IWD]; Scheetz, Beth [IWD]; Seeck, Vicki [IWD]; Stephenson, Randall [IWD]; Timberland,

James [IWD]; Wise, Debra [IWD]; Wise, Steve [IWD];

Alexander, Marty [IWD]; Anderson, Donnell [IWD]; Baughman, Myra [IWD]; Benson, Joni [IWD]; Oatts, Sandra [IWD]; Ziegler,

Vanessa [IWD]

Cc

Wahlert, Teresa [IWD]

In an effort to help fact-finders understand what happens during a hearing and how ALJs conduct hearings, they will soon start listening in live as we all conduct hearings. Since all of our hearings are public, there is generally not an issue with anyone listening to the hearing. It will be much like law clerks from legal and firms attend hearings to learn what happens. FFs are all agency employees and have access to much of the privileged information we all do. Joni will be given their names and phone numbers and times they would like to listen to hearings. She will randomly pick different ALJs hearings and enter the FF name and telephone number into the aplt screen. You will know it's a FF and not a witness or a party as (FF) will appear behind the individuals name. The FF will not make any comments or ask any questions. FF will not contact the ALJ after the hearing to ask any questions until after the ALJ has filed the decision. This way there can be no claim that a FF tried to influence an ALJ by even the type of question asked. If you have any questions, please don't hesitate to contact me.

Thanks much for your ongoing cooperation,

Teresa K. Hillary

Iowa Workforce Development 1000 E Grand Avenue Des Moines IA 50319

Phone: 515.725.2683 FAX: 515.242.5144

about:blank

Message: Leave Requests

Case Information:

Message Type:

Exchange

Message Direction:

Internal

Case:

IWD Senator Petersen Request - Version 3

Capture Date:

7/10/2014 1:32:06 PM

Item ID:

40861187

Policy Action:

Not Specified

Mark History:

No reviewing has been done

Policies:

No Policies attached

□ Leave Requests

From

Hillary, Teresa [IWD]

Date

Tuesday, July 23, 2013 7:53 AM

To

Scott, Cheryll [IWD]; Shroyer, Paula [IWD]; Benson, Joni [IWD]; Ackerman, Susan [IWD]; Donner, Lynette [IWD]; Elder, Julie [IWD]; Hendricksmeyer, Bonny [IWD]; Lewis, Devon [IWD]; Mormann, Marlon [IWD]; Nice, Terence [IWD]; Scheetz, Beth [IWD]; Seeck, Vicki [IWD]; Stephenson, Randall [IWD]; Timberland, James [IWD]; Wise, Debra [IWD]; Wise, Steve [IWD]; Alexander, Marty [IWD]; Anderson, Donnell [IWD]; Baughman, Myra [IWD]; Oatts, Sandra [IWD]; Ziegler, Vanessa [IWD]

Cc

Wahlert, Teresa [IWD]

All leave request should be sent to me for approval or denial, with a CC to Joni. We are not using SharePoint for the time being as it is too difficult to get into and change as well as some employees having problems getting into the system. If I deny anyone's request for leave, then you are free to go to the Director for an appeal. The only reason I can see for denying leave, (annual) is that an ALJ is not caught up on their case list, or there is no coverage for the day. For clerical we cannot have all employees gone at the same time on a regular or recurring basis. I'm thinking of our July 5 nightmare here. So, for the time being send me a request with a CC to Joni as she has the master list of who's gone.

Any questions please see me.

Thanks much Teresa Hillary

Message: Continuances

Case Information:

Message Type:

Exchange

Message Direction:

Internal

Case:

IWD Senator Petersen Request - Version 3

Capture Date:

7/10/2014 1:32:06 PM

Item ID:

40861183

Policy Action:

Not Specified

Mark History:

No reviewing has been done

Policies:

No Policies attached

From

Hillary, Teresa [IWD]

Date

Monday, July 22, 2013 1:24

PM

To

Ackerman, Susan [IWD]; Donner, Lynette [IWD]; Elder, Julie [IWD]; Hendricksmeyer, Bonny [IWD]; Lewis, Devon [IWD]; Mormann, Marlon [IWD]; Nice, Terence [IWD]; Scheetz, Beth [IWD]; Seeck, Vicki [IWD]; Stephenson, Randall [IWD]; Timberland, James [IWD]; Wise, Debra

[IWD]; Wise, Steve [IWD]

Cc

Benson, Joni [IWD]; Wahlert, Teresa [IWD]

CONTINUANCES

It has come to my attention that some ALJs are experiencing delays, sometimes significant, in getting hearings rescheduled quickly and efficiently. Each day from 3:00 p.m. until 4:30 p.m. Joni will be working every e-mail that has come in requesting a case be rescheduled. So, whenever you grant a continuance, send Joni an E-MAIL (no IMs or handwritten notes) the same day. If you send her an e-mail by 3:00 p.m. the day you grant the request, it will be done by 4:30 p.m. the same day. Please do not delay in sending in your request as she cannot reschedule what she does not know about. I think everyone knows what information she needs, but just to be clear: C name and SSN, which party requested the reschedule (the computer requires that information), and if you want a specific date and time for the hearing, or if it can just go back into the schedule. If you believe you cannot hear the case, also supply the reason why you cannot hear it.

In an effort to help out, some of you have taken it upon yourself to reschedule your own continued cases in the computer. Please do not do that any longer. ALJ time is better spent holding hearings

and writing decisions. It is also too difficult to keep track of scheduling when many people are in the computer trying to do the same thing when the system does not update until the following day. In an effort to be consistent in workflow control and determine how many cases are being continued, everyone needs to follow the above format. To be clear, if you send Joni an E-MAIL by 3:00 p.m., your case will be rescheduled that same day and the new hearing notice will automatically go out the following weekday morning. Remember that cases rescheduled on or before the hearing date are not counted as postponements against your DOL numbers and cases rescheduled after the hearing date are counted as postponements.

Thanks much for your cooperation and all of your hard work,

Teresa Hillary

Message: RE: Tip Sheet on Absenteeism

Case Information:

Message Type:

Exchange

Message Direction:

Internal

Case:

IWD Senator Petersen Request - Version 3

Capture Date:

7/10/2014 1:32:13 PM

Item ID:

40861375

Policy Action:

Not Specified

Mark History:

No reviewing has been done

Policies:

No Policies attached

RE: Tip Sheet on Absenteeism

From

Lewis, Devon [IWD]

Date Saturday, August 17, 2013 9:51 PM

To

Wahlert, Teresa [IWD]

Cc

Tip Sheet - Absenteeism.docx (19 Kb HTML)

Here is the revised tip sheet from Vicki. *Dévon*

From: Wahlert, Teresa [IWD]

Sent: Saturday, August 17, 2013 3:17 PM

To: Seeck, Vicki [IWD] **Cc:** Lewis, Devon [IWD]

Subject: Re: Tip Sheet on Absenteeism

I did not get the attachment

- Teresa Wahlert

On Aug 16, 2013, at 9:50 AM, "Seeck, Vicki [IWD]" < Vicki.Seeck@iwd.iowa.gov > wrote:

Good Morning,

I am attaching to this email a tip sheet that has been prepared on absenteeism. Marlon Mormann was kind enough to provide me with a document that he had already prepared on the subject and Devon Lewis did some very good editing. Please let me know if this is what you had in mind. I will make any changes you feel are necessary.

Message: FW: state tax return to refund op

Case Information:

Message Type:

Exchange

Message Direction:

Internal

Case:

IWD Senator Petersen Request - Version 3

Capture Date:

7/10/2014 1:32:01 PM

Item ID:

40861026

Policy Action:

Not Specified

Mark History:

No reviewing has been done

Policies:

No Policies attached

FW: state tax return to refund op

From

Hillary, Teresa [IWD]

Date Thursday, June 20, 2013 9:50 AM

To

Lewis, Devon [IWD]

Cc

From: Olivencia, Nicholas [IWD]

Sent: Thursday, June 20, 2013 9:39 AM

To: Hillary, Teresa [IWD] **Cc:** Walsh, Joseph [IWD]

Subject: RE: state tax return to refund op

Thank you Teresa. I found our rule, 871 IAC 25.16(1) If the individual has made no attempt to repay the overpayment of benefits within the preceding six months, the individual's name and social security number are given to the department of revenue. The question is what does "no attempt" mean, and would \$10 towards whatever their \$50+ overpayment would constitute an attempt.

From: Hillary, Teresa [IWD]

Sent: Thursday, June 20, 2013 9:33 AM

To: Olivencia, Nicholas [IWD] **Cc:** Walsh, Joseph [IWD]

Subject: state tax return to refund op

Nick,

At the meeting on Tuesday about UI integrity OP, I said I would send you the tax reference code section ALI'S use in keeping a tax refund. Below is the tax sections we cite when keeping a cl state tax refund to collect an overpayment of UI benefits.

lowa Code § 421.17(27) via the lowa Department of Revenue sets forth a procedure whereby one state agency may obtain funds owed by a second state agency to an individual to apply to a debt, which that same individual owes to the first state agency. 871 IAC 25.16 specifically authorizes the lowa Workforce Development Department to withhold the state income tax refund owing to the claimant to apply to an overpayment of benefits which that same claimant owes to the lowa Workforce Development Department so long as both amounts are at least \$50.00. Iowa Code § 96.11 (16) allows reimbursement of setoff costs. The claimant owes the lowa Workforce Development Department \$.00 in benefits he received in 200 to which he was not entitled and he has an lowa income tax refund of at least \$50.00. Therefore, the lowa Workforce Development Department is legally authorized to withhold that lowa income tax refund up to the amount of the overpayment of benefits, plus a \$7.00 transfer fee, which the claimant owes to the lowa Workforce Development Department.

I was not able to find anything that said we could not keep the refund if there had been 'activity' on the claim in six months. I don't think appeals gets any cases where there has been activity in less than six months, because FF just does not issue those decn., hence no appeals.

If you need any other information let me know.

Thanks much Teresa Hillary Vicki Seeck Administrative Law Judge Unemployment Insurance Appeals

- [ABSENTEEISM AND MISCONDUCT]
- [Violation of Employer Attendance Policies]
- [Current Act of Misconduct]
- [Final Absence Must Be Unexcused]
- [Warnings Should Be Given]

IOWA UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE LAW

ABSENTEEISM AND MISCONDUCT

One form of misconduct that may disqualify a worker from receiving unemployment insurance benefits is excessive unexcused absenteeism. Here are some general guidelines to consider in these cases.

Violation of Employer Attendance Policies

Employer attendance policies do NOT determine whether a discharge disqualifies an individual from receiving unemployment insurance benefits. Iowa law determines whether an absence is excused and whether there are excessive absences. The policy may be disregarded except for the provisions concerning how an employee is to give notice of an absence.

Current Act of Misconduct

Employers must take prompt action when an employee is discharged for attendance. If an employer waits too long to discharge an employee after the last instance of absenteeism, the discharge will not be for a current act of misconduct. These cases are fact specific. The Iowa Court of Appeals has suggested that an employer who allows the individual to keep working and waits more than ten days to discharge will usually not prevail in an unemployment case.

Final Absence Must Be Unexcused

Whether an absence is excused is defined by Iowa law and not the employer's policy. Absenteeism due to illness that is properly reported is excused even when the employer's policy deems it unexcused. Multiple unexcused absences followed by an excused final absence results in the granting of unemployment. Three unexcused

absences will usually be considered excessive. Absences older than one year may be too stale for use in the excessive absenteeism analysis. Any analysis of absenteeism should focus on those absences that occur during the last year of employment.

Warnings Should Be Given

Prior warnings issued by an employer to a worker are important in the analysis of excessive absenteeism cases. Employers are advised to issue a final written warning prior to a discharge for absenteeism so that the worker is given specific notice that their behavior must change in order to retain the employment. Warnings about other issues, such as job performance, will not count as a warning towards a discharge for absenteeism and vice versa.

Message: RE: New PDQ for ALJs

Case Information:

Message Type:

Exchange Internal

Message Direction:

IWD Senator Petersen Request - Version 3

Capture Date:

Case:

7/10/2014 1:32:04 PM

Item ID:

40861122

Policy Action:

Not Specified

Mark History:

No reviewing has been done

Policies:

No Policies attached

RE: New PDQ for ALJs

Hillary, Teresa [IWD] From

Date Tuesday, July 16, 2013 4:31 PM

To

Wahlert, Teresa [IWD]

Lewis, Devon [IWD]; Nelson, Jon [IWD]

What Joe W gave you is what he sent to me on July 9. Devon and I rewrote it as below to more accurately reflect what actually occurs. Note the addition of "other duties as assigned" at the end. Jon Nelson did not have any PDQ language from Joe W before he left.

Devon and I propose:

PDQ ALI I and II

Conduct high-volume hearing level contested case proceedings involving unemployment insurance benefits. Rule on admissibility of evidence and other objections raised in hearings. Conduct pre-hearing conferences as needed. Ensure all parties are afforded due process of law, whether or not represented by legal counsel. Fully and adequately develop the hearing record. Conduct hearings with professionalism. Timely prepare and submit legally correct decisions awarding or denying unemployment insurance benefits. Maintain compliance with U.S. DOL time lapse and quality standards on issuing decisions. Study applicable principles of law from Code of Iowa, Iowa Administrative Code, published decisions of the lowa Supreme Court and the Court of Appeals, as well as appropriate federal law to ensure decisions are legally correct. Study lowa Code of Administrative Judicial Conduct and ensure that personal conduct on and off duty is consistent. Respond to public inquiries and requests for postponements

20% Participate in projects and with teams to promote Bureau and Department goals.

Attend at least one CLE sponsored by the IAALI each year. Other duties as assigned.

From: Wahlert, Teresa [IWD] Sent: Tuesday, July 16, 2013 3:59 PM To: Hillary, Teresa [IWD] Subject: Fwd: New PDQ for ALJs

- Teresa Wahlert

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Walsh, Joseph [IWD]" < Joseph. Walsh@iwd.iowa.gov>

Date: July 11, 2013, 2:37:46 PM CDT

To: "Wahlert, Teresa [IWD]" < Teresa. Wahlert@iwd.iowa.gov >

Subject: New PDQ for ALIs

This is my first draft for a new PDQ for AUs. I am not entirely satisfied with this, but I do not want to make it overly complicated either. I will follow up with you in person. The only part which is new is the very last sentence and the percentages.

- Conduct high-volume trial level contested case proceedings involving unemployment insurance benefits. Rule on admissibility of evidence and other objections raised in hearings. Assist the parties in the development of the record. Conduct pre-trial conferences as needed on issues of discovery and sanctions for failing to complete discovery. Ensure all parties are afforded due process of law whether or not represented by legal counsel.
- Study lowa Code of Administrative Judicial Conduct and ensure that personal conduct on and off duty is consistent with the Code.
- Timely prepare and submit legally correct decisions awarding or denying UI benefits. Maintain compliance with U.S. DOL time lapse and quality standards on issuing decisions.
- Review case files prior to hearing to become familiar with factual and legal issues. Study applicable principles of law from Code of Iowa, Iowa Administrative Code, published decisions of the Supreme Court of lowa and Court of Appeals, as well as appropriate federal law to ensure that decisions
- Respond to public inquires and requests for postponements.
- 20 Participate in projects and teams to promote Bureau and agency goals.

Joseph L. Walsh

Chief Administrative Law Judge Unemployment Insurance Appeals 1000 East Grand Avenue Des Moines, Iowa 50319 Phone: (515) 281-8119 joseph.walsh@iwd.iowa.gov

Message: PDQ for ALJ 2

Case Information:

Message Type:

Exchange

Message Direction:

Internal

Case:

IWD Senator Petersen Request - Version 3

Capture Date:

7/10/2014 1:32:04 PM

Item ID: Policy Action: 40861120

Mark History:

Not Specified

No reviewing has been done

Policies:

No Policies attached

PDQ for ALJ 2

Hillary, Teresa [IWD] From

Date Tuesday, July 16, 2013 10:59 AM

To

Nelson, Jon [IWD]

Cc

Lewis, Devon [IWD]; Wahlert, Teresa [IWD]

I do not think Joe had finished revising the alj's pdq. I have Joni looking to see what she can find. This is what I got from him. What can I do to finish the alj pdq so the director can sign it and get it sent out to the alj's this week?

Thanks much Teresa Hillary

From: Walsh, Joseph [IWD] Sent: Tuesday, July 09, 2013 12:24 PM To: Hillary, Teresa [IWD]; Lewis, Devon [IWD] Subject: Thoughts?

Teresa and Devon

The Director asked me to revise PDQs for AUs to reflect participation in the agency (really it is bigger than this, all of the process improvement, committee work and projects). I have tried to be creative but I am not coming up with much. Please let me know if you have any additional thoughts which may be helpful.

- Conduct high-volume trial level contested case proceedings involving unemployment insurance benefits. Rule on admissibility of evidence and other objections raised in hearings. Assist the parties in the development of the record. Conduct pre-trial conferences as needed on issues of discovery and sanctions for failing to complete discovery. Ensure all parties are afforded due process of law whether or not represented by legal counsel.
- Study lowa Code of Administrative Judicial Conduct and ensure that personal conduct on and off duty is consistent with the Code.
- Timely prepare and submit legally correct decisions awarding or denying UI benefits. Maintain compliance with U.S. DOL time lapse and quality standards on issuing
- 5 Review case files prior to hearing to become familiar with factual and legal issues. Study applicable principles of law from Code of Iowa, Iowa Administrative Code, published decisions of the Supreme Court of Iowa and Court of Appeals, as well as appropriate federal law to ensure that decisions are legally correct.
- 5 Respond to public inquires and requests for postponements.
- 20 Participate in projects and teams to promote Bureau and agency goals

Joseph L. Walsh

Chief Administrative Law Judge Unemployment Insurance Appeals 1000 East Grand Avenue Des Moines, Iowa 50319 Phone: (515) 281-8119 joseph.walsh@iwd.iowa.gov

Message: FW: Appeals-Claims (A-C) meeting notes from June 27

Case Information:

Message Type:

Exchange

Message Direction:

Internal

Case:

IWD Senator Petersen Request - Version 3

Capture Date:

7/10/2014 1:32:03 PM

Item ID: Policy Action:

40861090 Not Specified

Mark History:

No reviewing has been done

Policies:

No Policies attached

FW: Appeals-Claims (A-C) meeting notes from June 27

From

Hillary, Teresa [IWD]

Date Tuesday, July 02, 2013 12:48 PM

To

Wahlert, Teresa [IWD]

Cc

FYI

The note from Devon.

From: Lewis, Devon [IWD]
Sent: Friday, June 28, 2013 2:57 PM

Cc: Scheetz, Beth [IWD]; Hendricksmeyer, Bonny [IWD]; Wise, Debra [IWD]; Hillary, Teresa [IWD]; Timberland, James [IWD]; Elder, Julie [IWD]; Mormann, Marlon [IWD]; Stephenson, Randall [IWD]; Seeck, Vicki [IWD]; Ackerman, Susan [IWD]; Nice, Terence [IWD]; Wise, Steve [IWD] Subject: Appeals-Claims (A-C) meeting notes from June 27

PT quit 871 IAC 24.27

The Director decided that there will not be a specific number or range of numbers for FFs to use to determine PT or FT status. In the event of a party dispute about PT-FT status, the FFs will refer to the list of questions/factors to help them develop the record and make a decision. I will work with Dave Eklund to develop and provide training for FFs on this issue.

ALJ Training on July 18

Support staff and Mary P will be joining us. We are to bring our laptops with us so we can plug in and follow along. Keep track of questions you want to ask or send them to Tere. The training will be interactive and we will get some handouts. Ryan West will be training us and will also give us a contact list with people to contact for various issues.

IT - electronic transition issues

Steve has been working with this area but it was mentioned in relation to a business analyst/project manager person being added to the group to act as an interpreter or bridge between IT and Appeals/Claims/etc to make sure needs are being properly communicated to IT and our needs are met

Next meeting in two weeks

Claims is going to start a project to reduce the number of ANDS decisions (over 300 currently) and clarify, etc the language of the ones that will be kept. ALJs will be involved with this at some point in the next month or so.

Since PT-Q is resolved, the next issue will be PTO/vacation/severance.

Dévon

Message: RES/REA

Case Information:

Message Type:

Exchange

Message Direction:

Internal

Case:

IWD Senator Petersen Request - Version 3

Capture Date:

7/10/2014 1:32:15 PM

Item ID:

40861445

Policy Action:

Not Specified

Mark History:

No reviewing has been done

Policies:

No Policies attached

™ RES/REA

From

Hillary, Teresa [IWD]

Date Thursday, August 22, 2013 9:55 AM

To

Wahlert, Teresa [IWD]

Cc

More information on RES/REA

Initially when RES/REA came out it only applied to EUC cases. As the EUC continued on through tiers 1, 2, and 3 more cases were created. According to Shan Seivert the cases tripled when Pres. Obama, as part of the compromise for an EUC extension, made is a requirement for anyone on EUC to go through REA. Shan said these were people who had been on unemployment for years and initially refused to respond to the REA request until their claims were locked. Shan said they saw a threefold increase in their REA cases due to that new requirement. Shan said she expected the REA cases to die out last year, but there was a final EUC extension that kept the numbers high. Since EUC is now slated to end finally in December 2013, REA cases for EUC should cease totally in early 2014, significantly bringing down the number of cases we send to DIA.

Any claimant who must attend either EUC or Regular REA is then also required to go through the RES workshop. Hence, more REA cases lead to more RES cases.

Shan said that REA is an annual grant that she applies for to pay the salaries of the people administering the program. I do not know if the grant includes funds to cover our costs to send cases to DIA.

If you need more information please let me know,

Teresa K. Hillary lowa Workforce Development 1000 E Grand Avenue Des Moines IA 50319

Phone: 515.725.2683 FAX: 515.242.5144

Message: ALJs in the office

Case Information:

Message Type:

Exchange

Message Direction:

Internal

Case:

IWD Senator Petersen Request - Version 3

Capture Date:

7/10/2014 1:32:14 PM

Item ID:

40861398

Policy Action:

Not Specified

Mark History:

No reviewing has been done

Policies:

No Policies attached

■ ALJs in the office

From

Hillary, Teresa [IWD]

Date Tuesday, August 20, 2013 8:35 AM

To

Wahlert, Teresa [IWD]

Cc

Just want you to understand some logistics and help me figure out the solution. Yesterday, Monday, Steve W was in a meeting when all this happened, and Deb who was here for the same meeting was not available. I took a complaint call, not the issue, and then went right into my 2 pm hearing. While I was on the telephone with the complaint call, I got an IM from the Lobby that a claimant needed to see an ALJ in the lobby. Told them I was on the phone and had to start my 2 hearing. My 2 hearing went almost the full hour (two attys, they always go longer) and I sent an IM to Carmela and to Carmen to see if someone could go meet with the cl. When my hearing was done, went right to the lobby and Carmela was talking to claimant. Discussed what was need with him (BTW did mention skilled lowa). The problem is yet again the appeals clerical and the front desk need ALJs they can call to the lobby. If there had even been some ALJs in the office, they could have gone out there. So, while the ALJs may all indicate they will take calls, they can't really get called to the lobby to help walk-ins.

So my question, how should I communicate this issue to the ALJs without sounding like a raving lunatic. The all indicate at staff meetings that they will take calls, but that doesn't always work. I do not want to sound like a complainer to them, but this week was an" added" week, and by Monday we could have used another ALJs help in the office.

Thanks much

Teresa K. Hillary

Iowa Workforce Development 1000 E Grand Avenue Des Moines IA 50319 Phone: 515.725.2683 FAX: 515.242.5144

Message: Cl Ivan Burkheimer

Case Information:

Message Type:

Exchange

Message Direction:

Internal

Case:

IWD Senator Petersen Request - Version 3

Capture Date:

7/10/2014 1:32:14 PM

Item ID:

40861399

Policy Action:

Not Specified

Mark History:

No reviewing has been done

Policies:

No Policies attached

☑ Cl Ivan Burkheimer

From Hillary, Teresa [IWD]

Date Tuesday, August 20, 2013

8:24 AM

To

Eklund, David [IWD]; West, Ryan

[IWD]

Cc

Wahlert, Teresa [IWD]

Dave,

This is the case I talked to you about last night. (BTW I'm working on Debra Wilson for you, will have an answer later today)

This claimant was transferred to me yesterday with a complaint about his FF interview. He alleged that he got the message that he had missed the FF interview and tried to call back per the FF's voice mail and was told by "the woman" who answered the telephone that he was too late there was nothing he could do but appeal. His contact telephone number is 319.654.6525. I told him he would get a call back from someone to address his issues and told him how to file an appeal. He has already filed the appeal.

Teresa K. Hillary

Iowa Workforce Development 1000 E Grand Avenue Des Moines IA 50319

Phone: 515.725.2683

FAX: 515.242.5144

Message: RE: ALJ Staff Meetings

Case Information:

Message Type:

Exchange

Message Direction:

Internal

Case:

IWD Senator Petersen Request - Version 3

Capture Date:

7/10/2014 1:32:13 PM

Item ID:

40861378

Policy Action:

Not Specified

Mark History:

No reviewing has been done

Policies:

No Policies attached

■ RE: ALJ Staff Meetings

From Hillary, Teresa [IWD]

Date Sunday, August 18, 2013

1:02 PM

7/17/2014

To

Wahlert, Teresa [IWD]; Benson, Joni

[IWD]

Cc

Joni,

On Monday to back fill, let's put in cases that can meet the 30 days, even if they are single parties. I will help you find them first thing Monday morning. Thanks for thinking ahead and being on top the issue!!!

TKH

From: Wahlert, Teresa [IWD]

Sent: Friday, August 16, 2013 7:02 PM

To: Benson, Joni [IWD] **Cc:** Hillary, Teresa [IWD] **Subject:** Re: ALJ Staff Meetings

Ok

- Teresa Wahlert

On Aug 16, 2013, at 11:32 AM, "Benson, Joni [IWD]" < Joni.Benson@iwd.iowa.gov > wrote:

<image001.gif> Director Wahlert,

about:blank

I wanted to draw it to your attention that our next scheduled ALJ meeting is on Thursday, August 29th. As you know, the new schedule starts on Friday, September 6th. That would mean we have two ALI meetings two weeks in a row.

Should be cancel the meeting on the 29th of August and back fill that day with hearings? If I schedule these hearings on Monday, August 19th, we will meet the 10 days notice requirement. We would pick up 34 more hearings if we cancel. Let me know how you want it handled.

Joni K. Benson

Iowa Workforce Development - UI Appeals 1000 E. Grand

Des Moines IA 50319 Phone: 515.281.8484 FAX: 515.242.5144

joni.benson@iwd.iowa.gov



BE GREEN – Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

Message: Sept ALJ Conference in Chicago

Case Information:

Message Type:

Exchange

Message Direction:

Internal

Case:

IWD Senator Petersen Request - Version 3

Capture Date:

7/10/2014 1:32:12 PM

Item ID:

40861352

Policy Action:

Not Specified

Mark History:

No reviewing has been done

Policies:

No Policies attached

⋈ Sept ALJ Conference in Chicago

From

Wahlert, Teresa [IWD]

Date Wednesday, August 14, 2013 2:05 PM

To

Donner, Lynette [IWD]; Ackerman, Susan

[IWD]

Cc

Hillary, Teresa [IWD]; Benson, Joni [IWD]; Castillo, Lisa [IWD]; Lewis, Devon [IWD]

Lynette and Susan - - you are both verbally approved to attend the ALJ conference in Chicago in September; IWD will cover appropriate expenses and travel forms must be completed as required.

However – this approval is contingent upon all of your backlog of case files and decisions having been brought current and within the 30-day USDOL standard. No funds will be expended prior to my understanding of the status of your case files/decisions.

Call upon Lisa to assist you with any travel planning and approvals needed.

Teresa Wahlert

Director

Iowa Workforce Development I 1000 E Grand I Des Moines, IA 50319 515-281-5365 I 515-281-4698 - fax

www.iowaworkforce.org

Message: Re: Additions to the Get Appeal Caught up plan.

Case Information:

Message Type:

Exchange

Message Direction:

Internal

Case:

IWD Senator Petersen Request - Version 3

Capture Date:

7/10/2014 1:32:08 PM

Item ID:

40861240

Policy Action:

Not Specified

Mark History:

No reviewing has been done

Policies:

No Policies attached

⊠ Re: Additions to the Get Appeal Caught up plan.

From

Wahlert, Teresa [IWD]

Date Monday, July 29, 2013 5:34 PM

To

Hillary, Teresa [IWD]

Cc

We can discuss tomorrow

- Teresa Wahlert

On Jul 29, 2013, at 4:55 PM, "Hillary, Teresa [IWD]" < Teresa. Hillary@iwd.iowa.gov> wrote:

> ALJ's (Susan and Beth) who are taking the half-heard ones not done one, should have them

Page 23 of 98 Print

Message: Re: Meeting at 10:30

Case Information:

Message Type: Message Direction:

Exchange Internal

IWD Senator Petersen Request - Version 3

Capture Date:

7/10/2014 1:31:36 PM

Item ID: Policy Action:

40860770 Not Specified

Mark History:

No reviewing has been done

Policies:

No Policies attached

Re: Meeting at 10:30

From Miller-Meeks, Mariannette [IDPH] Date Thursday, May 17, 2012 6:29 PM

To Wahlert, Teresa [IWD]

Cc

We have to process all the applications & do sanitation inspections & water etc if there are complaints. We don't do routine inspections.

Dr. Miller-Meeks

Director, Iowa Department of Public Health

On May 17, 2012, at 5:38 PM, "Wahlert, Teresa [IWD]" < Teresa. Wahlert@iwd.iowa.gov > wrote:

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Adams, Lori [IWD]" < Lori.Adams@iwd.iowa.qov > Date: May 17, 2012 12:13:04 PM PDT
To: "Wahlert, Teresa [IWD]" < Teresa.Wahlert@iwd.iowa.qov > Subject: RE: Meeting at 10:30

Checked with the staff who work with alien labor, including but not limited to MSFW. They believe that there would be resistance. Marco Adasme recently attended the MAFO conference in San Antonio, where we learned that we are supposed to be getting paid for doing the required housing inspections of farm workers. Barbara Bobb is tracking down how to access those funds. IWD is mandated to do housing inspections without a fee. What is IDPH's fee supposed to cover?

Lori Adams, CPM

Division Administrator, Workforce Services Iowa Workforce Development 1000 East Grand Avenue Des Moines IA 50319 Phone: (515) 281-9322

Fax: (515) 281-4698 Cell: (515) 418-5058

Email: Lori.Adams@iwd.iowa.gov

Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

From: Wahlert, Teresa [IWD] Sent: Thursday, May 17, 2012 1:09 PM To: Adams, Lori [IWD] Subject: Fwd: Meeting at 10:30

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

 $\textbf{From: "Miller-Meeks, Mariannette [IDPH]" <} \underline{\textbf{Mariannette.Miller-Meeks@idph.iowa.gov}} > \underline{\textbf{Mariannette.Miller-Meeks.miller-Meeks$

Date: May 17, 2012 10:55:25 AM PDT

To: "Wahlert, Teresa [IWD]" < Teresa. Wahlert@iwd.iowa.gov>

Subject: Re: Meeting at 10:30

I am sorry. We meaning IDPH would assess a fee to process the application. Given your relationships with them, do you think there would be a great deal of resistance?

Dr. Miller-Meeks

Director, Iowa Department of Public Health

7/18/2014 about:blank

On May 17, 2012, at 10:35 AM, "Wahlert, Teresa [IWD]" < Teresa.Wahlert@iwd.iowa.gov wrote:

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Adams, Lori [IWD]" < Lori.Adams@iwd.iowa.gov>
Date: May 17, 2012 4:11:09 AM PDT
To: "Wahlert, Teresa [IWD]" < Teresa.Wahlert@iwd.iowa.gov>
Subject: Re: Meeting at 10:30

I don't know about their ability to assess fees but I am sure IWD cannot. We have to do housing inspections. My only concern would be to ensure the cost of the inspection would not be somehow passed down to the workers

Sent from my iPhone

On May 16, 2012, at 10:48 PM, "Wahlert, Teresa [IWD]" < Teresa. Wahlert@iwd.iowa.gov> wrote:

Ideas?

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Miller-Meeks, Mariannette [IDPH]" < <u>Mariannette Miller-Meeks@idph.iowa.gov</u>>
Date: May 16, 2012 8:21:50 PM PDT
To: "Wahlert, Teresa [IWD]" < <u>Teresa Wahlert@iwd.iowa.gov</u>>

Subject: Re: Meeting at 10:30

No worries. I was asking whether it would be feasible to charge a fee to process

the applications for migrant labor camps? It would not be much, probably tiered according to #'s of laborers and to cover the occasional inspection.

Thank you, Mariannette

Dr. Miller-Meeks

Director, Iowa Department of Public Health

On May 16, 2012, at 10:13 PM, "Wahlert, Teresa [IWD]" < Teresa.Wahlert@iwd.iowa.gov> wrote:

I know I told you I would get you some information at our meeting. Please tell me again what I am gathering for you?

Just too many things going on I guess!

Teresa

Sent from my iPhone

On May 11, 2012, at 8:26 AM, "Miller-Meeks, Mariannette [IDPH]" < Mariannette.Miller-Meeks@idph.iowa.gov wrote:

Teresa

I have to go to the Governor's office for about 2 minutes, so I will arrive around 10:40. Please excuse.

Mariannette

Dr. Miller-Meeks

Director, Iowa Department of Public Health

This email message and its attachments may contain confidential information that is exempt from disclosure under lowa Code chapters 22, 139A, and other applicable law. Confidential information is for the sole use of the intended recipient. If you believe that you have received this transmission in error, please reply to the sender, and then delete all copies of this message and any attachments. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, use, retention, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited by law.

This email message and its attachments may contain confidential information that is exempt from disclosure under lowa Code chapters 22, 139A, and other applicable law. Confidential information is for the sole use of the intended recipient. If you believe that you have received this transmission in error, please reply to the sender, and then delete all copies of this message and any attachments. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, use, retention, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited by law.

This email message and its attachments may contain confidential information that is exempt from discosure under lowa Code chapters 22, 139A, and other applicable law. Confidential information is for the sole use of the intended recipient. If you believe that you have received this transmission in error, please reply to the sender, and then delete all copies of this message and any attachments. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, use, retention, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited by law.

This email message and its attachments may contain confidential information that is exempt from disclosure under lowa Code chapters 22, 139A, and other applicable law. Confidential information is for the sole use of the intended recipient. If you believe that you have received this transmission in error, please reply to the sender, and then delete all copies of this message and any attachments. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, use, retention, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited by law.

Message: Re: Evaluation Forms - ALJ / CONFIDENTIAL

Case Information:

Message Type:

Exchange

Message Direction:

Internal

Case:

IWD Senator Petersen Request - Version 3

Capture Date:

7/10/2014 1:32:04 PM

Item ID:

40861136

Policy Action:

Not Specified

Mark History:

No reviewing has been done

Policies:

No Policies attached

☑ Re: Evaluation Forms - ALJ / CONFIDENTIAL

From

Wahlert, Teresa [IWD]

Date Tuesday, July 16, 2013 4:44 PM

To

Benson, Joni [IWD]

Cc

Hillary, Teresa [IWD]

Ok

- Teresa Wahlert

On Jul 16, 2013, at 4:10 PM, "Benson, Joni [IWD]" <Joni.Benson@iwd.iowa.gov> wrote:

>

> Teresa,

>

> I do not have a copy of the ALJ PDQ on my computer. As of the moment, I have not found anything in Joe's old office. If I run across it, I will get a copy to you. I am thinking the new PDQ is on Joe's computer.

>

> I am attaching the ALJ evaluation forms Joe had me type up for him. It is just the shell – eval has not been filled out.

>

> Joni K. Benson

> Iowa Workforce Development - UI Appeals

> Ph: 515-281-8484 FAX: 515-242-5144

> email: joni.benson@iwd.iowa.gov

>

> P Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

>

>

> <Beth Scheetz, 7-1-12 to 6-30-13.doc>

> <Bonny Hendricksmeyer, 7-1-12 to 6-30-13.doc>

- > < Debra L. Wise, 7-1-12 to 6-30-13.doc>
- > < Devon Lewis, 7-1-12 to 6-30-13.doc>
- > <James Timberland, 7-1-12 to 6-30-13.doc>
- > <Julie Elder, 7-1-12 to 6-30-13.doc>
- > <Marlon Mormann, 7-1-12 to 6-30-13.doc>
- > <Lynette Donner, 7-1-12 to 6-30-13.doc>
- > <Randall L. Stephenson, 7-1-12 to 6-30-13.doc>
- > <Steven A. Wise, 7-1-12 to 6-30-13.doc>
- > <Susan Ackerman, 7-1-12 to 6-30-13.doc>
- > <Teresa Hillary, 7-1-12 to 6-30-13.doc>
- > <Terry Nice, 7-1-12 to 6-30-13.doc>
- > <Vicki L. Seeck, 7-1-12 to 6-30-13.doc>
- > <image001.gif>

Message: Re: Fwd: Participation Tip Sheet attached.

Case Information:

Message Type:

Exchange

Message Direction:

External, Inbound

Case:

IWD Senator Petersen Request - Version 3

Capture Date:

7/10/2014 1:32:26 PM

Item ID:

40861704

Policy Action:

Not Specified

Mark History:

No reviewing has been done

Policies:

No Policies attached

MRe: Fwd: Participation Tip Sheet attached.

From

Date Sunday, October 13, 2013 12:47 PM

To

Wahlert, Teresa [IWD]

Mike Ralston

Cc

Thanks. I'll get it to our communications people.

Mike

---- Original Message -----

From: Wahlert, Teresa [IWD] [mailto:Teresa.Wahlert@iwd.iowa.gov]

Sent: Sunday, October 13, 2013 12:41 PM

To: Mike Ralston

Subject: Fwd: Participation Tip Sheet attached.

Attached is the tip sheet we discussed

- Teresa Wahlert

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Wahlert, Teresa [IWD]" <Teresa.Wahlert@iwd.iowa.gov <mailto:Teresa.Wahlert@iwd.iowa.gov >>

Date: October 11, 2013 at 12:47:03 PM CDT

To: "Olivencia, Nicholas [IWD]" < Nicholas.Olivencia@iwd.iowa.gov < mailto: Nicholas.Olivencia@iwd.iowa.gov > , "Koonce, Kerry [IWD]"

<Kerry.Koonce@iwd.iowa.gov<mailto:Kerry.Koonce@iwd.iowa.gov>>, "Bervid, Joseph [IWD]"

<Joseph.Bervid@iwd.iowa.gov<mailto:Joseph.Bervid@iwd.iowa.gov<>>

Subject: Fwd: Participation Tip Sheet attached.

- Teresa Wahlert

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Mormann, Marlon [IWD]" <Marlon.Mormann@iwd.iowa.gov<<u>mailto:Marlon.Mormann@iwd.iowa.gov</u>>>

Date: October 9, 2013 at 2:36:45 PM CDT

To: "Wahlert, Teresa [IWD]" <Teresa.Wahlert@iwd.iowa.gov<<u>mailto:Teresa.Wahlert@iwd.iowa.gov</u>>>

Subject: Participation Tip Sheet attached.

Here is the final draft for your approval. What next?

Marlon Mormann, Administrative Law Judge

515-265-3512

Message: Re: social security numbers

Case Information:

Message Type:

Exchange

Message Direction:

Internal

Case:

IWD Senator Petersen Request - Version 3

Capture Date:

7/10/2014 1:31:37 PM

Item ID:

40860789

Policy Action:

Not Specified

Mark History:

No reviewing has been done

Policies:

No Policies attached

Re: social security numbers

From Wahlert, Teresa [IWD]

Date Saturday, June 02, 2012 3:59 PM

To

Wilkinson, Michael [IWD]

Cc

Eklund, David [IWD]

Then tell her

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2012, at 12:01 PM, "Wilkinson, Michael [IWD]" < Michael.Wilkinson@iwd.iowa.gov > wrote:

It should go directly to Dave Eklund

Sent from my iPad

On Jun 1, 2012, at 8:43 PM, "Wahlert, Teresa [IWD]" < Teresa.Wahlert@iwd.iowa.gov> wrote:

Who or where should she be sending this info to?

Teresa

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Lewis, Irma [IWD]" < Irma.Lewis@iwd.iowa.gov>

Date: June 1, 2012 7:19:19 AM CDT

To: "Wahlert, Teresa [IWD]" < Teresa. Wahlert@iwd.iowa.gov >

Subject: FW: social security numbers

<image001.gif>

Would you please forward this to whomever is doing the

Message: Fwd: Veterans Employment & Education Weekly Report

Case Information:

Message Type:

Exchange

Message Direction:

External, Inbound

Case:

IWD Senator Petersen Request - Version 3

Capture Date:

7/10/2014 1:32:52 PM

Item ID:

40862254

Policy Action:

Not Specified

Mark History:

No reviewing has been done

Policies:

No Policies attached

Mark Fwd: Veterans Employment & Education Weekly Report

From

Ted Hall

Date Friday, March 14, 2014 2:12 PM

To

Adams, Lori [IWD]; Smithhart, Tony [IWD]

Cc

Updates 3-14-14.doc (80 Кb нтм.)

Have a good weekend!

----- Forwarded message ------

From: "Davis, Jasmine E." < idavis@legion.org>

Date: Mar 14, 2014 2:09 PM

Subject: Veterans Employment & Education Weekly Report

To: "Rohan, Denise" <deniserohan@tds.net>

Cc: "Fratolillo, James (MRC)" < james.fratolillo@state.ma.us>

Dear Commission members,

Below is the Veterans Employment & Education weekly report.

Jasmine Davis Administrative Assistant The American Legion Veterans Employment & Education Division 1608 K. Street, NW Washington, DC 20006 202 861 2700 ext. 5771 202 861 0404 fax

VETERANS EMPLOYMENT & EDUCATION COMMISSION

TOPIC 1: ECONOMY

Weekly applications for U.S. unemployment benefits dropped 9,000 last week to a seasonally adjusted 315,000, a sign the job market is picking up after a winter slump. Here are the states with the biggest increases and decreases in applications. The data is for the week that ended March 1, one week behind the national figures:

States with the biggest decreases:

- o California: Down 5,675, due to fewer layoffs in services and wholesale trade
- o Georgia: Down 5,437, due to fewer layoffs in construction and administrative support
- o Massachusetts: Down 3,770, due to fewer layoffs in transportation and food services
- o Pennsylvania: Down 2,086, due to fewer layoffs in transportation and construction
- o South Carolina: Down 2,006, due to fewer layoffs in manufacturing
- o Alabama: Down 1,042, due to fewer layoffs in construction and manufacturing

States with the biggest increases:

- o **New York:** Up 18,709, due to layoffs in transportation and warehousing, education, and restaurants
- o **Florida:** Up 1,940, due to layoffs in agriculture, construction, manufacturing, wholesale trade, and retail
- o Illinois: Up 1,789, due to layoffs in construction and administrative services
- o Texas: Up 1,245, no reason given

Table A-5. Employment status of the civilian population 18 years and over by veteran status, period of service, and sex (not seasonally adjusted). $\frac{1}{2}$

HOUSEHOLD DATA Table A-5. Employment status of the by veteran status, period of service, [Numbers in thousands]						
Employment status, veteran status, and period of service	Total		Men		Women	
	FEB 2013	FEB 2014	FEB 2013	FEB 2014	FEB 2013	FEB 2014
Gulf War-era II veterans	ı -					
Unemployed	203	223	162	183	41	40
Unemployment rate	9.4	9.2	9.0	9.0	11.6	9.9

National unemployment rate is 6.7 percent (February 2014). Gulf War II veterans unemployment rate is 9.2 percent. Currently, the unemployment rate for Gulf War II women veterans is 9.9 percent (up from 6.7 percent in January).

TOPIC 2: MEETINGS

On Tuesday, March 11, the Veterans Employment & Education Division met with Senator Tim Kaine's (VA) office to discuss updates, policy, and legislative issues regarding our credentialing and education priorities. A follow-up meeting will discuss potential credentialing amendments to the 2015 NDAA.

On Wednesday, March 12, the Veterans Employment & Education Division attended the 2014 U.S. Army Women's Foundation Summit on Capitol Hill. Speakers included: Col. Ellen Haring, USAR, Senior Fellow, Women in International Security; BG Linda Singh, USA, Maryland National Guard; Cynthia Thomsen, Ph.D., Principal Researcher, Consortium on Health & Readiness of Service Women; SMA Raymond Chandler; and SMA Kenneth Preston, USA (Ret), AUSA. The U.S. Army Women's Foundation is the premier center for educational excellence, the national network for today's Army women and a dynamic advocate for telling the history of Army women.

On Thursday, March 13, the Veterans Employment & Education Division attended the U.S. Chamber of Commerce's formal reception. Hiring Our Heroes staff, strategic partners and members of the Chamber's Veteran Employment Advisory Council, comprised of 35 of the nation's largest employers attended Fort Myer's Officers' Club for a reception hosted by Lieutenant General Jeffrey Talley, 32nd Chief of Army Reserve and Commanding General, United States Army Reserve Command.

TOPIC 3: EMPLOYMENT

Many have heard by now about some changes in the VRAP program so we thought it might be useful to try and provide some more information. Bottom line, given the current statute, VA does not have the authority to pay VRAP benefits after March 31, 2014. The decision was made to compute and distribute a lump sum payment for those veterans still enrolled in March 2014 to enable them to complete their current term of instruction between now and June 30th. It does not provide anyone more than the original program's 12 months of benefits.

VA has begun to compute those lump sum payments already. For example, if a veteran is currently enrolled with a term/enrollment completion of 10 May 2014 – that individual would receive a lump sum for the month of April + 10 days in May. If a veteran's term ends on 15 July, that individual would get a lump sum payment for April + May + June – **and not the 15 days in July**. These payments will not be made for enrollment periods that begin after March 31. Again, this lump sum payment does not provide additional VRAP benefits beyond 12 months.

VRAP was established by the **Veterans Opportunity to Work (VOW) to Hire Heroes Act of 2011 and** began July 1, 2012. So far, VA have provided more than \$740 million in retraining assistance for more than 75,000 unemployed veterans between the ages of 35 and 60 years. School Certifying Officials must submit all VRAP enrollment certifications to VA on or before March 17, 2014, and must continue to review enrollments and report changes to VA for training pursued after March 31 through the end of the current enrollment period. VRAP participants must verify attendance on or after March 31, 2014, for training pursued in March to receive payment. Attendance may be verified via VA's Web Automated Verification of Enrollment (WAVE) at https://www.gibill.va.gov/wave/index.do or via the toll free Interactive Voice Response (IVR) telephone line at 1-877-VA-ECERT (1-877-823-2378). Participants should report any changes in enrollment that occur on or after April 1, 2014, to their school certifying official and to VA at 1-888-GI Bill-1 (1-888-442-4551). A reduction in training or withdrawal may result in an overpayment.

Departments - please help raise awareness about the end of this important program by sharing information on your website, in newsletters, and through social media channels. New information about VRAP will be posted online at: www.benefits.va.gov/VOW/education.asp. We appreciate your help in communicating this important information to veterans and their families.



TOPIC 4: HOMELESS VETERANS UPDATES

In Biloxi, Mississippi, standing alongside a barren plot of land off Division Street is a pristine duplex that has housed two previously homeless veterans for nearly a year. On Wednesday, March 12, a man rode up to the duplex on his bike. After dropping a few items off inside, the man came out onto his front porch and leaned over the white railing to check out the crowd of 50 or so gathered around a mound of dirt next door. Minutes later, several housing officials broke ground on what's called HomePort II, a six-unit housing project that is expected to be open around October. Everett Lewis with Gulf Coast Housing Initiative said 250 to 500 homeless veterans are living on the Coast. Although the building that will be constructed next to the Hong Kong Market won't completely resolve the issue "it's a start," he said.

The Department of Veterans Affairs recently adopted a housing-first approach when dealing with homeless veterans. Their objective is to get veterans into homes and then take care of their various needs, whether physical, mental, financial or other. "I think that's a good philosophy. The problem they were running into is how do you get a homeless veteran to his appointments if he's living in the woods or under a bridge or wherever," Lewis said. "He might be there today but not tomorrow, so you had no way to pinpoint where they are to provide the other services. If they're housed somewhere, that makes it a lot simpler to keep up with them."

Lewis said each of the 600-square-foot units, which will have its own entrance, will have a bedroom, bathroom and a kitchen-dining-living area. One of the units will be handicapped-accessible, and all will be furnished and include utilities. "Once you can get (veterans) stabilized somewhere, you have a better opportunity of providing other services," Lewis said. During Wednesday's ceremony, Back Bay Mission Executive Director Charles E. Brown said the ground-breaking was a joyous occasion for those who have been heavily involved in the "critically needed" project. "This celebrates the visible beginning of a new milestone in the journey of our HomePort housing project, HomePort II," he said. Brown said HomePort II was several years in the making. "Thank you. Thank you," he said to conclude his speech.

Biloxi Mayor A.J. Holloway, Chris Vignes (Gulfport), Biloxi City Councilman Felix Gines, Brent Johnson with the Back Bay Mission board, Kevin Davis with the Gulf Coast Housing Initiative, Allison Barlow with the Home Depot Foundation, Jim Caldwell of Keesler Federal Credit Union and Bruce Hatton of Federal Home Loan Bank plunged gold shovels into the orange mound of dirt to celebrate the ground-breaking. Sixteen Back Bay Mission volunteers from Wisconsin and 18 from Illinois were in attendance for the event, along with local community leaders.

TOPIC 5: CAREER FAIRS

This week planning continued on our Hiring our Heroes — Hiring Events in Connecticut, Illinois, Massachusetts, Missouri, New Jersey, New York, and Texas. However, the Veterans Employment & Education Division would like to highlight a unique hiring event that occurred late last week in Texas. *On Thursday, March 13*, a Hiring our Heroes Hiring Event was held on site in Houston. This event was sponsored by the U.S. Chamber of Commerce (USCC), along with The American Legion Department of Texas, Department of Labor, Texas Veterans Commission, Department of Veterans Affairs, Employer Support of the Guard and Reserves (ESGR), and the Greater Houston Chamber of Commerce. This hiring event fielded 56 employers and a total of 255 jobseekers. Overall, there were 1028 resumes accepted, 538 interviews conducted, 163 tentative job offers and 23 'on the spot' hires. This event was highly successful and well attended. Special thanks go to John McKinny and Adjutant Bill West from The American Legion's Department of Texas whose outreach for this event ensured that it was a success.

TOPIC 6: LEGION DEPARTMENT EMPLOYMENT & EDUCATION COLLABORATION

- The Department of Florida's leadership is working with the Governor's office, state legislatures, Department of Defense, and student-veterans to pass the "Congressman C.W. Bill Young Veteran Waiver Program" and "Florida GI Bill" state legislation, which requires a state university or college to waive out-of-state charges for honorably discharged veterans returning to or resettling in Florida as well as offer tuition assistance to National Guardsmen and veterans returning to civilian life in the Sunshine State, respectively. Veterans going back to school have been paying out-of-state tuition, because universities generally require 12 months of residency for in-state tuition. The bill just requires veterans to be Florida residents when they apply. According to the VA, Florida is home to more than 1.5 million veterans, the third-largest population behind California and Texas. As of *Tuesday, February 4*, Senate Proposed Bill 7020 was introduced and unanimously approved by the Senate Committee on Military and Veterans Affairs, Space and Domestic Security.
- The Department of Iowa's leadership is working with the Governor's office, state legislatures, Department of Defense, and student-veterans, to pass legislation that would offer in-state tuition to all veterans, no matter where they're from, at their state universities and community colleges. Currently, there is a policy within the University of Iowa system that states a veteran who is domiciled or moves to the state of Iowa and is eligible for or has exhausted benefits under the Post-9/11 Veterans Educational Assistance Act of 2008 is entitled to resident status for purposes of undergraduate tuition and mandatory fees. This policy includes spouses and dependents as long as the veteran's arrival in Iowa is prior to the beginning of the term; however, the Department of Iowa is currently working to make this policy into law in the state of Iowa.
- The Department of Nebraska's leadership is working with the Governor's office, state legislature, Department of Defense, and student-veterans, to pass <u>LB 740</u>, which would provide a residency exemption to veterans, their spouses and children for in-state tuition. In addition to supporting the legislation and advocating for its passage, the Department of Nebraska had the opportunity to testify in front of their state legislative body on the passage of this in-state tuition legislation. The legislation is currently in the Senate.
- The Department of New York's leadership is working with the Governor's office, state legislature, Department of Defense, and student-veterans, to pass SB 1978, which would provide the in-state residency waiver; consequently, allowing any person who is serving or has served on active-duty of the United States military under the provisions of the legislation to be considered as a resident of the State of New York. The legislation is currently in Assembly Higher Education Committee.

Joseph C. Sharpe, Jr., Director

Veterans Employment & Education Division

202.861.2700 ext. 2989

Week Ending: 3/14/14

http://www.bls.gov/news.release/empsit.t05,htm

² U.S. Department of Labor. *Economic News Release: Employment Situation Summary, January 2012*. http://www.bls.gov/news.release/empsit.t05.htm

Message: Fwd: Veterans Employment & Education Weekly Report

Case Information:

Message Type:

Exchange

Message Direction:

External, Inbound

Case:

IWD Senator Petersen Request - Version 3

Capture Date:

7/10/2014 1:32:52 PM

Item ID:

40862255

Policy Action:

Not Specified

Mark History:

No reviewing has been done

Policies:

No Policies attached

Mark Fwd: Veterans Employment & Education Weekly Report

From

Ted Hall

Date Friday, March 14, 2014 2:12 PM

То

Adams, Lori [IWD]; Smithhart, Tony [IWD]

Cc

Ш Updates 3-14-14.doc (80 Кb нтм.)

Have a good weekend!

----- Forwarded message ------

From: "Davis, Jasmine E." < idavis@legion.orq>

Date: Mar 14, 2014 2:09 PM

Subject: Veterans Employment & Education Weekly Report

To: "Rohan, Denise" < deniserohan@tds.net >

Cc: "Fratolillo, James (MRC)" < james.fratolillo@state.ma.us>

Dear Commission members,

Below is the Veterans Employment & Education weekly report.

Jasmine Davis

Administrative Assistant

The American Legion

Veterans Employment & Education Division

1608 K Street, NW

Washington, DC 20006

202 861 2700 ext. 5771

202 861 0404 fax

VETERANS EMPLOYMENT & EDUCATION COMMISSION

TOPIC 1: ECONOMY

Weekly applications for U.S. unemployment benefits dropped 9,000 last week to a seasonally adjusted 315,000, a sign the job market is picking up after a winter slump. Here are the states with the biggest increases and decreases in applications. The data is for the week that ended March 1, one week behind the national figures:

States with the biggest decreases:

- o California: Down 5,675, due to fewer layoffs in services and wholesale trade
- o Georgia: Down 5,437, due to fewer layoffs in construction and administrative support
- o Massachusetts: Down 3,770, due to fewer layoffs in transportation and food services
- o Pennsylvania: Down 2,086, due to fewer layoffs in transportation and construction
- o South Carolina: Down 2,006, due to fewer layoffs in manufacturing
- o Alabama: Down 1,042, due to fewer layoffs in construction and manufacturing

States with the biggest increases:

- New York: Up 18,709, due to layoffs in transportation and warehousing, education, and restaurants
- o **Florida:** Up 1,940, due to layoffs in agriculture, construction, manufacturing, wholesale trade, and retail
- o Illinois: Up 1,789, due to layoffs in construction and administrative services
- o Texas: Up 1,245, no reason given

Table A-5. Employment status of the civilian population 18 years and over by veteran status, period of service, and sex (not seasonally adjusted). $\frac{1}{2}$

HOUSEHOLD DATA Table A-5. Employment status of the by veteran status, period of service, [Numbers in thousands]						
Employment status, veteran status, and period of service	Total		Men		Women	
	FEB 2013	FEB 2014	FEB 2013	FEB 2014	FEB 2013	FEB 2014
Gulf War-era II veterans	 					
Unemployed	203	223	162	183	41	40
Unemployment rate	9.4	9.2	9.0	9.0	11.6	9.9

National unemployment rate is 6.7 percent (February 2014). Gulf War II veterans unemployment rate is 9.2 percent. Currently, the unemployment rate for Gulf War II women veterans is 9.9 percent (up from 6.7 percent in January).

TOPIC 2: MEETINGS

On Tuesday, March 11, the Veterans Employment & Education Division met with Senator Tim Kaine's (VA) office to discuss updates, policy, and legislative issues regarding our credentialing and education priorities. A follow-up meeting will discuss potential credentialing amendments to the 2015 NDAA.

On Wednesday, March 12, the Veterans Employment & Education Division attended the 2014 U.S. Army Women's Foundation Summit on Capitol Hill. Speakers included: Col. Ellen Haring, USAR, Senior Fellow, Women in International Security; BG Linda Singh, USA, Maryland National Guard; Cynthia Thomsen, Ph.D., Principal Researcher, Consortium on Health & Readiness of Service Women; SMA Raymond Chandler; and SMA Kenneth Preston, USA (Ret), AUSA. The U.S. Army Women's Foundation is the premier center for educational excellence, the national network for today's Army women and a dynamic advocate for telling the history of Army women.

On Thursday, March 13, the Veterans Employment & Education Division attended the U.S. Chamber of Commerce's formal reception. Hiring Our Heroes staff, strategic partners and members of the Chamber's Veteran Employment Advisory Council, comprised of 35 of the nation's largest employers attended Fort Myer's Officers' Club for a reception hosted by Lieutenant General Jeffrey Talley, 32nd Chief of Army Reserve and Commanding General, United States Army Reserve Command.

TOPIC 3: EMPLOYMENT

Many have heard by now about some changes in the VRAP program so we thought it might be useful to try and provide some more information. Bottom line, given the current statute, VA does not have the authority to pay VRAP benefits after March 31, 2014. The decision was made to compute and distribute a lump sum payment for those veterans still enrolled in March 2014 to enable them to complete their current term of instruction between now and June 30th. It does not provide anyone more than the original program's 12 months of benefits.

VA has begun to compute those lump sum payments already. For example, if a veteran is currently enrolled with a term/enrollment completion of 10 May 2014 – that individual would receive a lump sum for the month of April + 10 days in May. If a veteran's term ends on 15 July, that individual would get a lump sum payment for April + May + June – **and not the 15 days in July**. These payments will not be made for enrollment periods that begin after March 31. Again, this lump sum payment does not provide additional VRAP benefits beyond 12 months.

VRAP was established by the Veterans Opportunity to Work (VOW) to Hire Heroes Act of 2011 and began July 1, 2012. So far, VA have provided more than \$740 million in retraining assistance for more than 75,000 unemployed veterans between the ages of 35 and 60 years. School Certifying Officials must submit all VRAP enrollment certifications to VA on or before March 17, 2014, and must continue to review enrollments and report changes to VA for training pursued after March 31 through the end of the current enrollment period. VRAP participants must verify attendance on or after March 31, 2014, for training pursued in March to receive payment. Attendance may be verified via VA's Web Automated Verification of Enrollment (WAVE) at https://www.gibill.va.gov/wave/index.do or via the toll free Interactive Voice Response (IVR) telephone line at 1-877-VA-ECERT (1-877-823-2378). Participants should report any changes in enrollment that occur on or after April 1, 2014, to their school certifying official and to VA at 1-888-GI Bill-1 (1-888-442-4551). A reduction in training or withdrawal may result in an overpayment.

Departments - please help raise awareness about the end of this important program by sharing information on your website, in newsletters, and through social media channels. New information about VRAP will be posted online at: www.benefits.va.gov/VOW/education.asp. We appreciate your help in communicating this important information to veterans and their families.

T



TOPIC 4: HOMELESS VETERANS UPDATES

In Biloxi, Mississippi, standing alongside a barren plot of land off Division Street is a pristine duplex that has housed two previously homeless veterans for nearly a year. On Wednesday, March 12, a man rode up to the duplex on his bike. After dropping a few items off inside, the man came out onto his front porch and leaned over the white railing to check out the crowd of 50 or so gathered around a mound of dirt next door. Minutes later, several housing officials broke ground on what's called HomePort II, a six-unit housing project that is expected to be open around October. Everett Lewis with Gulf Coast Housing Initiative said 250 to 500 homeless veterans are living on the Coast. Although the building that will be constructed next to the Hong Kong Market won't completely resolve the issue "it's a start," he said.

The Department of Veterans Affairs recently adopted a housing-first approach when dealing with homeless veterans. Their objective is to get veterans into homes and then take care of their various needs, whether physical, mental, financial or other. "I think that's a good philosophy. The problem they were running into is how do you get a homeless veteran to his appointments if he's living in the woods or under a bridge or wherever," Lewis said. "He might be there today but not tomorrow, so you had no way to pinpoint where they are to provide the other services. If they're housed somewhere, that makes it a lot simpler to keep up with them."

Lewis said each of the 600-square-foot units, which will have its own entrance, will have a bedroom, bathroom and a kitchen-dining-living area. One of the units will be handicapped-accessible, and all will be furnished and include utilities. "Once you can get (veterans) stabilized somewhere, you have a better opportunity of providing other services," Lewis said. During Wednesday's ceremony, Back Bay Mission Executive Director Charles E. Brown said the ground-breaking was a joyous occasion for those who have been heavily involved in the "critically needed" project. "This celebrates the visible beginning of a new milestone in the journey of our HomePort housing project, HomePort II," he said. Brown said HomePort II was several years in the making. "Thank you. Thank you," he said to conclude his speech.

Biloxi Mayor A.J. Holloway, Chris Vignes (Gulfport), Biloxi City Councilman Felix Gines, Brent Johnson with the Back Bay Mission board, Kevin Davis with the Gulf Coast Housing Initiative, Allison Barlow with the Home Depot Foundation, Jim Caldwell of Keesler Federal Credit Union and Bruce Hatton of Federal Home Loan Bank plunged gold shovels into the orange mound of dirt to celebrate the ground-breaking. Sixteen Back Bay Mission volunteers from Wisconsin and 18 from Illinois were in attendance for the event, along with local community leaders.

TOPIC 5: CAREER FAIRS

This week planning continued on our Hiring our Heroes – Hiring Events in Connecticut, Illinois, Massachusetts, Missouri, New Jersey, New York, and Texas. However, the Veterans Employment & Education Division would like to highlight a unique hiring event that occurred late last week in Texas. *On Thursday, March 13*, a Hiring our Heroes Hiring Event was held on site in Houston. This event was sponsored by the U.S. Chamber of Commerce (USCC), along with The American Legion Department of Texas, Department of Labor, Texas Veterans Commission, Department of Veterans Affairs, Employer Support of the Guard and Reserves (ESGR), and the Greater Houston Chamber of Commerce. This hiring event fielded 56 employers and a total of 255 jobseekers. Overall, there were 1028 resumes accepted, 538 interviews conducted, 163 tentative job offers and 23 'on the spot' hires. This event was highly successful and well attended. Special thanks go to John McKinny and Adjutant Bill West from The American Legion's Department of Texas whose outreach for this event ensured that it was a success.

TOPIC 6: LEGION DEPARTMENT EMPLOYMENT & EDUCATION COLLABORATION

- The Department of Florida's leadership is working with the Governor's office, state legislatures, Department of Defense, and student-veterans to pass the "Congressman C.W. Bill Young Veteran Waiver Program" and "Florida GI Bill" state legislation, which requires a state university or college to waive out-of-state charges for honorably discharged veterans returning to or resettling in Florida as well as offer tuition assistance to National Guardsmen and veterans returning to civilian life in the Sunshine State, respectively. Veterans going back to school have been paying out-of-state tuition, because universities generally require 12 months of residency for in-state tuition. The bill just requires veterans to be Florida residents when they apply. According to the VA, Florida is home to more than 1.5 million veterans, the third-largest population behind California and Texas. As of *Tuesday, February 4*, Senate Proposed Bill 7020 was introduced and unanimously approved by the Senate Committee on Military and Veterans Affairs, Space and Domestic Security.
- The Department of Iowa's leadership is working with the Governor's office, state legislatures, Department of Defense, and student-veterans, to pass legislation that would offer in-state tuition to all veterans, no matter where they're from, at their state universities and community colleges. Currently, there is a policy within the University of Iowa system that states a veteran who is domiciled or moves to the state of Iowa and is eligible for or has exhausted benefits under the Post-9/11 Veterans Educational Assistance Act of 2008 is entitled to resident status for purposes of undergraduate tuition and mandatory fees. This policy includes spouses and dependents as long as the veteran's arrival in Iowa is prior to the beginning of the term; however, the Department of Iowa is currently working to make this policy into law in the state of Iowa.
- The Department of Nebraska's leadership is working with the Governor's office, state legislature, Department of Defense, and student-veterans, to pass <u>LB 740</u>, which would provide a residency exemption to veterans, their spouses and children for in-state tuition. In addition to supporting the legislation and advocating for its passage, the Department of Nebraska had the opportunity to testify in front of their state legislative body on the passage of this in-state tuition legislation. The legislation is currently in the Senate.
- The Department of New York's leadership is working with the Governor's office, state legislature, Department of Defense, and student-veterans, to pass SB 1978, which would provide the in-state residency waiver; consequently, allowing any person who is serving or has served on active-duty of the United States military under the provisions of the legislation to be considered as a resident of the State of New York. The legislation is currently in Assembly Higher Education Committee.

Joseph C. Sharpe, Jr., Director

Veterans Employment & Education Division

202.861.2700 ext. 2989

Week Ending: 3/14/14

¹ http://www.bls.gov/news.release/empsit.t05.htm

² U.S. Department of Labor. *Economic News Release: Employment Situation Summary, January 2012*. http://www.bls.gov/news.release/empsit.t05.htm

Message: Tero November 2013 eZine

Case Information:

Message Type:

Exchange

Message Direction:

External, Inbound

Case:

IWD Senator Petersen Request - Version 3

Capture Date:

7/10/2014 1:32:28 PM

Item ID:

40861786

Policy Action:

Not Specified

Mark History:

No reviewing has been done

Policies:

No Policies attached

From

Tero International

Date Thursday, November 21, 2013 11:14 PM

To

tero@tero.com

Cc

Email not displaying correctly? View it in your browser.



Tero November eZine

If the security and firewall settings on your computer are making it difficult to

- 1. Click here to view Tero's November
 2013 eZine,
 2. Click here for links to pdf's of the
 November 2013 eZine and previous

You B E .

Tero® International, Inc.

Your Elite Training Team



Monthly eZine - November 2013

Tweet

Share

In this issue:

- Welcome to the Tero International Monthly eZine
- Ask Tero
 Feature Article Expressing Gratitude -Put It In Writing
- Professional Development Activity -Starting the Gratitude Habit
- Resources
- What's New at Tero?
- Public Workshops
- Online Resources
- Inspiration Things to Think About

Events and Workshops

Welcome to the Tero International Monthly eZine

It is a well-known fact when someone writes a note of thanks it makes a difference. How many of you have a drawer or a file just for those happy notes someone took the time to write you? They matter in our personal life but also in business.

When you take time to thank someone you inhabit the "Not Urgent but Important" quadrant of your life and this ultimately benefits you as well as the recipient. You are doing what matters, rather than just what needs to be done. You are engaging in an intentional way, one that begins to define you as a gracious and thoughtful person. That designation makes you a leader, regardless of your title.

Years ago Tero instituted a "Put It In Writing Campaign" to encourage the writing of thank you notes. The tip sheet article we wrote to advertise this campaign has

Public Workshops 2013 Workshops

November 20 - 21

IMPACT: How To Speak Your Way To
Success - Cedar Rapids, IA

Register now

November 21
Outclass Your Competition
Register now

December 10 - 11 Outcome-Driven Selling Register now

2014 Workshops

January 14 - 15

IMPACT: How To Speak Your Way To
Success
Register now

February 4 - 5
Influence: How To Achieve Winning
Outcomes
Register now

February 18 - 19
IMPACT: How To Speak Your Way To
Success
Register now

March 6
Outclass Your Competition
Register now

MORE 2014 Workshop Dates

Register now

Parts to Include when Writing a Thank You Note and other Personal Notes



Whether you are writing a thank you note card, sending a nice message electronically or posting kind words on your social media site there are guidelines to help structure your personal notes. In always been popular with our readers. Back then, we did not have the ability to thank as publicly as we do now given the many avenues of social media and technology that exist today. This leads us to propose this campaign one more time, this time electronically! What better use of our social media sites than to thank or recommend someone? Check out the Resource section to gather netiquette tips that will help you when "typing" thank you notes as well as business communication and interaction.

Although the handwritten note is still on the top of the list of most desirable to receive, we can increase our ability to thank more people in very time sensitive and relevant ways by using technology. This month we ask you to reach out and thank someone! We invite you to join us and participate in Tero's Thanksgiving Thread dedicated to Learning and Growth. Share who and what you are thankful for in your own professional and personal development. Here are a few examples:

- · A trusted mentor who challenged your thinking
- · A coach who pushed you out of your comfort zone
- An opportunity to enjoy a meal with a senior leader in your organization and learn from him or her
- Someone who championed your development through enrolling you in a training program
- · A teacher who helped you build a new skill
- A parent or family member who was patient with you as you learned through your experience and mistakes

Please share your thoughts on Tero's <u>Facebook</u> page. We look forward to seeing the thread unfold and hearing what you are thankful for.

I am thankful for	because

How it works:

- 1) Simply fill in the blanks and post on Tero's Facebook page.
- 2) Share your story to keep it going and so others can see what you are thankful for.
- 3) Let's see what happens!

Back to top

Ask Tero

What are business professionals asking Tero? Read on to find questions asked of the professionals at Tero. We appreciate your continued interest and the questions we receive each month.

Question: Should I openly thank someone who brings me a gift when I host a business dinner or event?

Tero says: Because the gift is a response to your generosity, a private word of thanks is ideal. A host cannot go wrong thanking a guest for a gift so long as it is expressed with thoughtfulness and tact. Below are some etiquette tips when receiving a gift:

- When accepting the gift in front of other guests, briefly thank the gift bearer so those who arrived empty-handed are not embarrassed.
- Find personal time with the gift bearer during the event to express more specific thanks.
- Follow up with a personal note or Facebook message elaborating on your thankfulness.

These tips will allow you to thank your guest appropriately and eliminate the awkwardness that sometimes occurs in these types of situations.

Question: My office mate is often using social networking sites to interact with people during work hours. He claims it is business related so thinks it is legitimate. I find this distracting, but do not know how to approach him without causing an argument. Am I being unreasonable?

this month's sidebar we provide you with tips to structure your notes in a professional manner.

Thank You Note:

- 1. Greet the recipient
- 2. Express your gratitude
- 3. Elaborate
- 4. Compliment and look ahead
- 5. Restate your gratitude
- 6. Give your regards



Congratulatory Note:

- 1. Greet the recipient
- 2. Express your congratulations
- 3. React to the news
- 4. Compliment and look ahead
- 5. Restate your congratulations
- 6. Give your regards



Important Days Note:

- 1. Greet the recipient
- 2. Mention the day
- 3. Elaborate
- 4. Build the relationship and look ahead
- 5. Summarize
- 6. Give your regards



Tero says: Social networking sites are becoming very popular in today's society and can easily turn into a distraction. Even though social media sites can serve as a resource to individuals and companies, they can also be a barrier to everyday productivity. This is a reasonable concern and is becoming a widespread issue. Communicate your concerns to management. Discreetly inform your manager and explain how it is negatively impacting your productivity.

Do you have a question for Tero? Let us know! If there is a topic or question you would like to see addressed in a future eZine, please make suggestions so we can give you the resources you need. <u>Click here</u> to ask Tero a question.

Back to top

Feature Article - Expressing Gratitude - Put It In Writing

from Tero's Put It In Writing Campaign

This month we feature the article *Expressing Gratitude - Put It In Writing*. Consider these tips to enhance your message when hand delivering a thank you, sending a note via email or any other type of thank you message.

Click here for the full article

Back to top

Professional Development Activity - Starting the Gratitude Habit

Habits are more easily formed when started early in life. Start your children on the path of extending thanks to those around them on a consistent basis. Now that kids have access to several communication mediums such as email, social networking sites and other technology sites this concept may be more important than ever.

- Let them watch you write thank you notes often (via hand written note cards, personal or professional emails or through your social media sites).
- Design and create custom cards or stationary together.
- Use different methods to sign (paint, oil pastels, markers, crayons, etc.).
- Allow them to draw pictures on the card, stationary, or envelopes.
- Have them create a list of people they would like to thank and why.
- Have them write a short story or poem to include about that person.

Back to top

Resources

Article - Top Ten Ways to Say "Thank you" in an English Email

Whether you're writing to a client, to a manager or to a colleague, you can't thank people enough in your emails. Everyone wants to feel appreciated, so thank your readers for what they have already done for you, and thank them for what you want them to do in the future! You'll find that some kind words combined with sincere appreciation of their efforts will go a long way. Click here for the full article.

Article - High-Tech Business Etiquette: A Professional's Guide to Social Networks

This insightful article posted by Huffington Post provides readers with information on how to appropriately use Social Media and how to steer clear of offending others. Click here for the article.

Article - Top 10 Electronic Etiquette Faux Pas

Have you ever wondered whether or not to take that call at the dinner table? Or what guidelines should be observed when replying to an e-mail message? <u>Click here</u> for electronic etiquette mishaps that could be detrimental to your career.

Article - The Gift That Doesn't Have To Cost Money...Giving Thanks

The season of Thanksgiving is upon us. At this time of year we are reminded to express gratitude for the things for which we are thankful. There are several mediums you can choose when giving thanks. Get creative. How can you thank this individual? Consider an email with a copy to the person's boss. Writing a personal note. Making a personal visit. An unexpected phone call. This is one area of life

Love Note:

- 1. Greet your love
- 2. Tell him/her why you are writing
- 3. Affirm him/her
- 4. Nurture your connection
- 5. Reiterate why you're writing
- 6. Give your loving regards



Apology and Reconnection Note:

- 1. Greet the recipient
- 2. Apologize or state desire to reconnect
- 3. Elaborate
- 4. Build the relationship and look ahead
- 5. Restate your apology or desire to reconnect
- 6. Give your regards



Comfort and Cheer Note:

- 1. Greet the recipient
- 2. Tell why you are writing
- 3. Elaborate
- 4. Build the relationship and offer support
- 5. Restate caring
- 6. Give your regards

where more monetary spending does not translate to higher quality. It doesn't have to take a lot of your time. <u>Click here</u> for the article.

Back to top

What's New at Tero

John Amato at ASTD Career Development Day

John Amato, Director of Integrated Training, has been invited to speak at the 2014 ASTD Career Development Day on January 17, 2014 in Des Moines, IA. He will facilitate two consecutive sessions. The title of his session is *Strategic Negotiations: Going Beyond Compromise*. The first session is from 9:35 a.m. – 10:25 a.m. The second session begins at 10:45 a.m. and ends at 11:35 a.m. John will highlight the critical skills necessary for Win/Win outcomes. Click here to learn more about this wonderful professional development opportunity and to register.

John Amato to Speak at Council for the Advancement and Support of Education (CASE) VI Conference

John Amato will be delivering a keynote, *IMPACT: Speak Your Way To Success*, at the CASE VI Conference to professionals in alumni relations, fundraising and marketing and communications in colleges and universities in seven Midwestern states. The conference will be held at the Westin Crown Center in Kansas City, MO. John will be speaking on Sunday, January 12, 2014. CASE is the largest organization in the world that supports education. It is based in Washington, D.C. Click here for more information.

Business Record Article - The Art of the Stay Interview

This article by Kyle Oppenhuizen, Staff Writer for the Des Moines Business Record features Rowena Crosbie, President of Tero. *The Art of the Stay Interview* article gives you tips on how to conduct and the benefits of stay interviews. Done right, stay interviews can be an effective tool for your organization and will give you an idea of what employees' value. <u>Click here</u> for the article.

Featured Blog of the Month - Advantages of Repeating Questions

Tero posts a blog once a week on our website providing you with tips and **information** regarding your professional development. This month we feature a blog **post on the** advantages of repeating questions during your presentation. <u>Click here</u> **to read the** blog post and previous posts.

November Spotlight - Interpersonal Skills Gift Package

Are you one of the thousands of Tero graduates wondering how to make a Tero learning experience available to a son or daughter, partner or spouse, friend or relative? Thanks to inquiries from many Tero graduates, we are pleased to offer gift certificates that can be redeemed for training and coaching. What better way to show appreciation than to help someone enhance their interpersonal skills. Click on the image below for more information on Tero's Interpersonal Skills Gift Packages.



Back to top

Public Workshops

Outclass Your Competition

A 5-hour Business Etiquette and Dining Tutorial workshop.

November 21, 2013 (Des Moines), March 6, 2014 (Des Moines)

June 12, 2014 (Des Moines), September 18, 2014 (Des Moines)

IMPACT: How To Speak Your Way To Success

A 2-day workshop on speaking confidently and persuasively.

November 20-21, 2013 (Cedar Rapids), January 14-15, 2014 (Des Moines)

Sympathy Note:

- 1. Greet the recipient
- 2. Express your sympathy
- 3. Elaborate
- 4. Build the relationship and offer support
- 5. Restate sympathy
- 6. Give your regards



Business Note:

- 1. Greet the recipient
- 2. State why you are writing
- 3. Elaborate
- 4. Build the relationship and look
- 5. Restate your reason for writing
- 6. Give your regards



February 18-19, 2014 (Des Moines), April 8-9, 2014 (Des Moines) June 17-18, 2014 (Des Moines), August 12-13, 2014 (Des Moines) October 14-15, 2014 (Des Moines), December 9-10, 2014 (Des Moines)

Outcome-Driven Selling

A 2-day workshop on consultative and collaborative selling.

December 10-11, 2013 (Des Moines), April 21-22, 2014 (Des Moines) November 4-5, 2014 (Des Moines)

Influence: How To Achieve Winning Outcomes

A 2-day workshop on influencing outcomes.

February 4-5, 2014 (Des Moines), July 15-16, 2014 (Des Moines) October 7-8, 2014 (Des Moines)

Championing Innovation and Leading Change

A 1-day workshop on handling and managing change. October 9, 2014 (Des Moines)

Click here to register for a public workshop

Back to top

Online Resources

Professional Polish Library

Professional Polish from Tero International provides listeners and readers with business tips. The tips respond to questions asked by business professionals about interpersonal interactions in the workplace. Click here to visit Tero's Professional Polish Library.

Join Tero's Online Community

Join Tero's Online Social Community - We like you, do you like us? Would you like to stay connected with Tero and receive ongoing information on professional development? If so, join our social media community to get the latest professional tips, articles and additional Tero resources.



Graduates Only

Are you a graduate of a Tero workshop? The Graduate's Only section on the Tero website provides useful resources and activities to support and reinforce your learning. Click here if you are a Tero graduate to gain access to this exclusive area of the Tero website. Simply enter your full name and email address to gain access.

Back to top

Inspiration - Things to Think About

"If you are really thankful, what do you do? You share."

- W. Clement Stone

In your workplace and in your personal life, there are several reasons to be thankful. How can you incorporate today's technology with giving thanks? We invite you to consider this month's netiquette tips when giving thanks via email and on your social media sites. Whether you private message someone or post a status update, consider how you want to be perceived and the importance of electronic etiquette. We also invite you to send personal hand written thank you notes to colleagues, family, friends, etc.

Back to top

Tweet

Share

The Tero International Monthly eZine is written for the graduates and friends of Tero training programs. It is published by Tero International, Inc., 1840 NW 118th Street, Suite 107, Des Moines, Iowa 50325. Copyright

2013, Tero International, Inc. All rights reserved.

To contribute your ideas for future eZines, Click here.

If you received this newsletter from a friend and want a complimentary subscription of your own, <u>Click here.</u> Type Subscribe to eZine in the body of the email and send your message.



Tero International, Inc.

1840 NW 118th Street, Suite 107, Des Moines, Iowa 50325 Phone 515-221-2318

P. O. Box 241143, Omaha, Nebraska 68124-1143 Phone 402-334-6819

> Website <u>www.tero.com</u> Email <u>training@tero.com</u>

To **Unsubscribe** from this list, please send an email to <u>Unsubscribe</u> from the email account you wish to unsubscribe.

Message: FW: UIPL 26-12 - FY2012 State Workforce Agency UI Resource Planning Targets and Guidelines

Case Information:

Message Type:

Exchange

Message Direction:

Internal

Case:

IWD Senator Petersen Request - Version 3

Capture Date:

7/10/2014 1:31:39 PM

Item ID:

40860832

Policy Action:

Not Specified

Mark History:

No reviewing has been done

Policies:

No Policies attached

☑ FW: UIPL 26-12 - FY2012 State Workforce Agency UI Resource Planning

Targets and Guidelines

From

Taylor, Kelly [IWD]

Date Thursday, July 26, 2012

3:16 PM

To

Wilkinson, Michael [IWD]; O'Hair, Anieta [IWD];

Mikkelsen, Paul [IWD]

Cc

FYI

Kelly R. Taylor,

Bureau Chief, Financial Management

Iowa Workforce Development

Office: 515-281-4263, Cell: 515-201-0490

From: Castaneda, Chanel - ETA [mailto:Castaneda.Chanel@dol.gov]

Sent: Thursday, July 26, 2012 2:14 PM

To: Taylor, Kelly [IWD]; Melissa.Holbek@illinois.gov; Manley, Nancy (DWD); sdaniel@dwd.in.gov; Williams, Les; Lisa Kraus; Rick Gudenkauf; Tim Weishaar; arpohl@michigan.gov; Dave Hardies; Shelley Landgraf; tim.langlie@state.mn.us; Audrey.Cunningham@labor.mo.gov; rebecca.voss@dolir.mo.gov; Jen Utemark; Ward, Debbie Kay; Ohio-Grant-Award@odjfs.state.oh.us; Golliher, Rich - DWD; Jeanne Tregoning; Lange, Lorie; Mona Hou (Mona.hou@dwd.state.wi.us);

Rosemary.Valentine@dwd.wisconsin.gov

Cc: zzETA-CHI-WfS-DFMAS-Fiscal Group

Subject: UIPL 26-12 - FY2012 State Workforce Agency UI Resource Planning Targets and Guidelines

Hello Everyone – We just wanted to send this out as a follow-up to our R5 FGM call that we just had. TEGL 26-12 provides preliminary FY2012 dollar and staff year base resource planning targets for UI operations.

We just wanted to provide you this information so that your state can plan appropriately.

If you have any questions, please reach out to your UI contacts in the Regional V office.

Thank you!

Chanel Castaneda, CPA - ETA
Division of Financial Management & Administrative Services
230 S. Dearborn Street, 6th Floor
Chicago, IL 60604-1505
312-596-5453 castaneda.chanel@dol.gov

- Image 1
- Image 2
- Image 3
- Image 4
- Image 5
- Image 6

EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING ADMINISTRATION ADVISORY SYSTEM

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR Washington, D.C. 20210

CLASSIFICATION
Unemployment Insurance
CORRESPONDENCE SYMBOL
OUI/DFAS
DATE
July 26, 2013

ADVISORY: UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE PROGRAM LETTER NO. 26-12

TO: STATE WORKFORCE AGENCIES

FROM: JANE OATES /s/

Assistant Secretary

SUBJECT: Fiscal Year (FY) 2013 State Workforce Agency Unemployment Insurance (UI) Resource Planning Targets and Guidelines

1. Purpose.

- a. To provide preliminary FY 2013 dollar and staff year base resource planning targets for UI operations to be used in planning and developing State Quality Service Plans (SQSP);
- b. To provide general guidelines for FY 2013 resource planning; and
- c. To explain how base resources were allocated among states.

2. References.

- ET Handbook No. 336, 18th Edition, the *Unemployment Insurance State Quality Service Planning and Reporting Guidelines*; and
- ET Handbook No. 410, 4th Edition, Resource Justification Model (RJM).

3. FY 2013 Base Funding Level. The total amount for the FY 2013 UI planning targets is

\$2,451,240,000. This includes \$2,334,953,000 for base UI administration and \$116,287,000 for postage. These amounts are included in the Administration's FY 2013 appropriations request. If the final appropriation differs significantly from the request, adjustments may be made to the allocations.

4. Data Inputs. Minutes Per Unit (MPU) values, annual hours worked, non-workload staff years, personal services/personnel benefits (PS/PB) rates, and non-personal services (NPS) dollars for FY 2013 are drawn from the Resource Justification Model (RJM) data collection

submitted in 2012. The RJM data collection methodology is explained in ET Handbook No. 410. Base workloads are developed by the actuarial staff subject to the national limits of base workloads. The following table shows the changes in the data inputs for the planning targets from FY 2012 to FY 2013. These changes are described in more detail in section 7.

RESCISSIONS EXPIRATION DATE

None

July 26, 2013

Image 2

2

DATA INPUTS

CATEGORY FY 2012 Targets FY 2013 Targets

Base Workloads National Office projections National Office projections MPU values Average of actual for FY

2008, 2009, and 2010 (less state dollars/hours)*

Average of actual for FY 2009, 2010, and 2011 (less state dollars/hours)*

Annual hours worked FY 2012 projected* FY 2013 projected*

Non-Workload Staff Years

about:blank

FY 2010 actual FY 2011 actual

Systematic Alien Verification for Entitlement (SAVE) Staff Years

Average of quarterly staffing levels reported on the UI3 report during FY 2010

Average of quarterly staffing levels reported on the UI3 report during FY 2011

PS/PB rates FY 2010 actual, increased

annually by 3 percent*

FY 2011 actual, increased annually by 3 percent*

NPS dollars Average of actual for FY 2008

(inflated to FY 2010), FY 2009 (inflated to FY 2010), and FY 2010; less state dollars and one-time costs; increased annually by 3 percent

Average of actual for FY 2009 (inflated to FY 2011), FY 2010 (inflated to FY 2011), and FY 2011; less state dollars and onetime costs; increased annually by 3 percent

- * Both state supplemental PS/PB expenditures and the hours worked/paid associated with those expenditures were excluded from state RJM inputs, effectively leaving the PS/PB rates intact but reducing annual hours worked and MPU values.
- **5. Reduction to Availability.** The data inputs described above produced a national total base state funding request of \$2,675,769,817 for FY 2013. Base administrative funds anticipated to be available for FY 2013, \$2,334,953,000, are about 12.7 percent below the state requested level. The amount of funds available for allocation in each category (e.g., workload, Support, Administrative Staff and Technical Services (AS&T), and NPS) is determined by multiplying the percent each category represented of the total requested amount by the total dollars available, with two exceptions. The requested amounts for Benefit Payment Control (BPC) and UI Performs were not changed in the targets.
- 6. Highlights of Base Planning Targets.

a. Economic Assumptions. The FY 2013 UI planning targets reflect the economic assumptions used in the President's budget request. The key assumptions for FY

2013 affecting workloads and administrative costs are:

Percent

- Average Civilian Total Unemployment Rate 8.7
- Average Insured Unemployment Rate 3.0
- b. Base Workload Level. The FY 2013 national base claims-related workload was formulated at 2.3 million average weekly insured unemployment (AWIU).

Image 3

3

c. Funding Period. The "funding period" is the period during which states may obligate funds. States may obligate FY 2013 UI grant funds through December 31, 2013. However, states may obligate UI grant funds through September 30, 2015, if such

obligations are for automation acquisitions or competitive grants awarded to states for improved operations, or reemployment and eligibility assessments and improper payments. States have an additional 90 days after the end of the funding period to expend and liquidate obligations. Should an extension of the expenditure/liquidation period be necessary, a state must seek in writing the approval of the Grant Officer. Requests to extend the expenditure/liquidation period should be submitted to the regional office at least 30 days prior to the end of the existing deadline.

7. Allocation Methodologies.

A detailed description of the allocation methodologies follows.

- a. UI Base Staff.
- (1) Workload Functions Allocation Methodology. The FY 2013 methodology

seeks to achieve four objectives to the greatest extent possible: equitably allocate available resources so that the same level of service to claimants and employers is available in all states; promote administrative efficiency; enable resources to shift with workloads; and avoid abrupt shifts of resources among states from year to year.

1. Data Sources.

- 1. Time Factors. The MPU values are an average of the data for FY 2009, FY 2010, and FY 2011. The MPUs were calculated from data submitted in the RJM data collection instrument.
- 2. Work Hours. The hours per staff year are from the FY 2013 data in the RJM data collection instrument.
- 2. Workload Forecasts. Each state's total FY 2013 workloads for initial claims, weeks claimed, non-monetary determinations, appeals, subject employers, and wage records were forecasted using statistical models developed by the U.S. Department of Labor's (Department) actuaries. Each state's total workload in each category was reduced by the percent that the estimated national total workload exceeds the national total base workload for that category, i.e., each state receives funding for the same percent of its estimated total workload in its base budget allocation. Additional funds are available on a quarterly basis for claims-related workloads actually processed above the base level.

4

- 3. Determination of Allowable MPU Values. For FY 2013, the calculation using states' unreduced MPU values from the RJM data collection yielded 18,406 workload staff years. To fit the targets within available funds, the allocated MPU values were developed for the six base workload activities by reducing the MPU values for most states so that the number of targeted workload staff years equaled 15,954 staff years for which funds are available. MPU reductions in each of the six activities were made as follows:
- 1. MPUs were arrayed from the highest to the lowest MPU value.
- 2. The lowest ten MPU values were not reduced.
- 3. Within each of the six workload categories, the difference was calculated between each of the top 43 MPU values and the tenth lowest MPU. Differences were then reduced by a percentage determined by available resources, and the result for each state was added back to the tenth lowest MPU to obtain the allocated MPU for each state. In general, the higher the MPU, the greater its reduction; however, reductions in MPUs for states with relatively smaller workloads were mitigated by up to 25 percent of what the reduction otherwise would have been. The percent of the mitigation was determined by the relationship of the state's workload to the

largest workload among states being reduced.

- (2) Non-Workload Staff Years Allocation Methodology. Staff years for nonworkload functions are drawn from the FY 2011 data in the RJM data collection. Other than adjusting for any state supplemental funding, no reduction was applied to BPC and UI Performs staff years. Support and AS&T staff years were reduced by using the MPU reduction algorithm. The algorithm used the percentages that Support and AS&T staff represented of each state's total requested staff. The ten states with the lowest percentages in each category were not reduced. In general, the higher the percentage Support and/or AS&T staff represented of the total, the larger the reduction in Support and/or AS&T staff years. In addition, no state's Support staff years were reduced below the lesser of 15 staff years and the number of actual Support staff years used in FY 2011.
- b. Personnel Compensation Costs. The FY 2013 PS/PB rates were determined by using each state's FY 2011 PS/PB rate for each functional activity and increasing the result by 3 percent annually.
- c. Non-Personal Services. The FY 2013 NPS allocation was based on an average of the states' FY 2009, 2010, and 2011 NPS expenditures reported in the RJM, less any state supplemental NPS dollars and one-time expenditures. Before calculating the 3year average, the FY 2009 and FY 2010 expenditures were inflated to FY 2011

Image 5

5

dollars by using the Gross Domestic Product deflators; 0.9 percent in FY 2009 and 2.0 percent in FY 2010. The resulting 3-year average was then increased by 3 percent annually to arrive at the FY 2013 level which was reduced across-the-board to equal the NPS funding availability of \$562,421,106.

- d. Hold-Harmless Provisions. There is one hold-harmless provision for the FY 2013 planning targets.
- (1) Total Dollars. A "stop-loss" of 5 percent was imposed on states that would

have lost more in total base dollars from FY 2012, with a resulting "stop-gain" of 3.52 percent on states that would have gained more in total base dollars. This adjustment is shown on a separate line in Attachment I.

e. Postage. For FY 2013, the Department will allocate \$116,287,000 base postage resources directly to states. The postage allocation methodology uses projected base weeks claimed and subject employer workloads which are totaled for each state; base

postage resources are then calculated pro rata based on each state's share of the total workload. Attachment III displays the state level detail regarding this allocation.

8. General Guidelines for Above-Base Workload Resource Levels. The State Administration budget activity includes a reserve for above-base workloads.

The Department will use the quarterly hours data on the UI-1 report, the allocated claims activity staff years paid, and the allocated annual MPU values in the FY 2013 above-base certification process. States should submit the UI-1 report by October 1, 2012; the annual hours on the report should agree with the FY 2013 annual work hours used for each state's target allocation.

- a. Above-Base Overhead. The above-base overhead percentage will remain at 19 percent.
- b. Above-Base Resources. State agencies are reminded that above-base resources are

tied directly to above-base workloads. As above-base workloads decline, less abovebase funding will be made available to the state agencies. During periods of declining above-base resources, adjustment to staffing levels may be necessary.

c. Above-Base Instructions. General instructions for completing UI-3 reports are in ET Handbook No. 336, Chapter II. Specific implementation procedures for the abovebase certification process will be issued later this year in an Unemployment Insurance

Program Letter (UIPL) promulgating the final FY 2013 UI allocations.

9. Standard Form (SF) 424. Instructions for completing these forms are in ET Handbook No. 336, Chapter I. The forms are available in Portable Document Format (PDF) at http://www.grants.gov/agencies/aforms repository information.jsp (select "Active Forms"

then, "SF424 Family"). Ensure that total UI dollars are the same as the allocated levels. Only states that vary the quarterly number of claims activity staff years paid should submit

Image 6

6

the SF-424A (OMB Approval No. 4040-0006) and show the quarterly distribution in item 23 (Remarks) of the form. All states should submit the SF-424B (OMB Approval No. 40400007).

10. Bottom-Line Authority. The allocation methodology is a very detailed process that determines the funding level for each state; however, the assignment of resources by categories resulting from the methodology is not binding on state agencies' management. Since FY 1987, states have had full authority to shift resources among UI program categories as they deem appropriate and necessary to manage their UI programs to meet established program goals and requirements. Thus, states have the flexibility to move UI resources among UI program categories, among quarters within a fiscal year, and among specific cost categories. States are held accountable on a bottom-line basis, giving states the discretion to use UI administrative resources to meet their assessment of needs and to meet UI performance requirements. The only exception to bottom-line authority is that states may not

about:blank

change the staff year level in the claims activities category from the allocated staff year level for purposes of computing above-base resources. This is to ensure that states do not earn more above-base resources than they would otherwise have been entitled to earn.

11. Action Requested. State Administrators are requested to:

- a. Provide to the appropriate staff the FY 2013 planning targets and above instructions as soon as possible after receiving this UIPL.
- b. Review closely the attached tables and notify the appropriate regional office of any questions or concerns as soon as possible after receiving this UIPL, but no later than August 1, 2012.
- c. Submit to the appropriate regional office as part of the SQSP, the FY 2013 SF-424 (OMB Approval No. 4040-0004), 424A (if applicable), and 424B.
- d. Submit the FY 2013 UI-1 report via the UI Required Reports system by October 1, 2012.
- **12. Inquiries.** Questions should be directed to the appropriate regional office.

13. Attachments.

- I. FY 2013 Detailed State Base Staff Planning Levels
- II. Back-up Material for Allocation of FY 2013 UI Base Staff
- III. FY 2013 Base Postage Allocation

- Image 1
- Image 2
- Image 3
- Image 4
- Image 5
- Image 6
- Image 7
- Image 8
- Image 9
- Image 10
- Image 11
- Image 12
- Image 13
- Image 14
- Image 15
- Image 16
- Image 17
- Image 18
- Image 19
- Image 20
- Image 21
- Image 23
- Image 24
- Image 25
- Image 26
- Image 27
- Image 28
- Image 29
- Image 30
- Image 31
- Image 32
- Image 33
- Image 34
- Image 35
- Image 36
- Image 37 Image 38
- Image 39
- Image 40
- Image 41
- Image 42
- Image 43 Image 44
- Image 45
- Image 46
- Image 47
- Image 48
- Image 49
- Image 50
- Image 51
- Image 52
- <u>Image 53</u>
- Image 54

about:blank

- Image 55
- Image 56
- Image 57
- Image 58
- Image 59
- Image 60

Attachment I

NATIONAL TOTAL

FY2013ALLOCATION MAYTARGS

FUNCTION WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS

INITIAL CLAIMS 16,750,000 3,853.4 58,169 224,146,709 WEEKS CLAIMED 119,600,000 1,519.7 58,159 88,383,784 NON MONETARY 7,000,000 3,102.0 64,618 200,445,682 APPEALS 1,128,000 1,996.8 82,724 165,183,832 SAVE 74.4 74,202 5,520,600 CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 10,546.3 64,827 683,680,607 WAGE RECORDS 620,525,000 1,008.2 70,632 71,211,161 TAX FUNCTIONS 7,604,000 4,473.6 71,817 321,279,425 EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 5,481.8 71,599 392,490,586 BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 1739.1 66,592 115,810,140 UI PERFORMS 668.1 75,255 50,277,719 OPERATING STAFF 18,435.3 67,385 1,242,259,052 SUPPORT 20.02% 3,690.0 85,108 314,049,717 AS&T 2,490.1 86,833 216,223,125 TOTAL STAFF 24,615.4 72,009 1,772,531,894 NON-PERSONAL SERVICES 562,421,106 STOP-LOSS/STOP-GAIN 0 TOTAL ALLOCATION (excluding POSTAGE) 2,334,953,000 POSTAGE 116,287,000 TOTAL ALLOCATION (including POSTAGE) 2,451,240,000 ** Prepared by DOL/ETA/OUI, Division of Fiscal and Actuarial Services **

```
April 27, 2012
```

```
* * * DETAIL FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY * * *
```

REGION 1

FY2013ALLOCATION MAYTARGS

FUNCTION WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS

* * * DETAIL FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY * * *

```
INITIAL CLAIMS 2,430,253 533.7 62,078 33,131,147
WEEKS CLAIMED 22,489,443 301.7 60,994 18,401,745
NON MONETARY 659,524 496.6 78,472 38,969,241
APPEALS 112,058 254.0 95,508 24,259,050
SAVE 10.6 85,869 910,214
CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 1,596.6 72,449 115,671,397
WAGE RECORDS 92,914,939 59.4 78,232 4,646,970
TAX FUNCTIONS 1,238,453 752.2 84,855 63,827,850
EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 811.6 84,370 68,474,820
BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 251.9 76,270 19,212,393
UI PERFORMS 76.2 83,510 6,363,485
OPERATING STAFF 2,736.3 76,644 209,722,095
SUPPORT 28.20% 771.5 100,334 77,407,599
AS&T 480.1 81,225 38,996,025
TOTAL STAFF 3,987.9 81,779 326,125,719
NON-PERSONAL SERVICES 77,058,872
STOP-LOSS/STOP-GAIN 1,124,211
TOTAL ALLOCATION (excluding POSTAGE) 404,308,802
POSTAGE 21,273,023
TOTAL ALLOCATION (including POSTAGE) 425,581,825
** Prepared by DOL/ETA/OUI, Division of Fiscal and Actuarial Services **
************
April 27, 2012
```

```
CONNECTICUT
```

FY2013ALLOCATION MAYTARGS

WORK HOURS 1,757.61

FUNCTION MPU WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS

INITIAL CLAIMS 20.304 204,464 39.4 96,231 3,791,501

WEEKS CLAIMED 1.364 1,873,138 24.2 93,483 2,262,289

NON MONETARY 68.280 78,391 50.8 128,161 6,510,579

APPEALS 201.607 12,486 23.9 130,594 3,121,197

SAVE 1.0 122,323 122,323

CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 139.3 113,481 15,807,889

WAGE RECORDS 0.082 7,500,986 5.8 143,837 834,255

TAX FUNCTIONS 80.749 96,462 73.9 145,560 10,756,884

EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 79.7 145,435 11,591,139

BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 19.3 103,482 1,997,203

UI PERFORMS 480 5.7 117,400 669,180

OPERATING STAFF 244.0 123,219 30,065,411

SUPPORT 8.98% 21.9 154,041 3,373,498

AS&T 40.4 147,049 5,940,780

TOTAL STAFF 306.3 128,566 39,379,689

NON-PERSONAL SERVICES 5,314,465

STOP-LOSS/STOP-GAIN 0

TOTAL ALLOCATION (excluding POSTAGE) 44,694,154

POSTAGE 1,751,085

TOTAL ALLOCATION (including POSTAGE) 46,445,239

** Prepared by DOL/ETA/OUI, Division of Fiscal and Actuarial Services **

April 27, 2012

* * * DETAIL FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY * * *

Print Page 34 of 193

Image 4

```
MAINE
```

```
FY2013ALLOCATION MAYTARGS
```

WORK HOURS 1,742.78

FUNCTION MPU WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS

INITIAL CLAIMS 19.340 71,674 13.3 51,378 683,327 WEEKS CLAIMED 2.196 508,316 10.7 53,689 574,472 NON MONETARY 54.953 26,561 14.0 62,605 876,470 APPEALS 230.942 5,553 12.3 77,117 948,539 SAVE 0.1 65,696 6,570 CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 50.4 61,297 3,089,378 WAGE RECORDS 0.070 3,179,184 2.1 62,124 130,460 TAX FUNCTIONS 72.864 41,849 29.2 61,642 1,799,946 EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 31.3 61,674 1,930,406 BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 6.9 59,534 410,785 UI PERFORMS 360 9.2 69,097 635,692 OPERATING STAFF 97.8 62,027 6,066,261 SUPPORT 20.76% 20.3 89,536 1,817,581 AS&T 20.5 95,282 1,953,281 TOTAL STAFF 138.6 70,975 9,837,123 NON-PERSONAL SERVICES 2,808,982 STOP-LOSS/STOP-GAIN 339,793 TOTAL ALLOCATION (excluding POSTAGE) 12,985,898 POSTAGE 523,788 TOTAL ALLOCATION (including POSTAGE) 13,509,686 ** Prepared by DOL/ETA/OUI, Division of Fiscal and Actuarial Services ** ********** ******************* April 27, 2012

Image 5

* * * DETAIL FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY * * *

```
MASSACHUSETTS

F Y 2 0 1 3 A L L O C A T I O N MAYTARGS

WORK HOURS 1,585.50

FUNCTION MPU WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS
```

```
INITIAL CLAIMS 23.009 343,945 83.2 66,721 5,551,187
WEEKS CLAIMED 1.597 3,404,664 57.2 66,485 3,802,942
NON MONETARY 84.547 72,327 64.3 73,311 4,713,897
APPEALS 218.978 18,522 42.6 80,135 3,413,751
SAVE 2.0 76,412 152,824
CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 249.3 70,736 17,634,601
WAGE RECORDS 0.065 13,367,409 9.1 77,405 704,386
TAX FUNCTIONS 30.292 209,620 66.7 78,707 5,249,757
EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 75.8 78,551 5,954,143
BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 36.5 71,870 2,623,255
UI PERFORMS 480 9.8 94,882 929,844
OPERATING STAFF 371.4 73,080 27,141,843
SUPPORT 32.23% 119.7 95,755 11,461,874
AS&T 34.4 95,662 3,290,773
TOTAL STAFF 525.5 79,723 41,894,490
NON-PERSONAL SERVICES 11,772,729
STOP-LOSS/STOP-GAIN 0
TOTAL ALLOCATION (excluding POSTAGE) 53,667,219
POSTAGE 3,289,132
TOTAL ALLOCATION (including POSTAGE) 56,956,351
** Prepared by DOL/ETA/OUI, Division of Fiscal and Actuarial Services **
April 27, 2012
```

Image 6

* * * DETAIL FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY * * *

NEW HAMPSHIRE

Page 36 of 193 Print

```
FY2013ALLOCATION MAYTARGS
WORK HOURS 1,621.72
FUNCTION MPU WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS
INITIAL CLAIMS 22.057 63,645 14.4 68,438 985,507
WEEKS CLAIMED 2.806 443,305 12.8 68,644 878,643
NON MONETARY 52.371 37,573 20.2 80,432 1,624,726
APPEALS 216.968 3,779 8.4 85,679 719,704
SAVE 0.1 74,159 7,416
CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 55.9 75,420 4,215,996
WAGE RECORDS 0.131 2,848,073 3.8 52,624 199,971
TAX FUNCTIONS 71.553 39,704 29.2 75,203 2,195,928
EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 33.0 72,603 2,395,899
BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 7.1 64,288 456,445
UI PERFORMS 360 3.6 69,019 248,468
OPERATING STAFF 99.6 73,462 7,316,808
SUPPORT 20.68% 20.6 77,117 1,588,610
AS&T 38.2 54,639 2,087,210
TOTAL STAFF 158.4 69,398 10,992,628
NON-PERSONAL SERVICES 1,219,817
STOP-LOSS/STOP-GAIN 0
TOTAL ALLOCATION (excluding POSTAGE) 12,212,445
```

POSTAGE 466,743

TOTAL ALLOCATION (including POSTAGE) 12,679,188

** Prepared by DOL/ETA/OUI, Division of Fiscal and Actuarial Services **

April 27, 2012

* * * DETAIL FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY * * *

Image 7

NEW JERSEY

FY2013ALLOCATION MAYTARGS

```
WORK HOURS 1,481.83
FUNCTION MPU WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS
SAVE 1.6 81,717 130,747
```

INITIAL CLAIMS 28.428 463,069 148.1 67,937 10,061,470

WEEKS CLAIMED 1.077 4,832,940 58.5 73,463 4,297,586

NON MONETARY 61.222 158,263 109.0 80,203 8,742,127

APPEALS 171.091 30,693 59.1 95,629 5,651,674

CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 376.3 76,757 28,883,604

WAGE RECORDS 0.084 17,658,349 16.7 79,267 1,323,759

TAX FUNCTIONS 37.233 230,253 96.4 97,864 9,434,090

EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 113.1 95,118 10,757,849

BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 45.3 90,087 4,080,941

UI PERFORMS 480 11.9 111,743 1,329,742

OPERATING STAFF 546.6 82,422 45,052,136

SUPPORT 36.59% 200.0 110,121 22,024,200

AS&T 68.3 101,441 6,928,420

TOTAL STAFF 814.9 90,815 74,004,756

NON-PERSONAL SERVICES 21,451,216

STOP-LOSS/STOP-GAIN 0

TOTAL ALLOCATION (excluding POSTAGE) 95,455,972

POSTAGE 4,460,256

TOTAL ALLOCATION (including POSTAGE) 99,916,228

** Prepared by DOL/ETA/OUI, Division of Fiscal and Actuarial Services **

April 27, 2012

* * * DETAIL FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY * * *

Image 8

NEW YORK

FY2013ALLOCATION MAYTARGS

WORK HOURS 1,617.96

FUNCTION MPU WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS

INITIAL CLAIMS 11.662 1,053,837 126.6 63,132 7,992,511 WEEKS CLAIMED 0.953 9,187,156 90.2 57,897 5,222,309 NON MONETARY 83.885 203,681 176.0 80,243 14,122,768 APPEALS 254.350 31,506 82.5 106,526 8,788,395 SAVE 5.5 85,532 470,426 CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 480.8 76,116 36,596,409 WAGE RECORDS 0.019 40,433,616 7.9 80,635 637,017 TAX FUNCTIONS 69.342 495,131 353.7 85,053 30,083,246 EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 361.6 84,956 30,720,263 BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 102.6 79,553 8,162,138 UI PERFORMS 480 12.3 108,603 1,335,817 OPERATING STAFF 957.3 80,241 76,814,627 SUPPORT 30.84% 295.2 108,324 31,977,245 AS&T 157.6 94,505 14,893,988 TOTAL STAFF 1,410.1 87,714 123,685,860 NON-PERSONAL SERVICES 26,649,837 STOP-LOSS/STOP-GAIN 0 TOTAL ALLOCATION (excluding POSTAGE) 150,335,697 POSTAGE 8,656,783 TOTAL ALLOCATION (including POSTAGE) 158,992,480 ** Prepared by DOL/ETA/OUI, Division of Fiscal and Actuarial Services ** *************** April 27, 2012 * * * DETAIL FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY * * *

Image 9

PUERTO RICO

FY2013ALLOCATION MAYTARGS

WORK HOURS 1,105.68

FUNCTION MPU WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS

INITIAL CLAIMS 40.289 120,113 72.9 22,362 1,630,190 WEEKS CLAIMED 1.942 1,404,295 41.1 22,362 919,078 NON MONETARY 58.946 51,209 45.5 25,435 1,157,293 APPEALS 219.482 4,223 14.0 27,593 386,302 SAVE 0.1 24,253 2,425 CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 173.6 23,590 4,095,288 WAGE RECORDS 0.071 4,026,628 4.3 45,066 193,784 TAX FUNCTIONS 69.033 67,813 70.6 24,722 1,745,373 EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 74.9 25,890 1,939,157 BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 20.8 24,413 507,790 UI PERFORMS 480 13.8 24,811 342,392 OPERATING STAFF 283.1 24,319 6,884,627 SUPPORT 18.09% 51.2 31,630 1,619,456 AS&T 103.9 22,138 2,300,138 TOTAL STAFF 438.2 24,656 10,804,221 NON-PERSONAL SERVICES 4,700,710 STOP-LOSS/STOP-GAIN 0 TOTAL ALLOCATION (excluding POSTAGE) 15,504,931 POSTAGE 1,298,824 TOTAL ALLOCATION (including POSTAGE) 16,803,755 ** Prepared by DOL/ETA/OUI, Division of Fiscal and Actuarial Services ** April 27, 2012 * * * DETAIL FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY * * *

Image 10

RHODE ISLAND

FY2013ALLOCATION MAYTARGS

WORK HOURS 1,478.20

FUNCTION MPU WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS

INITIAL CLAIMS 34.953 66,759 26.3 70,554 1,855,570
WEEKS CLAIMED 0.391 488,687 2.2 76,469 168,232
NON MONETARY 52.999 17,556 10.5 80,094 840,987
APPEALS 180.841 3,718 7.6 126,071 958,140
SAVE 0.2 87,416 17,483
CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 46.8 82,060 3,840,412
WAGE RECORDS 0.039 2,129,909 0.9 69,024 62,122
TAX FUNCTIONS 38.132 32,633 14.0 96,525 1,351,350
EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 14.9 94,864 1,413,472
BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 3.9 82,945 323,486
UI PERFORMS 480 3.7 105,482 390,283
OPERATING STAFF 69.3 86,113 5,967,653
SUPPORT 27.13% 18.8 112,254 2,110,375
AS&T 7.7 113,360 872,872
TOTAL STAFF 95.8 93,433 8,950,900
NON-PERSONAL SERVICES 1,338,257
STOP-LOSS/STOP-GAIN 805,692
TOTAL ALLOCATION (excluding POSTAGE) 11,094,849
POSTAGE 479,996
TOTAL ALLOCATION (including POSTAGE) 11,574,845
** Prepared by DOL/ETA/OUI, Division of Fiscal and Actuarial Services **

April 27, 2012
* * * DETAIL FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY * * *

VERMONT

F Y 2 O 1 3 A L L O C A T I O N MAYTARGS

WORK HOURS 1,728.07

FUNCTION MPU WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS

INITIAL CLAIMS 20.611 39,695 7.9 65,752 519,441

```
WEEKS CLAIMED 1.186 313,520 3.6 64,026 230,494
NON MONETARY 40.159 13,252 5.1 65,642 334,774
APPEALS 242.353 1,485 3.5 76,515 267,803
SAVE 0.0 72,877 0
CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 20.1 67,289 1,352,512
WAGE RECORDS 0.447 1,586,586 6.8 70,667 480,536
TAX FUNCTIONS 70.91 21,403 14.6 72,272 1,055,171
EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 21.4 71,762 1,535,707
BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 8.3 72,447 601,310
UI PERFORMS 360 5.8 80,313 465,815
OPERATING STAFF 55.6 71,139 3,955,344
SUPPORT 18.71% 10.4 86,052 894,941
AS&T 7.7 85,034 654,762
TOTAL STAFF 73.7 74,695 5,505,047
NON-PERSONAL SERVICES 1,274,659
STOP-LOSS/STOP-GAIN 0
TOTAL ALLOCATION (excluding POSTAGE) 6,779,706
POSTAGE 309,393
TOTAL ALLOCATION (including POSTAGE) 7,089,099
** Prepared by DOL/ETA/OUI, Division of Fiscal and Actuarial Services **
************
************
April 27, 2012
* * * DETAIL FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY * * *
```

VIRGIN ISLANDS

FY2013ALLOCATION MAYTARGS

WORK HOURS 1,709.57

FUNCTION MPU WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS

INITIAL CLAIMS 54.674 3,052 1.6 37,777 60,443

WEEKS CLAIMED 3.641 33,422 1.2 38,083 45,700

Print

```
NON MONETARY 172.625 711 1.2 38,017 45,620
APPEALS 115.601 93 0.1 35,454 3,545
SAVE 0.0 39,449 0
CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 4.1 37,880 155,308
WAGE RECORDS 1.103 184,199 2.0 40,340 80,680
TAX FUNCTIONS 112.622 3,585 3.9 40,027 156,105
EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 5.9 40,133 236,785
BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 1.2 40,867 49,040
UI PERFORMS 0 0.4 40,629 16,252
OPERATING STAFF 11.6 39,430 457,385
SUPPORT 115.52% 13.4 40,285 539,819
AS&T 1.4 52,715 73,801
TOTAL STAFF 26.4 40,568 1,071,005
NON-PERSONAL SERVICES 528,200
STOP-LOSS/STOP-GAIN -21,274
TOTAL ALLOCATION (excluding POSTAGE) 1,577,931
POSTAGE 37,023
TOTAL ALLOCATION (including POSTAGE) 1,614,954
** Prepared by DOL/ETA/OUI, Division of Fiscal and Actuarial Services **
***********
April 27, 2012
* * * DETAIL FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY * * *
```

Image 13

REGION 2

FY2013ALLOCATION MAYTARGS

FUNCTION WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS

INITIAL CLAIMS 1,762,464 425.2 55,389 23,551,551
WEEKS CLAIMED 12,856,862 216.7 53,527 11,599,351

NON MONETARY 659,840 283.9 58,215 16,527,165

```
APPEALS 114,944 211.4 74,741 15,800,253
SAVE 2.5 65,471 163,678
CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 1,139.7 59,351 67,641,998
WAGE RECORDS 60,242,028 62.5 50,262 3,141,384
TAX FUNCTIONS 720,247 528.7 68,714 36,329,108
EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 591.2 66,763 39,470,492
BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 194.5 65,760 12,790,296
UI PERFORMS 63.3 71,572 4,530,488
OPERATING STAFF 1,988.7 62,570 124,433,274
SUPPORT 21.63% 430.1 74,277 31,946,706
AS&T 244.5 82,283 20,118,258
TOTAL STAFF 2,663.3 66,271 176,498,238
NON-PERSONAL SERVICES 60,155,150
STOP-LOSS/STOP-GAIN 0
TOTAL ALLOCATION (excluding POSTAGE) 236,653,388
POSTAGE 12,199,414
TOTAL ALLOCATION (including POSTAGE) 248,852,802
** Prepared by DOL/ETA/OUI, Division of Fiscal and Actuarial Services **
************
April 27, 2012
* * * DETAIL FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY * * *
```

DELAWARE

FY2013ALLOCATION MAYTARGS

WORK HOURS 1,648.17

FUNCTION MPU WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS

INITIAL CLAIMS 26.726 49,208 13.3 45,254 601,878
WEEKS CLAIMED 1.617 357,704 5.8 48,082 278,876
NON MONETARY 60.168 18,854 11.5 57,967 666,621
APPEALS 177.071 4,477 8.0 62,270 498,160

```
SAVE 0.1 57,630 5,763
CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 38.7 53,005 2,051,298
WAGE RECORDS 0.208 1,980,579 4.2 55,523 233,197
TAX FUNCTIONS 82.902 25,647 21.5 59,256 1,274,004
EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 25.7 58,646 1,507,201
BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 10.6 53,324 565,234
UI PERFORMS 360 7.8 57,237 446,449
OPERATING STAFF 82.8 55,195 4,570,182
SUPPORT 23.19% 19.2 73,858 1,418,074
AS&T 5.9 74,039 436,830
TOTAL STAFF 107.9 59,547 6,425,086
NON-PERSONAL SERVICES 2,441,434
STOP-LOSS/STOP-GAIN 0
TOTAL ALLOCATION (excluding POSTAGE) 8,866,520
POSTAGE 356,802
TOTAL ALLOCATION (including POSTAGE) 9,223,322
** Prepared by DOL/ETA/OUI, Division of Fiscal and Actuarial Services **
```

* * * DETAIL FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY * * *

Image 15

DIST. OF COLUMBIA

FY2013ALLOCATION MAYTARGS

WORK HOURS 1,743.71

FUNCTION MPU WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS

INITIAL CLAIMS 46.274 38,630 17.1 69,041 1,180,601

WEEKS CLAIMED 1.327 356,207 4.5 67,217 302,477

NON MONETARY 64.592 13,130 8.1 73,326 593,941

APPEALS 132.791 1,542 2.0 129,879 259,758

SAVE 0.1 74,880 7,488

```
CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 31.8 73,719 2,344,265
WAGE RECORDS 0.044 2,379,959 1.0 77,097 77,097
TAX FUNCTIONS 70.353 29,441 19.8 79,499 1,574,080
EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 20.8 79,384 1,651,177
BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 3.8 78,087 296,731
UI PERFORMS 480 6.8 83,310 566,508
OPERATING STAFF 63.2 76,878 4,858,681
SUPPORT 24.84% 15.7 83,755 1,314,954
AS&T 15.3 100,787 1,542,041
TOTAL STAFF 94.2 81,907 7,715,676
NON-PERSONAL SERVICES 1,294,348
STOP-LOSS/STOP-GAIN 0
TOTAL ALLOCATION (excluding POSTAGE) 9,010,024
POSTAGE 367,405
TOTAL ALLOCATION (including POSTAGE) 9,377,429
** Prepared by DOL/ETA/OUI, Division of Fiscal and Actuarial Services **
April 27, 2012
* * * DETAIL FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY * * *
```

MARYT.AND

F Y 2 O 1 3 A L L O C A T I O N MAYTARGS

WORK HOURS 1,735.67

FUNCTION MPU WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS

INITIAL CLAIMS 24.972 258,177 61.9 56,634 3,505,645
WEEKS CLAIMED 1.266 1,903,149 23.1 61,388 1,418,063
NON MONETARY 42.315 212,963 86.5 58,891 5,094,072
APPEALS 155.520 28,437 42.5 96,086 4,083,655
SAVE 1.1 69,684 76,652
CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 215.1 65,914 14,178,087

about:blank 7/21/2014

```
WAGE RECORDS 0.139 11,567,373 15.4 63,088 971,555
TAX FUNCTIONS 97.364 136,333 127.5 64,681 8,246,828
EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 142.9 64,509 9,218,383
BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 37.9 68,169 2,583,605
UI PERFORMS 480 16.0 80,364 1,285,824
OPERATING STAFF 411.9 66,195 27,265,899
SUPPORT 23.62% 97.3 88,030 8,565,319
AS&T 45.9 74,556 3,422,120
TOTAL STAFF 555.1 70,714 39,253,338
NON-PERSONAL SERVICES 10,957,031
STOP-LOSS/STOP-GAIN 0
TOTAL ALLOCATION (excluding POSTAGE) 50,210,369
POSTAGE 1,897,974
TOTAL ALLOCATION (including POSTAGE) 52,108,343
** Prepared by DOL/ETA/OUI, Division of Fiscal and Actuarial Services **
April 27, 2012
* * * DETAIL FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY * * *
```

PENNSYLVANIA

FY2013ALLOCATION MAYTARGS

WORK HOURS 1,641.44

FUNCTION MPU WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS

INITIAL CLAIMS 21.535 1,061,148 232.0 57,332 13,301,024
WEEKS CLAIMED 1.734 7,935,014 139.7 54,966 7,678,750
NON MONETARY 34.945 269,822 95.7 64,876 6,208,633
APPEALS 206.982 56,045 117.8 71,706 8,446,967
SAVE 0.8 65,926 52,741
CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 586.0 60,901 35,688,115
WAGE RECORDS 0.037 24,381,229 9.2 71,435 657,202

```
TAX FUNCTIONS 79.496 297,508 240.1 76,533 18,375,573
EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 249.3 76,345 19,032,775
BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 106.6 71,401 7,611,347
UI PERFORMS 480 15.7 76,818 1,206,043
OPERATING STAFF 957.6 66,352 63,538,280
SUPPORT 20.19% 193.3 72,155 13,947,562
AS&T 103.7 92,767 9,619,938
TOTAL STAFF 1,254.6 69,429 87,105,780
NON-PERSONAL SERVICES 32,746,816
STOP-LOSS/STOP-GAIN 0
TOTAL ALLOCATION (excluding POSTAGE) 119,852,596
POSTAGE 7,073,407
TOTAL ALLOCATION (including POSTAGE) 126,926,003
** Prepared by DOL/ETA/OUI, Division of Fiscal and Actuarial Services **
April 27, 2012
* * * DETAIL FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY * * *
```

VIRGINIA

F Y 2 0 1 3 A L L O C A T I O N MAYTARGS

WORK HOURS 1,844.60

FUNCTION MPU WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS

INITIAL CLAIMS 31.366 288,222 81.7 50,874 4,156,406
WEEKS CLAIMED 2.067 1,756,634 32.8 45,023 1,476,754
NON MONETARY 64.122 118,143 68.4 48,555 3,321,162
APPEALS 174.356 20,394 32.1 60,565 1,944,137
SAVE 0.4 52,585 21,034
CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 215.4 50,694 10,919,493
WAGE RECORDS 0.179 16,698,287 27.0 34,319 926,613
TAX FUNCTIONS 50.393 195,710 89.1 57,277 5,103,381

```
EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 116.1 51,938 6,029,994
BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 21.7 49,271 1,069,181
UI PERFORMS 480 6.4 71,437 457,197
OPERATING STAFF 359.6 51,379 18,475,865
SUPPORT 22.19% 79.8 62,870 5,017,026
AS&T 51 73,564 3,751,764
TOTAL STAFF 490.4 55,556 27,244,655
NON-PERSONAL SERVICES 9,490,564
STOP-LOSS/STOP-GAIN 0
TOTAL ALLOCATION (excluding POSTAGE) 36,735,219
POSTAGE 1,968,509
TOTAL ALLOCATION (including POSTAGE) 38,703,728
** Prepared by DOL/ETA/OUI, Division of Fiscal and Actuarial Services **
**********
April 27, 2012
* * * DETAIL FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY * * *
```

WEST VIRGINIA

F Y 2 O 1 3 A L L O C A T I O N MAYTARGS

WORK HOURS 1,654.79

FUNCTION MPU WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS

INITIAL CLAIMS 28.381 67,079 19.2 41,979 805,997
WEEKS CLAIMED 1.949 548,154 10.8 41,151 444,431
NON MONETARY 50.382 26,928 13.7 46,915 642,736
APPEALS 221.192 4,049 9.0 63,064 567,576
SAVE 0.0 51,950 0
CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 52.7 46,693 2,460,740
WAGE RECORDS 0.176 3,234,601 5.7 48,372 275,720
TAX FUNCTIONS 85.652 35,608 30.7 57,174 1,755,242
EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 36.4 55,796 2,030,962

```
BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 13.9 47,784 664,198
UI PERFORMS 480 10.6 53,629 568,467
OPERATING STAFF 113.6 50,391 5,724,367
SUPPORT 21.83% 24.8 67,894 1,683,771
AS&T 22.7 59,276 1,345,565
TOTAL STAFF 161.1 54,337 8,753,703
NON-PERSONAL SERVICES 3,224,957
STOP-LOSS/STOP-GAIN 0
TOTAL ALLOCATION (excluding POSTAGE) 11,978,660
POSTAGE 535, 317
TOTAL ALLOCATION (including POSTAGE) 12,513,977
** Prepared by DOL/ETA/OUI, Division of Fiscal and Actuarial Services **
***********
*****************
April 27, 2012
* * * DETAIL FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY * * *
```

REGION 3

FY2013ALLOCATION MAYTARGS

FUNCTION WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS

INITIAL CLAIMS 3,131,564 724.9 47,670 34,556,223
WEEKS CLAIMED 17,918,497 232.4 47,701 11,085,724
NON MONETARY 1,007,607 413.0 51,038 21,078,699
APPEALS 246,274 391.2 59,154 23,140,929
SAVE 8.0 54,649 437,188
CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 1,769.5 51,031 90,298,763
WAGE RECORDS 109,388,539 134.6 47,206 6,353,981
TAX FUNCTIONS 1,287,587 864.4 56,933 49,212,918
EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 999.0 55,623 55,566,899
BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 303.4 54,231 16,453,636

ALABAMA

FY2013ALLOCATION MAYTARGS

WORK HOURS 1,751.49

FUNCTION MPU WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS

INITIAL CLAIMS 23.869 257,363 58.5 58,231 3,406,514
WEEKS CLAIMED 1.502 1,316,779 18.8 58,294 1,095,927
NON MONETARY 55.707 73,820 39.1 61,688 2,412,001
APPEALS 190.480 16,453 29.8 65,339 1,947,102
SAVE 0.2 65,030 13,006
CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 146.4 60,619 8,874,550
WAGE RECORDS 0.083 8,017,390 6.3 73,389 462,351
TAX FUNCTIONS 77.591 85,716 63.3 72,341 4,579,185
EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 69.6 72,436 5,041,536
BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 34.2 64,648 2,210,962
UI PERFORMS 480.0 20.6 72,244 1,488,226

FLORIDA

FY2013ALLOCATION MAYTARGS

WORK HOURS 1,879.38

FUNCTION MPU WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS

INITIAL CLAIMS 25.385 738,179 166.2 47,013 7,813,561
WEEKS CLAIMED 1.524 5,196,801 70.2 46,214 3,244,223
NON MONETARY 44.661 371,912 147.3 48,662 7,167,913
APPEALS 152.350 92,374 124.8 66,194 8,261,011
SAVE 4.5 55,019 247,586
CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 513.0 52,114 26,734,294
WAGE RECORDS 0.105 34,120,130 31.8 41,073 1,306,121
TAX FUNCTIONS 66.128 455,970 267.4 55,054 14,721,440
EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 299.2 53,568 16,027,561
BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 73.9 48,423 3,578,460
UI PERFORMS 480 39.7 61,168 2,428,370
OPERATING STAFF 925.8 52,677 48,768,685

GEORGIA

FY2013ALLOCATION MAYTARGS

WORK HOURS 1,780.36

FUNCTION MPU WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS

INITIAL CLAIMS 23.998 584,420 131.3 40,510 5,318,963
WEEKS CLAIMED 1.179 2,803,760 30.9 42,003 1,297,893
NON MONETARY 42.941 139,927 56.2 46,846 2,632,745
APPEALS 187.801 38,895 68.4 50,372 3,445,445
SAVE 1.5 49,496 74,244
CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 288.3 44,292 12,769,290
WAGE RECORDS 0.259 16,104,433 39.0 44,793 1,746,927
TAX FUNCTIONS 79.278 204,431 151.7 50,510 7,662,367
EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 190.7 49,341 9,409,294
BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 30.3 48,967 1,483,700
UI PERFORMS 480 8.9 60,764 540,800
OPERATING STAFF 518.2 46,706 24,203,084
SUPPORT 22.54% 116.8 69,429 8,109,307

KENTUCKY

FY2013ALLOCATION MAYTARGS

WORK HOURS 1,681.07

FUNCTION MPU WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS

INITIAL CLAIMS 20.822 268,611 55.5 56,413 3,130,922
WEEKS CLAIMED 0.875 1,400,699 12.2 56,413 688,239
NON MONETARY 39.264 67,078 26.1 59,847 1,562,007
APPEALS 183.547 15,616 28.4 58,494 1,661,230
SAVE 0.4 59,438 23,775
CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 122.6 57,636 7,066,173
WAGE RECORDS 0.052 8,126,240 4.2 47,932 201,314
TAX FUNCTIONS 76.593 84,238 64.0 62,801 4,019,264
EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 68.2 61,885 4,220,578
BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 17.0 67,522 1,147,874
UI PERFORMS 480 6.7 52,132 349,284
OPERATING STAFF 214.5 59,599 12,783,909
SUPPORT 19.58% 42.0 60,310 2,533,020
AS&T 23.7 76,401 1,810,704

about:blank 7/21/2014

MISSISSIPPI

FY2013ALLOCATION MAYTARGS

WORK HOURS 1,787.42

FUNCTION MPU WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS

INITIAL CLAIMS 23.784 121,019 26.8 45,389 1,216,425

WEEKS CLAIMED 2.012 777,365 14.6 44,258 646,167

NON MONETARY 49.560 59,173 27.3 45,983 1,255,336

APPEALS 172.001 15,438 24.8 51,378 1,274,174

SAVE 0.0 50,264 0

CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 93.5 46,974 4,392,102

WAGE RECORDS 0.185 5,048,536 8.7 44,529 387,402

TAX FUNCTIONS 69.303 54,060 34.9 53,840 1,879,016

EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 43.6 51,982 2,266,418

BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 21.4 51,346 1,098,804

UI PERFORMS 480 18.6 54,018 1,004,735

OPERATING STAFF 177.1 49,475 8,762,059

SUPPORT 12.93% 22.9 63,590 1,456,211

AS&T 23.9 66,518 1,589,780

TOTAL STAFF 223.9 52,738 11,808,050

NORTH CAROLINA

FY2013ALLOCATION MAYTARGS

WORK HOURS 1,826.48

FUNCTION MPU WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS

* * * DETAIL FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY * * *

INITIAL CLAIMS 23.886 621,629 135.5 50,631 6,860,501 WEEKS CLAIMED 1.236 3,331,080 37.6 50,590 1,902,184 NON MONETARY 46.478 139,991 59.4 53,796 3,195,482 APPEALS 177.253 34,467 55.7 63,006 3,509,434 SAVE 1.0 57,542 57,542 CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 289.2 53,683 15,525,143 WAGE RECORDS 0.077 17,586,472 12.4 61,726 765,402 TAX FUNCTIONS 68.756 194,857 122.3 63,488 7,764,582 EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 134.7 63,326 8,529,984 BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 47.6 62,246 2,962,910 UI PERFORMS 480 17.1 75,361 1,288,673 OPERATING STAFF 488.6 57,934 28,306,710 SUPPORT 13.51% 66.0 76,710 5,062,860 AS&T 55.5 90,568 5,026,524 TOTAL STAFF 610.1 62,934 38,396,094 NON-PERSONAL SERVICES 14,285,867

SOUTH CAROLINA

FY2013ALLOCATION MAYTARGS

WORK HOURS 1,651.90

FUNCTION MPU WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS

INITIAL CLAIMS 33.424 244,503 82.5 42,100 3,473,250 WEEKS CLAIMED 1.706 1,425,496 24.5 42,068 1,030,666 NON MONETARY 40.324 78,500 31.9 43,686 1,393,583 APPEALS 193.561 13,555 26.5 52,953 1,403,255 SAVE 0.1 46,829 4,683 CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 165.5 44,142 7,305,437 WAGE RECORDS 0.177 8,377,974 15.0 41,170 617,550 TAX FUNCTIONS 77.652 96,891 75.9 49,013 3,720,087 EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 90.9 47,719 4,337,637 BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 36.6 47,306 1,731,400 UI PERFORMS 480 13.9 60,806 845,203 OPERATING STAFF 306.9 46,333 14,219,677 SUPPORT 16.94% 52.0 62,832 3,267,264 AS&T 64.2 58,947 3,784,397 TOTAL STAFF 423.1 50,275 21,271,338 NON-PERSONAL SERVICES 4,641,045 STOP-LOSS/STOP-GAIN 0

* * * DETAIL FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY * * *

Image 28

TENNESSEE

FY2013ALLOCATION MAYTARGS

WORK HOURS 1,688.41

FUNCTION MPU WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS

INITIAL CLAIMS 23.502 295,840 68.6 48,631 3,336,087 WEEKS CLAIMED 1.433 1,666,517 23.6 50,018 1,180,425 NON MONETARY 33.675 77,206 25.7 56,795 1,459,632 APPEALS 170.419 19,476 32.8 49,978 1,639,278 SAVE 0.3 54,508 16,352 CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 151.0 50,542 7,631,774 WAGE RECORDS 0.145 12,007,364 17.2 50,402 866,914 TAX FUNCTIONS 77.164 111,424 84.9 57,326 4,866,977 EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 102.1 56,160 5,733,891 BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 42.4 52,819 2,239,526 UI PERFORMS 480 12.3 68,818 846,461 OPERATING STAFF 307.8 53,449 16,451,652 SUPPORT 21.05% 64.8 65,134 4,220,683 AS&T 37.7 73,794 2,782,034 TOTAL STAFF 410.3 57,164 23,454,369 NON-PERSONAL SERVICES 9,175,959 STOP-LOSS/STOP-GAIN -911,874 TOTAL ALLOCATION (excluding POSTAGE) 31,718,454

Print Page 58 of 193

Image 29

REGION 4

FY2013ALLOCATION MAYTARGS

FUNCTION WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS

INITIAL CLAIMS 1,646,380 411.5 50,432 20,752,934 WEEKS CLAIMED 12,812,031 151.3 48,501 7,338,142 NON MONETARY 1,179,582 334.2 54,000 18,046,828 APPEALS 160,409 276.8 65,751 18,199,813 SAVE 8.3 59,097 490,503 CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 1,182.1 54,842 64,828,220 WAGE RECORDS 94,711,613 111.5 56,688 6,320,759 TAX FUNCTIONS 1,089,896 716.9 62,445 44,766,959 EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 828.4 61,670 51,087,718 BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 220.9 53,283 11,770,321 UI PERFORMS 155.7 66,935 10,421,808 OPERATING STAFF 2,387.1 57,856 138,108,067 SUPPORT 21.50% 513.2 71,409 36,647,114 AS&T 364.5 83,389 30,395,130 TOTAL STAFF 3,264.8 62,837 205,150,311 NON-PERSONAL SERVICES 63,891,967 STOP-LOSS/STOP-GAIN 4,659,391 TOTAL ALLOCATION (excluding POSTAGE) 273,701,669 POSTAGE 13,310,817

ARKANSAS

FY2013ALLOCATION MAYTARGS

WORK HOURS 1,797.94

FUNCTION MPU WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS

INITIAL CLAIMS 33.731 175,335 54.8 44,301 2,427,695

WEEKS CLAIMED 1.019 1,237,949 11.7 45,947 537,580

NON MONETARY 44.974 58,682 24.5 49,600 1,215,200

APPEALS 181.263 11,415 19.2 56,065 1,076,448

SAVE 0.2 49,407 9,881

CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 110.4 47,707 5,266,804

WAGE RECORDS 0.145 5,409,725 7.3 26,746 195,246

TAX FUNCTIONS 68.258 68,677 43.5 43,024 1,871,544

EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 50.8 40,685 2,066,790

BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 25.3 43,204 1,093,061

UI PERFORMS 480 7.7 68,741 529,306

OPERATING STAFF 194.2 46,117 8,955,961

SUPPORT 25.70% 49.9 63,365 3,161,914

AS&T 32.2 62,817 2,022,707

TOTAL STAFF 276.3 51,178 14,140,582

NON-PERSONAL SERVICES 5,192,673

STOP-LOSS/STOP-GAIN 0

TOTAL ALLOCATION (excluding POSTAGE) 19,333,255

POSTAGE 1,172,557

TOTAL ALLOCATION (including POSTAGE) 20,505,812

COLORADO

FY2013ALLOCATION MAYTARGS

WORK HOURS 1,753.97

FUNCTION MPU WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS

INITIAL CLAIMS 32.875 156,275 48.8 64,769 3,160,727

WEEKS CLAIMED 0.221 1,521,317 3.2 64,769 207,261

NON MONETARY 40.467 134,398 51.7 64,769 3,348,557

APPEALS 184.909 16,256 28.6 74,268 2,124,065

SAVE 0.6 68,528 41,117

CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 132.9 66,830 8,881,727

WAGE RECORDS 0.107 9,978,234 10.1 64,769 654,167

TAX FUNCTIONS 59.124 147,813 83.0 67,414 5,595,362

EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 93.1 67,127 6,249,529

BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 17.2 64,652 1,112,014

UI PERFORMS 480 10.4 79,636 828,214

OPERATING STAFF 253.6 67,317 17,071,484

SUPPORT 9.74% 24.7 83,164 2,054,151

AS&T 56.7 60,734 3,443,618

TOTAL STAFF 335.0 67,371 22,569,253

NON-PERSONAL SERVICES 5,859,258

STOP-LOSS/STOP-GAIN 5,238,275

TOTAL ALLOCATION (excluding POSTAGE) 33,666,786

POSTAGE 1,637,587

TOTAL ALLOCATION (including POSTAGE) 35,304,373

** Prepared by DOL/ETA/OUI, Division of Fiscal and Actuarial Services **

Image 32

LOUISIANA

FY2013ALLOCATION MAYTARGS

WORK HOURS 1,744.51

FUNCTION MPU WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS

* * * DETAIL FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY * * *

INITIAL CLAIMS 25.432 156,066 37.9 57,747 2,188,611

WEEKS CLAIMED 1.766 1,216,814 20.5 57,398 1,176,659

NON MONETARY 21.619 81,156 16.8 64,869 1,089,799

APPEALS 169.744 14,368 23.3 74,888 1,744,890

SAVE 0.1 67,248 6,725

CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 98.6 62,948 6,206,684

WAGE RECORDS 0.059 7,869,451 4.4 49,915 219,626

TAX FUNCTIONS 73.048 105,045 73.3 67,519 4,949,143

EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 77.7 66,522 5,168,769

BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 10.1 77,384 781,578

UI PERFORMS 480 5.5 71,015 390,583

OPERATING STAFF 191.9 65,386 12,547,614

SUPPORT 29.70% 57.0 74,371 4,239,147

AS&T 24.8 111,075 2,754,660

TOTAL STAFF 273.7 71,397 19,541,421

NON-PERSONAL SERVICES 7,427,543

STOP-LOSS/STOP-GAIN -590,424

TOTAL ALLOCATION (excluding POSTAGE) 26,378,540

POSTAGE 1,268,939

TOTAL ALLOCATION (including POSTAGE) 27,647,479

** Prepared by DOL/ETA/OUI, Division of Fiscal and Actuarial Services **

```
April 27, 2012
```

* * * DETAIL FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY * * *

Image 33

MONTANA

FY2013ALLOCATION MAYTARGS

WORK HOURS 1,707.30

FUNCTION MPU WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS

______ INITIAL CLAIMS 36.156 61,694 21.8 55,954 1,219,797 WEEKS CLAIMED 1.645 441,980 7.1 56,936 404,246 NON MONETARY 60.417 24,178 14.3 56,380 806,234 APPEALS 249.104 670 1.6 73,986 118,378 SAVE 0.0 57,454 0 CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 44.8 56,890 2,548,655 WAGE RECORDS 0.187 2,001,157 3.7 57,589 213,079 TAX FUNCTIONS 64.004 36,302 22.7 57,531 1,305,954 EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 26.4 57,539 1,519,033 BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 6.2 56,801 352,166 UI PERFORMS 360 6.7 57,907 387,977 OPERATING STAFF 84.1 57,168 4,807,831 SUPPORT 16.41% 13.8 57,586 794,687 AS&T 15.1 57,571 869,322 TOTAL STAFF 113.0 57,273 6,471,840 NON-PERSONAL SERVICES 945,108 STOP-LOSS/STOP-GAIN 0 TOTAL ALLOCATION (excluding POSTAGE) 7,416,948 POSTAGE 455,167 TOTAL ALLOCATION (including POSTAGE) 7,872,115

** Prepared by DOL/ETA/OUI, Division of Fiscal and Actuarial Services **

April 27, 2012

Print Page 63 of 193

```
* * * DETAIL FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY * * *
```

Image 34

```
NEW MEXICO
```

FY2013ALLOCATION MAYTARGS

WORK HOURS 1,764.17

FUNCTION MPU WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS

INITIAL CLAIMS 30.089 76,418 21.7 50,646 1,099,018

WEEKS CLAIMED 1.120 716,876 7.6 50,556 384,226

NON MONETARY 38.287 40,888 14.8 60,484 895,163

APPEALS 152.527 8,382 12.1 54,980 665,258

SAVE 0.3 58,046 17,414

CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 56.5 54,178 3,061,079

WAGE RECORDS 0.063 3,492,457 2.1 51,827 108,837

TAX FUNCTIONS 72.72 44,949 30.9 53,130 1,641,717

EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 33.0 53,047 1,750,554

BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 9.1 62,360 567,476

UI PERFORMS 480 5.4 54,197 292,664

OPERATING STAFF 104.0 54,536 5,671,773

SUPPORT 17.40% 18.1 88,332 1,598,809

AS&T 24.9 82,669 2,058,458

TOTAL STAFF 147.0 63,463 9,329,040

NON-PERSONAL SERVICES 2,374,214

STOP-LOSS/STOP-GAIN 0

TOTAL ALLOCATION (excluding POSTAGE) 11,703,254

POSTAGE 695,068

TOTAL ALLOCATION (including POSTAGE) 12,398,322

** Prepared by DOL/ETA/OUI, Division of Fiscal and Actuarial Services **

April 27, 2012

* * * DETAIL FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY * * *

```
NORTH DAKOTA
```

FY2013ALLOCATION MAYTARGS

WORK HOURS 1,907.77

FUNCTION MPU WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS

INITIAL CLAIMS 20.146 23,824 4.2 47,963 201,445 WEEKS CLAIMED 1.391 138,507 1.7 46,447 78,960 NON MONETARY 11.332 22,085 2.2 60,805 133,771 APPEALS 187.174 1,747 2.9 63,457 184,025 SAVE 0.1 61,564 6,156 CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 11.1 54,447 604,357 WAGE RECORDS 0.097 1,770,087 1.5 49,774 74,661 TAX FUNCTIONS 79.731 22,325 15.6 60,579 945,032 EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 17.1 59,631 1,019,693 BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 4.3 60,909 261,909 UI PERFORMS 360 5.8 66,009 382,852 OPERATING STAFF 38.3 59,238 2,268,811 SUPPORT 60.05% 23.0 66,852 1,537,596 AS&T 6.8 77,663 528,108 TOTAL STAFF 68.1 63,649 4,334,515 NON-PERSONAL SERVICES 1,481,568 STOP-LOSS/STOP-GAIN 170,839 TOTAL ALLOCATION (excluding POSTAGE) 5,986,922 POSTAGE 176,588 TOTAL ALLOCATION (including POSTAGE) 6,163,510 ** Prepared by DOL/ETA/OUI, Division of Fiscal and Actuarial Services ** ***************** April 27, 2012 * * * DETAIL FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY * * *

```
OKLAHOMA
```

```
FY2013ALLOCATION MAYTARGS
```

WORK HOURS 1,852.87

FUNCTION MPU WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS

INITIAL CLAIMS 21.599 131,141 25.5 50,211 1,280,381 WEEKS CLAIMED 2.045 897,331 16.5 49,839 822,344 NON MONETARY 49.802 73,604 33.0 56,170 1,853,610 APPEALS 180.474 11,040 17.9 60,809 1,088,481 SAVE 0.2 57,815 11,563 CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 93.1 54,311 5,056,379 WAGE RECORDS 0.158 7,156,272 10.2 44,899 457,970 TAX FUNCTIONS 82.171 82,570 61.0 59,145 3,607,845 EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 71.2 57,104 4,065,815 BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 12.3 61,540 756,942 UI PERFORMS 480 7.7 67,774 521,860 OPERATING STAFF 184.3 56,435 10,400,996 SUPPORT 12.75% 23.5 77,231 1,814,929 AS&T 27.7 77,011 2,133,205 TOTAL STAFF 235.5 60,930 14,349,130 NON-PERSONAL SERVICES 4,740,549 STOP-LOSS/STOP-GAIN 1,329,256 TOTAL ALLOCATION (excluding POSTAGE) 20,418,935 POSTAGE 951,600 TOTAL ALLOCATION (including POSTAGE) 21,370,535 ** Prepared by DOL/ETA/OUI, Division of Fiscal and Actuarial Services ** ************** ******************* April 27, 2012 * * * DETAIL FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY * * *

Image 37

```
SOUTH DAKOTA
FY2013ALLOCATION MAYTARGS
WORK HOURS 1,854.28
FUNCTION MPU WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS
INITIAL CLAIMS 34.521 20,022 6.2 42,014 260,487
WEEKS CLAIMED 3.396 119,899 3.7 33,931 125,545
NON MONETARY 34.924 15,237 4.8 46,802 224,650
APPEALS 246.453 1,084 2.4 58,031 139,274
SAVE 0.0 45,257 0
CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 17.1 43,857 749,956
WAGE RECORDS 0.147 1,812,973 2.4 36,237 86,969
TAX FUNCTIONS 82.181 25,524 18.9 44,666 844,187
EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 21.3 43,716 931,156
BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 13.0 41,302 536,926
UI PERFORMS 360 7.7 50,503 388,873
OPERATING STAFF 59.1 44,110 2,606,911
SUPPORT 11.51% 6.8 63,529 431,997
AS&T 7.4 44,069 326,111
TOTAL STAFF 73.3 45,907 3,365,019
NON-PERSONAL SERVICES 1,422,427
STOP-LOSS/STOP-GAIN 0
TOTAL ALLOCATION (excluding POSTAGE) 4,787,446
POSTAGE 172,083
TOTAL ALLOCATION (including POSTAGE) 4,959,529
** Prepared by DOL/ETA/OUI, Division of Fiscal and Actuarial Services **
```

* * * DETAIL FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY * * *

Image 38

TEXAS

```
FY2013ALLOCATION MAYTARGS
WORK HOURS 1,732.22
FUNCTION MPU WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS
INITIAL CLAIMS 22.918 722,954 159.4 44,141 7,036,075
WEEKS CLAIMED 1.315 5,694,524 72.0 44,249 3,185,928
NON MONETARY 22.779 601,063 131.7 45,475 5,989,058
APPEALS 180.722 83,028 144.4 62,614 9,041,462
SAVE 6.3 57,921 364,902
CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 513.8 49,859 25,617,425
WAGE RECORDS 0.139 48,510,326 64.9 60,309 3,914,054
TAX FUNCTIONS 65.538 467,100 294.5 65,029 19,151,041
EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 359.4 64,177 23,065,095
BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 96.6 48,805 4,714,563
UI PERFORMS 480 82.5 68,175 5,624,438
OPERATING STAFF 1,052.3 56,088 59,021,521
SUPPORT 21.10% 222.0 72,766 16,154,052
AS&T 95.6 99,226 9,486,006
TOTAL STAFF 1,369.9 61,801 84,661,579
NON-PERSONAL SERVICES 30,482,081
STOP-LOSS/STOP-GAIN 0
TOTAL ALLOCATION (excluding POSTAGE) 115,143,660
POSTAGE 5,862,506
TOTAL ALLOCATION (including POSTAGE) 121,006,166
```

* * * DETAIL FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY * * *

** Prepared by DOL/ETA/OUI, Division of Fiscal and Actuarial Services **

Image 39

UTAH

FY2013ALLOCATION MAYTARGS

```
WORK HOURS 1,712.88
FUNCTION MPU WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS
INITIAL CLAIMS 25.672 93,258 23.3 60,161 1,401,751
WEEKS CLAIMED 0.822 656,865 5.3 56,127 297,473
NON MONETARY 32.373 106,363 33.5 60,662 2,032,177
APPEALS 202.516 10,470 20.6 83,637 1,722,922
SAVE 0.5 65,490 32,745
CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 83.2 65,950 5,487,068
WAGE RECORDS 0.057 5,479,838 3.0 90,715 272,145
TAX FUNCTIONS 85.264 67,500 56.0 65,411 3,663,016
EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 59.0 66,698 3,935,161
BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 23.7 58,108 1,377,160
UI PERFORMS 480 8.9 65,218 580,440
OPERATING STAFF 174.8 65,102 11,379,829
SUPPORT 33.12% 57.9 62,127 3,597,153
AS&T 66.5 94,430 6,279,595
TOTAL STAFF 299.2 71,045 21,256,577
NON-PERSONAL SERVICES 1,648,156
STOP-LOSS/STOP-GAIN -1,488,555
TOTAL ALLOCATION (excluding POSTAGE) 21,416,178
POSTAGE 718,472
TOTAL ALLOCATION (including POSTAGE) 22,134,650
** Prepared by DOL/ETA/OUI, Division of Fiscal and Actuarial Services **
*************
```

* * * DETAIL FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY * * *

Image 40

WYOMING

F Y 2 0 1 3 A L L O C A T I O N MAYTARGS

WORK HOURS 1,783.06

Print Page 69 of 193

```
FUNCTION MPU WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS
INITIAL CLAIMS 28.723 29,393 7.9 60,373 476,947
WEEKS CLAIMED 1.261 169,969 2.0 58,960 117,920
NON MONETARY 33.902 21,928 6.9 66,465 458,609
APPEALS 207.094 1,949 3.8 77,529 294,610
SAVE 0.0 68,242 0
CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 20.6 65,441 1,348,086
WAGE RECORDS 0.167 1,231,093 1.9 65,266 124,005
TAX FUNCTIONS 84.737 22,091 17.5 68,121 1,192,118
EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 19.4 67,841 1,316,123
BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 3.1 69,847 216,526
UI PERFORMS 360 7.4 66,838 494,601
OPERATING STAFF 50.5 66,838 3,375,336
SUPPORT 32.67% 16.5 76,526 1,262,679
AS&T 6.8 72,550 493,340
TOTAL STAFF 73.8 69,531 5,131,355
NON-PERSONAL SERVICES 2,318,390
STOP-LOSS/STOP-GAIN 0
TOTAL ALLOCATION (excluding POSTAGE) 7,449,745
POSTAGE 200,250
TOTAL ALLOCATION (including POSTAGE) 7,649,995
** Prepared by DOL/ETA/OUI, Division of Fiscal and Actuarial Services **
**************
```

Image 41

April 27, 2012

REGION 5

FY2013ALLOCATION MAYTARGS

* * * DETAIL FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY * * *

FUNCTION WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS

INITIAL CLAIMS 3,811,933 818.2 60,879 49,811,306 WEEKS CLAIMED 26,812,733 288.0 63,183 18,196,602 NON MONETARY 1,879,158 786.9 64,892 51,063,555 APPEALS 199,996 330.8 95,762 31,678,043 SAVE 6.0 79,244 475,464 CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 2,229.9 67,817 151,224,970 WAGE RECORDS 137,158,639 187.6 74,002 13,882,682 TAX FUNCTIONS 1,439,392 1,032.6 81,088 83,731,233 EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 1,220.2 79,998 97,613,915 BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 460.4 74,806 34,440,467 UI PERFORMS 147.1 87,819 12,918,178 OPERATING STAFF 4,057.6 72,998 296,197,530 SUPPORT 17.07% 692.6 91,651 63,477,657 AS&T 413.9 95,044 39,338,887 TOTAL STAFF 5,164.1 77,267 399,014,074 NON-PERSONAL SERVICES 124,431,204 STOP-LOSS/STOP-GAIN 5,269,469 TOTAL ALLOCATION (excluding POSTAGE) 528,714,747 POSTAGE 25,247,324 TOTAL ALLOCATION (including POSTAGE) 553,962,071 ** Prepared by DOL/ETA/OUI, Division of Fiscal and Actuarial Services ** ************ ***** April 27, 2012 * * * DETAIL FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY * * *

Image 42

ILLINOIS

FY2013ALLOCATION MAYTARGS

WORK HOURS 1,610.78

FUNCTION MPU WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS

```
INITIAL CLAIMS 23.878 628,942 155.4 88,423 13,740,934
WEEKS CLAIMED 0.708 6,089,901 44.6 88,423 3,943,666
NON MONETARY 57.597 268,458 160.0 97,751 15,640,160
APPEALS 130.149 51,174 68.9 131,149 9,036,166
SAVE 2.2 103,787 228,331
CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 431.1 98,792 42,589,257
WAGE RECORDS 0.174 26,452,308 47.6 105,430 5,018,468
TAX FUNCTIONS 73.361 301,527 228.9 113,759 26,039,435
EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 276.5 112,325 31,057,903
BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 126.2 95,202 12,014,492
UI PERFORMS 480 12.7 128,673 1,634,147
OPERATING STAFF 846.5 103,126 87,295,799
SUPPORT 13.90% 117.7 120,345 14,164,607
AS&T 101.9 114,520 11,669,588
TOTAL STAFF 1,066.1 106,116 113,129,994
NON-PERSONAL SERVICES 18,475,401
STOP-LOSS/STOP-GAIN 0
TOTAL ALLOCATION (excluding POSTAGE) 131,605,395
POSTAGE 5,655,603
TOTAL ALLOCATION (including POSTAGE) 137,260,998
** Prepared by DOL/ETA/OUI, Division of Fiscal and Actuarial Services **
***********
*******************
April 27, 2012
* * * DETAIL FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY * * *
```

INDIANA

FY2013ALLOCATION MAYTARGS

WORK HOURS 1,709.44

FUNCTION MPU WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS

INITIAL CLAIMS 21.737 351,404 74.5 36,713 2,735,119

Print Page 72 of 193

```
WEEKS CLAIMED 1.313 2,157,200 27.6 37,899 1,046,012
NON MONETARY 39.411 138,107 53.1 35,926 1,907,671
APPEALS 88.531 22,684 19.6 53,094 1,040,642
SAVE 0.7 44,801 31,361
CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 175.5 38,523 6,760,805
WAGE RECORDS 0.134 12,186,320 15.9 37,486 596,027
TAX FUNCTIONS 71.379 128,292 89.3 49,701 4,438,299
EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 105.2 47,855 5,034,326
BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 26.4 45,868 1,210,915
UI PERFORMS 480 10.7 61,816 661,431
OPERATING STAFF 317.8 43,007 13,667,477
SUPPORT 20.93% 66.5 61,383 4,081,970
AS&T 48 85,587 4,108,176
TOTAL STAFF 432.3 50,561 21,857,623
NON-PERSONAL SERVICES 14,912,351
STOP-LOSS/STOP-GAIN 422,530
TOTAL ALLOCATION (excluding POSTAGE) 37,192,504
POSTAGE 2,069,973
TOTAL ALLOCATION (including POSTAGE) 39,262,477
** Prepared by DOL/ETA/OUI, Division of Fiscal and Actuarial Services **
April 27, 2012
* * * DETAIL FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY * * *
```

Image 44

IOWA

FY2013ALLOCATION MAYTARGS

WORK HOURS 1,770.18

FUNCTION MPU WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS

INITIAL CLAIMS 24.723 184,222 42.9 60,833 2,609,736 WEEKS CLAIMED 1.248 1,057,208 12.4 60,828 754,267

```
NON MONETARY 45.380 61,655 26.3 60,927 1,602,380
APPEALS 179.347 11,795 19.9 101,889 2,027,591
SAVE 0.4 71,588 28,635
CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 101.9 68,917 7,022,609
WAGE RECORDS 0.267 6,796,411 17.1 64,775 1,107,653
TAX FUNCTIONS 82.42 75,126 58.3 64,337 3,750,847
EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 75.4 64,436 4,858,500
BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 15.8 68,427 1,081,147
UI PERFORMS 480 46.4 99,602 4,621,533
OPERATING STAFF 239.5 73,419 17,583,789
SUPPORT 9.94% 23.8 99,792 2,375,050
AS&T 23.1 88,047 2,033,886
TOTAL STAFF 286.4 76,790 21,992,725
NON-PERSONAL SERVICES 4,196,439
STOP-LOSS/STOP-GAIN -3,963,657
TOTAL ALLOCATION (excluding POSTAGE) 22,225,507
POSTAGE 1,052,449
TOTAL ALLOCATION (including POSTAGE) 23,277,956
** Prepared by DOL/ETA/OUI, Division of Fiscal and Actuarial Services **
************
******************
April 27, 2012
* * * DETAIL FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY * * *
```

KANSAS

FY2013ALLOCATION MAYTARGS

WORK HOURS 1,654.95

FUNCTION MPU WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS

INITIAL CLAIMS 15.609 148,599 23.4 52,783 1,235,122
WEEKS CLAIMED 1.549 956,098 14.9 56,932 848,287
NON MONETARY 42.382 71,229 30.4 36,119 1,098,018

about:blank 7/21/2014

APPEALS 192.966 9,932 19.3 58,773 1,134,319

```
SAVE 0.3 57,742 17,323
CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 88.3 49,072 4,333,069
WAGE RECORDS 0.093 6,527,085 6.1 51,465 313,937
TAX FUNCTIONS 79.176 69,414 55.3 66,262 3,664,289
EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 61.4 64,792 3,978,226
BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 16.9 61,383 1,037,373
UI PERFORMS 480 11.4 60,924 694,534
OPERATING STAFF 178.0 56,422 10,043,202
SUPPORT 26.07% 46.4 67,683 3,140,491
AS&T 31.1 55,261 1,718,617
TOTAL STAFF 255.5 58,326 14,902,310
NON-PERSONAL SERVICES 2,490,061
STOP-LOSS/STOP-GAIN -294,279
TOTAL ALLOCATION (excluding POSTAGE) 17,098,092
POSTAGE 956, 362
TOTAL ALLOCATION (including POSTAGE) 18,054,454
** Prepared by DOL/ETA/OUI, Division of Fiscal and Actuarial Services **
**********
April 27, 2012
* * * DETAIL FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY * * *
```

Image 46

MICHIGAN

FY2013ALLOCATION MAYTARGS

WORK HOURS 1,840.81

FUNCTION MPU WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS

INITIAL CLAIMS 35.671 646,834 208.9 49,966 10,437,897
WEEKS CLAIMED 1.165 4,087,957 43.1 49,966 2,153,535
NON MONETARY 36.938 364,221 121.8 50,450 6,144,810
APPEALS 214.542 23,611 45.9 112,427 5,160,399

```
SAVE 0.4 70,456 28,182
CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 420.1 56,950 23,924,823
WAGE RECORDS 0.151 17,909,801 24.5 86,820 2,127,090
TAX FUNCTIONS 74.582 201,414 136.0 91,123 12,392,728
EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 160.5 90,466 14,519,818
BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 69.5 81,257 5,647,362
UI PERFORMS 480 14.5 99,168 1,437,936
OPERATING STAFF 664.6 68,507 45,529,939
SUPPORT 27.13% 180.3 102,497 18,480,209
AS&T 19.6 121,483 2,381,067
TOTAL STAFF 864.5 76,797 66,391,215
NON-PERSONAL SERVICES 35,889,548
STOP-LOSS/STOP-GAIN 3,030,567
TOTAL ALLOCATION (excluding POSTAGE) 105,311,330
POSTAGE 3,793,353
TOTAL ALLOCATION (including POSTAGE) 109,104,683
** Prepared by DOL/ETA/OUI, Division of Fiscal and Actuarial Services **
***********
April 27, 2012
* * * DETAIL FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY * * *
```

MINNESOTA

FY2013ALLOCATION MAYTARGS

WORK HOURS 1,771.76

FUNCTION MPU WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS

INITIAL CLAIMS 17.163 277,572 44.8 59,552 2,667,930 WEEKS CLAIMED 1.109 2,536,197 26.5 64,216 1,701,724 NON MONETARY 28.829 177,760 48.2 62,914 3,032,455 APPEALS 184.006 16,045 27.8 82,240 2,286,272 SAVE 0.6 69,630 41,778

about:blank 7/21/2014

```
CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 147.9 65,789 9,730,159
WAGE RECORDS 0.077 11,943,908 8.7 67,551 587,694
TAX FUNCTIONS 48.479 130,063 59.3 72,860 4,320,598
EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 68.0 72,181 4,908,292
BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 42.2 64,835 2,736,037
UI PERFORMS 480 10.1 72,326 730,493
OPERATING STAFF 268.2 67,506 18,104,981
SUPPORT 14.24% 38.2 90,126 3,442,813
AS&T 33.9 85,213 2,888,721
TOTAL STAFF 340.3 71,809 24,436,515
NON-PERSONAL SERVICES 12,350,088
STOP-LOSS/STOP-GAIN 0
TOTAL ALLOCATION (excluding POSTAGE) 36,786,603
POSTAGE 2,369,249
TOTAL ALLOCATION (including POSTAGE) 39,155,852
** Prepared by DOL/ETA/OUI, Division of Fiscal and Actuarial Services **
April 27, 2012
* * * DETAIL FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY * * *
```

MISSOURI

FY2013ALLOCATION MAYTARGS

WORK HOURS 1,785.94

FUNCTION MPU WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS

INITIAL CLAIMS 13.167 370,903 45.6 52,202 2,380,411
WEEKS CLAIMED 1.281 2,106,933 25.2 49,050 1,236,060
NON MONETARY 32.218 209,699 63.0 48,170 3,034,710
APPEALS 193.734 19,774 35.8 62,971 2,254,362
SAVE 0.1 54,667 5,467
CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 169.7 52,510 8,911,010

```
TAX FUNCTIONS 78.64 136,934 100.5 52,981 5,324,591
EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 115.6 52,445 6,062,679
BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 26.7 46,416 1,239,307
UI PERFORMS 480 8.0 59,189 473,512
OPERATING STAFF 320.0 52,145 16,686,508
SUPPORT 17.63% 56.4 70,545 3,978,738
AS&T 28.3 64,889 1,836,359
TOTAL STAFF 404.7 55,601 22,501,605
NON-PERSONAL SERVICES 5,908,129
STOP-LOSS/STOP-GAIN 2,380,986
TOTAL ALLOCATION (excluding POSTAGE) 30,790,720
POSTAGE 2,057,804
TOTAL ALLOCATION (including POSTAGE) 32,848,524
** Prepared by DOL/ETA/OUI, Division of Fiscal and Actuarial Services **
**********
April 27, 2012
* * * DETAIL FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY * * *
```

WAGE RECORDS 0.133 12,157,950 15.1 48,880 738,088

Image 49

NEBRASKA

FY2013ALLOCATION MAYTARGS

WORK HOURS 1,737.06

FUNCTION MPU WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS

INITIAL CLAIMS 23.307 83,823 18.7 46,837 875,852

WEEKS CLAIMED 0.743 557,222 4.0 57,053 228,212

NON MONETARY 30.332 85,280 24.8 49,359 1,224,103

APPEALS 139.783 4,937 6.6 69,051 455,737

SAVE 0.2 58,630 11,726

CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 54.3 51,485 2,795,630

WAGE RECORDS 0.190 4,165,330 7.6 53,753 408,523

```
TAX FUNCTIONS 63.342 48,508 29.5 59,893 1,766,844
EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 37.1 58,635 2,175,367
BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 8.0 57,765 462,120
UI PERFORMS 480 6.2 62,276 386,111
OPERATING STAFF 105.6 55,106 5,819,228
SUPPORT 27.46% 29.0 70,848 2,054,592
AS&T 12.3 80,521 990,408
TOTAL STAFF 146.9 60,342 8,864,228
NON-PERSONAL SERVICES 3,126,962
STOP-LOSS/STOP-GAIN 390,105
TOTAL ALLOCATION (excluding POSTAGE) 12,381,295
POSTAGE 582,345
TOTAL ALLOCATION (including POSTAGE) 12,963,640
** Prepared by DOL/ETA/OUI, Division of Fiscal and Actuarial Services **
************
**********************
April 27, 2012
* * * DETAIL FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY * * *
```

OHIO

FY2013ALLOCATION MAYTARGS

WORK HOURS 1,794.74

FUNCTION MPU WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS

INITIAL CLAIMS 25.923 540,412 130.1 67,568 8,790,597
WEEKS CLAIMED 1.451 3,837,906 51.7 78,470 4,056,899
NON MONETARY 50.630 292,526 137.5 65,871 9,057,263
APPEALS 221.437 21,588 44.4 90,529 4,019,488
SAVE 0.4 73,333 29,333
CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 364.1 71,281 25,953,580
WAGE RECORDS 0.139 25,409,343 32.8 67,740 2,221,872
TAX FUNCTIONS 84.436 217,489 170.5 77,323 13,183,572

about:blank 7/21/2014

WISCONSIN

F Y 2 0 1 3 A L L O C A T I O N MAYTARGS

WORK HOURS 1,529.11

FUNCTION MPU WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS

INITIAL CLAIMS 11.704 579,222 73.9 58,697 4,337,708
WEEKS CLAIMED 1.017 3,426,111 38.0 58,630 2,227,940
NON MONETARY 53.150 210,223 121.8 68,325 8,321,985
APPEALS 211.903 18,456 42.6 100,072 4,263,067
SAVE 0.7 76,183 53,328
CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 277.0 69,329 19,204,028
WAGE RECORDS 0.082 13,610,183 12.2 62,568 763,330
TAX FUNCTIONS 73.78 130,625 105.0 84,286 8,850,030
EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 117.2 82,025 9,613,360

Print Page 80 of 193

```
BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 58.5 68,604 4,013,334
UI PERFORMS 480 11.6 99,805 1,157,738
OPERATING STAFF 464.3 73,204 33,988,460
SUPPORT 12.69% 58.9 103,543 6,098,683
AS&T 46.6 112,386 5,237,188
TOTAL STAFF 569.8 79,544 45,324,331
NON-PERSONAL SERVICES 12,056,680
STOP-LOSS/STOP-GAIN 0
TOTAL ALLOCATION (excluding POSTAGE) 57,381,011
POSTAGE 3,060,821
TOTAL ALLOCATION (including POSTAGE) 60,441,832
** Prepared by DOL/ETA/OUI, Division of Fiscal and Actuarial Services **
*******************
April 27, 2012
* * * DETAIL FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY * * *
```

Image 52

REGION 6

F Y 2 O 1 3 A L L O C A T I O N MAYTARGS

FUNCTION WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS

INITIAL CLAIMS 3,967,406 939.9 66,330 62,343,548
WEEKS CLAIMED 26,710,434 329.6 66,026 21,762,220
NON MONETARY 1,614,289 787.4 69,546 54,760,194
APPEALS 294,319 532.6 97,833 52,105,744
SAVE 39.0 78,040 3,043,553
CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 2,628.5 73,812 194,015,259
WAGE RECORDS 126,109,242 452.6 81,452 36,865,385
TAX FUNCTIONS 1,828,425 578.8 75,002 43,411,357
EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 1,031.4 77,833 80,276,742
BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 308.0 68,646 21,143,027

ALASKA

F Y 2 0 1 3 A L L O C A T I O N MAYTARGS

WORK HOURS 1,642.14

FUNCTION MPU WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS

INITIAL CLAIMS 28.011 76,463 21.7 92,531 2,007,923
WEEKS CLAIMED 1.324 492,233 6.6 92,444 610,130
NON MONETARY 45.912 58,405 27.2 67,099 1,825,093
APPEALS 180.158 1,552 2.8 93,444 261,643
SAVE 1.0 89,193 89,193
CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 59.3 80,843 4,793,982
WAGE RECORDS 0.231 1,500,205 3.5 62,440 218,540
TAX FUNCTIONS 81.568 17,437 14.4 93,907 1,352,261
EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 17.9 87,754 1,570,801
BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 27.7 86,257 2,389,319
UI PERFORMS 480 19.1 92,216 1,761,326

ARIZONA

F Y 2 O 1 3 A L L O C A T I O N MAYTARGS

WORK HOURS 1,845.24

FUNCTION MPU WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS

INITIAL CLAIMS 21.704 236,874 46.4 40,412 1,875,117
WEEKS CLAIMED 2.396 1,740,189 37.7 40,430 1,524,211
NON MONETARY 70.108 128,085 81.1 43,992 3,567,751
APPEALS 150.398 26,790 36.4 64,195 2,336,698
SAVE 2.3 52,325 120,348
CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 203.9 46,219 9,424,125
WAGE RECORDS 0.114 11,312,879 11.6 40,327 467,793
TAX FUNCTIONS 79.885 122,146 88.1 54,737 4,822,330
EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 99.7 53,060 5,290,123
BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 42.2 51,265 2,163,383
UI PERFORMS 480 12.5 54,711 683,888
OPERATING STAFF 358.3 49,013 17,561,519

Print Page 83 of 193

Image 55

CALIFORNIA

FY2013ALLOCATION MAYTARGS

WORK HOURS 1,682.33

FUNCTION MPU WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS

INITIAL CLAIMS 25.476 2,465,841 622.3 68,575 42,674,223
WEEKS CLAIMED 1.075 16,493,632 175.7 68,910 12,107,487
NON MONETARY 47.823 932,528 441.8 74,830 33,059,894
APPEALS 188.330 208,420 388.9 102,460 39,846,694
SAVE 29.7 80,772 2,398,928
CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 1,658.4 78,441 130,087,226
WAGE RECORDS 0.474 81,803,622 384.1 86,011 33,036,825
TAX FUNCTIONS 12.358 1,227,757 150.3 86,418 12,988,625
EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 534.4 86,125 46,025,450
BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 75.1 70,799 5,317,005
UI PERFORMS 480 12.6 91,634 1,154,588
OPERATING STAFF 2,280.5 80,063 182,584,269
SUPPORT 20.76% 473.4 89,373 42,309,178

Print Page 84 of 193

Image 56

HAWAII

FY2013ALLOCATION MAYTARGS

WORK HOURS 1,719.76

FUNCTION MPU WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS

INITIAL CLAIMS 25.789 73,367 18.3 47,020 860,466
WEEKS CLAIMED 2.156 509,089 10.6 47,007 498,274
NON MONETARY 61.741 31,203 18.7 65,874 1,231,844
APPEALS 189.789 2,592 4.8 71,222 341,866
SAVE 0.2 64,961 12,992
CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 52.6 55,997 2,945,442
WAGE RECORDS 0.173 2,652,235 4.4 55,061 242,268
TAX FUNCTIONS 82.086 30,578 24.3 65,937 1,602,269
EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 28.7 64,270 1,844,537
BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 5.1 76,855 391,961
UI PERFORMS 360 7.3 93,529 682,762
OPERATING STAFF 93.7 62,590 5,864,702
SUPPORT 25.72% 24.1 88,830 2,140,803
AS&T 16.4 72,705 1,192,362

IDAHO

FY2013ALLOCATION MAYTARGS

WORK HOURS 1,794.23

FUNCTION MPU WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS

INITIAL CLAIMS 29.689 117,046 32.3 55,442 1,790,777
WEEKS CLAIMED 1.962 640,718 11.7 53,118 621,481
NON MONETARY 53.797 50,545 25.3 54,164 1,370,349
APPEALS 207.159 4,083 7.9 100,976 797,710
SAVE 0.5 62,227 31,114
CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 77.7 59,349 4,611,431
WAGE RECORDS 0.211 2,984,147 5.8 47,944 278,075
TAX FUNCTIONS 81.247 47,611 35.9 60,353 2,166,673
EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 41.7 58,627 2,444,748
BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 14.9 58,425 870,533
UI PERFORMS 480 11.2 67,773 759,058
OPERATING STAFF 145.5 59,696 8,685,770
SUPPORT 19.31% 28.1 83,103 2,335,194
AS&T 20.7 77,348 1,601,104
TOTAL STAFF 194.3 64,962 12,622,068

about:blank 7/21/2014

NEVADA

FY2013ALLOCATION MAYTARGS

WORK HOURS 1,903.09

FUNCTION MPU WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS

INITIAL CLAIMS 20.169 196,969 34.8 57,487 2,000,548 WEEKS CLAIMED 1.553 1,471,081 20.0 58,406 1,168,120 NON MONETARY 41.383 107,290 38.9 59,570 2,317,273 APPEALS 228.109 9,259 18.5 71,372 1,320,382 SAVE 1.9 65,094 123,679 CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 114.1 60,736 6,930,002 WAGE RECORDS 0.102 5,244,813 4.7 63,237 297,214 TAX FUNCTIONS 85.068 55,991 41.7 66,109 2,756,745 EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 46.4 65,818 3,053,959 BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 22.0 59,453 1,307,966 UI PERFORMS 480 4.6 95,757 440,482 OPERATING STAFF 187.1 62,707 11,732,409 SUPPORT 28.27% 52.9 76,970 4,071,713 AS&T 40.7 91,659 3,730,521 TOTAL STAFF 280.7 69,593 19,534,643 NON-PERSONAL SERVICES 6,281,879

OREGON

FY2013ALLOCATION MAYTARGS

WORK HOURS 1,752.99

FUNCTION MPU WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS

INITIAL CLAIMS 21.420 358,579 73.0 61,919 4,520,087 WEEKS CLAIMED 1.437 2,290,415 31.3 84,656 2,649,733 NON MONETARY 66.242 112,676 71.0 67,590 4,798,890 APPEALS 204.719 17,016 33.1 84,804 2,807,012 SAVE 0.9 74,199 66,779 CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 209.3 70,915 14,842,501 WAGE RECORDS 0.267 7,491,747 19.0 71,025 1,349,475 TAX FUNCTIONS 74.795 109,723 78.0 74,248 5,791,344 EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 97.0 73,617 7,140,819 BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 55.1 71,626 3,946,593 UI PERFORMS 480 8.2 102,319 839,016 OPERATING STAFF 369.6 72,427 26,768,929 SUPPORT 10.36% 38.3 86,678 3,319,767 AS&T 47.8 91,890 4,392,342 TOTAL STAFF 455.7 75,666 34,481,038 NON-PERSONAL SERVICES 13,076,838 STOP-LOSS/STOP-GAIN -4,736,880

WASHINGTON

FY2013ALLOCATION MAYTARGS

WORK HOURS 1,740.11

FUNCTION MPU WORKLOAD STAFFYRS PS/PB DOLLARS

INITIAL CLAIMS 21.513 442,267 91.1 72,606 6,614,407 WEEKS CLAIMED 1.224 3,073,077 36.0 71,744 2,582,784 NON MONETARY 44.990 193,557 83.4 79,006 6,589,100 APPEALS 170.773 24,607 40.2 109,297 4,393,739 SAVE 2.5 80,208 200,520 CLAIMS ACTIVITY STAFF 253.2 80,492 20,380,550 WAGE RECORDS 0.155 13,119,594 19.5 50,010 975,195 TAX FUNCTIONS 70.231 217,182 146.1 81,664 11,931,110 EMPLOYER ACTIVITY STAFF 165.6 77,937 12,906,305 BENEFIT PMT CONTROL 65.9 72,174 4,756,267 UI PERFORMS 480 12.5 74,471 930,888 OPERATING STAFF 497.2 78,387 38,974,010 SUPPORT 27.61% 137.3 83,833 11,510,271 AS&T 86.2 95,930 8,269,166 TOTAL STAFF 720.7 81,523 58,753,447 NON-PERSONAL SERVICES 26,539,376 STOP-LOSS/STOP-GAIN 0 TOTAL ALLOCATION (excluding POSTAGE) 85,292,823

POSTAGE 3,055,545
COTAL ALLOCATION (including POSTAGE) 88,348,368

april 27, 2012
* * DETAIL FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY * * *

- Image 1
- Image 2
- Image 3
- Image 4
- Image 5
- Image 6
- Image 7

- Image 9
- Image 10
- Image 11
- Image 12
- Image 13
- Image 15
- Image 16
- Image 17
- Image 18 • Image 19
- Image 20
- Image 21
- Image 22
- Image 23
- Image 24
- Image 25
- Image 26
- Image 27
- Image 28
- Image 29 • Image 30

Attachment II

BACKUP MATERIAL FOR WORKLOAD ALLOCATION

- 1. MPU'S USED TO DETERMINE ALLOCATION
- 2. BASE WORKLOADS
- 3. HOURS PER STAFF YEAR
- 4. CALCULATION OF MPU ADJUSTMENTS
- A INITIAL CLAIMS
- B NON-MONETARY DETERMINATIONS
- C APPEALS
- D WEEKS CLAIMED
- E WAGE RECORDS
- F TAX
- 5. PERCENT DECREASE FROM STATE MPU

- A INITIAL CLAIMS
- B NON-MONETARY DETERMINATIONS
- C APPEALS
- D WEEKS CLAIMED
- E WAGE RECORDS
- F TAX
- 6. COMPUTATION OF STAFF YEARS
- A SUPPORT
- B AS&T
- 7. MPU/WORKLOAD/STAFFYEARS COMPARISON TO PRIOR FISCAL YEAR
- A INITIAL CLAIMS
- B NON-MONETARY DETERMINATIONS
- C APPEALS
- D WEEKS CLAIMED
- E WAGE RECORDS
- F TAX

8.

MPU/WORKLOAD/STAFFYEARS - PERCENT CHANGE FROM PRIOR FISCAL YEAR

- A INITIAL CLAIMS
- B NON-MONETARY DETERMINATIONS
- C APPEALS
- D WEEKS CLAIMED
- E WAGE RECORDS
- F TAX

Image 2

TABLE 1

STATE IC NM AP WC WR TAX

CONNECTICUT 20.304 68.280 201.607 1.364 0.082 80.749

MAINE 19.340 54.953 230.942 2.196 0.070 72.864

MASSACHUSETTS 23.009 84.547 218.978 1.597 0.065 30.292

NEW HAMPSHIRE 22.057 52.371 216.968 2.806 0.131 71.553

NEW JERSEY 28.428 61.222 171.091 1.077 0.084 37.233

NEW YORK 11.662 83.885 254.350 0.953 0.019 69.342

PUERTO RICO 40.289 58.946 219.482 1.942 0.071 69.033

RHODE ISLAND 34.953 52.999 180.841 0.391 0.039 38.132

VERMONT 20.611 40.159 242.353 1.186 0.447 70.910

VIRGIN ISLANDS 54.674 172.625 115.601 3.641 1.103 112.622

DELAWARE 26.726 60.168 177.071 1.617 0.208 82.902

DIST. OF COLUMBIA 46.274 64.592 132.791 1.327 0.044 70.353

MARYLAND 24.972 42.315 155.520 1.266 0.139 97.364

PENNSYLVANIA 21.535 34.945 206.982 1.734 0.037 79.496 VIRGINIA 31.366 64.122 174.356 2.067 0.179 50.393 WEST VIRGINIA 28.381 50.382 221.192 1.949 0.176 85.652 ALABAMA 23.869 55.707 190.480 1.502 0.083 77.591 FLORIDA 25.385 44.661 152.350 1.524 0.105 66.128 GEORGIA 23.998 42.941 187.801 1.179 0.259 79.278 KENTUCKY 20.822 39.264 183.547 0.875 0.052 76.593 MISSISSIPPI 23.784 49.560 172.001 2.012 0.185 69.303 NORTH CAROLINA 23.886 46.478 177.253 1.236 0.077 68.756 SOUTH CAROLINA 33.424 40.324 193.561 1.706 0.177 77.652 TENNESSEE 23.502 33.675 170.419 1.433 0.145 77.164 ARKANSAS 33.731 44.974 181.263 1.019 0.145 68.258 COLORADO 32.875 40.467 184.909 0.221 0.107 59.124 LOUISIANA 25.432 21.619 169.744 1.766 0.059 73.048 MONTANA 36.156 60.417 249.104 1.645 0.187 64.004 NEW MEXICO 30.089 38.287 152.527 1.120 0.063 72.720 NORTH DAKOTA 20.146 11.332 187.174 1.391 0.097 79.731 OKLAHOMA 21.599 49.802 180.474 2.045 0.158 82.171 SOUTH DAKOTA 34.521 34.924 246.453 3.396 0.147 82.181 TEXAS 22.918 22.779 180.722 1.315 0.139 65.538 UTAH 25.672 32.373 202.516 0.822 0.057 85.264 WYOMING 28.723 33.902 207.094 1.261 0.167 84.737 ILLINOIS 23.878 57.597 130.149 0.708 0.174 73.361 INDIANA 21.737 39.411 88.531 1.313 0.134 71.379 IOWA 24.723 45.380 179.347 1.248 0.267 82.420 KANSAS 15.609 42.382 192.966 1.549 0.093 79.176 MICHIGAN 35.671 36.938 214.542 1.165 0.151 74.582 MINNESOTA 17.163 28.829 184.006 1.109 0.077 48.479 MISSOURI 13.167 32.218 193.734 1.281 0.133 78.640 NEBRASKA 23.307 30.332 139.783 0.743 0.190 63.342 OHIO 25.923 50.630 221.437 1.451 0.139 84.436 WISCONSIN 11.704 53.150 211.903 1.017 0.082 73.780 ALASKA 28.011 45.912 180.158 1.324 0.231 81.568 ARIZONA 21.704 70.108 150.398 2.396 0.114 79.885

CALIFORNIA 25.476 47.823 188.330 1.075 0.474 12.358

HAWAII 25.789 61.741 189.789 2.156 0.173 82.086

IDAHO 29.689 53.797 207.159 1.962 0.211 81.247

NEVADA 20.169 41.383 228.109 1.553 0.102 85.068

OREGON 21.420 66.242 204.719 1.437 0.267 74.795

WASHINGTON 21.513 44.990 170.773 1.224 0.155 70.231

F Y 2 0 1 3 A L L O C A T I O N

MPU'S USED TO DETERMINE ALLOCATION

Image 3

TABLE 2

STATE IC NM AP WC WR TAX CONNECTICUT 204,464 78,391 12,486 1,873,138 7,500,986 96,462 MAINE 71,674 26,561 5,553 508,316 3,179,184 41,849 MASSACHUSETTS 343,945 72,327 18,522 3,404,664 13,367,409 209,620 NEW HAMPSHIRE 63,645 37,573 3,779 443,305 2,848,073 39,704 NEW JERSEY 463,069 158,263 30,693 4,832,940 17,658,349 230,253 NEW YORK 1,053,837 203,681 31,506 9,187,156 40,433,616 495,131 PUERTO RICO 120,113 51,209 4,223 1,404,295 4,026,628 67,813 RHODE ISLAND 66,759 17,556 3,718 488,687 2,129,909 32,633 VERMONT 39,695 13,252 1,485 313,520 1,586,586 21,403 VIRGIN ISLANDS 3,052 711 93 33,422 184,199 3,585 DELAWARE 49,208 18,854 4,477 357,704 1,980,579 25,647 DIST. OF COLUMBIA 38,630 13,130 1,542 356,207 2,379,959 29,441 MARYLAND 258,177 212,963 28,437 1,903,149 11,567,373 136,333 PENNSYLVANIA 1,061,148 269,822 56,045 7,935,014 24,381,229 297,508 VIRGINIA 288,222 118,143 20,394 1,756,634 16,698,287 195,710 WEST VIRGINIA 67,079 26,928 4,049 548,154 3,234,601 35,608 ALABAMA 257,363 73,820 16,453 1,316,779 8,017,390 85,716 FLORIDA 738,179 371,912 92,374 5,196,801 34,120,130 455,970 GEORGIA 584,420 139,927 38,895 2,803,760 16,104,433 204,431 KENTUCKY 268,611 67,078 15,616 1,400,699 8,126,240 84,238

MISSISSIPPI 121,019 59,173 15,438 777,365 5,048,536 54,060

BASE WORKLOADS

NORTH CAROLINA 621,629 139,991 34,467 3,331,080 17,586,472 194,857 SOUTH CAROLINA 244,503 78,500 13,555 1,425,496 8,377,974 96,891 TENNESSEE 295,840 77,206 19,476 1,666,517 12,007,364 111,424 ARKANSAS 175,335 58,682 11,415 1,237,949 5,409,725 68,677 COLORADO 156,275 134,398 16,256 1,521,317 9,978,234 147,813 LOUISIANA 156,066 81,156 14,368 1,216,814 7,869,451 105,045 MONTANA 61,694 24,178 670 441,980 2,001,157 36,302 NEW MEXICO 76,418 40,888 8,382 716,876 3,492,457 44,949 NORTH DAKOTA 23,824 22,085 1,747 138,507 1,770,087 22,325 OKLAHOMA 131,141 73,604 11,040 897,331 7,156,272 82,570 SOUTH DAKOTA 20,022 15,237 1,084 119,899 1,812,973 25,524 TEXAS 722,954 601,063 83,028 5,694,524 48,510,326 467,100 UTAH 93,258 106,363 10,470 656,865 5,479,838 67,500 WYOMING 29,393 21,928 1,949 169,969 1,231,093 22,091 ILLINOIS 628,942 268,458 51,174 6,089,901 26,452,308 301,527 INDIANA 351,404 138,107 22,684 2,157,200 12,186,320 128,292 IOWA 184,222 61,655 11,795 1,057,208 6,796,411 75,126 KANSAS 148,599 71,229 9,932 956,098 6,527,085 69,414 MICHIGAN 646,834 364,221 23,611 4,087,957 17,909,801 201,414 MINNESOTA 277,572 177,760 16,045 2,536,197 11,943,908 130,063 MISSOURI 370,903 209,699 19,774 2,106,933 12,157,950 136,934 NEBRASKA 83,823 85,280 4,937 557,222 4,165,330 48,508 OHIO 540,412 292,526 21,588 3,837,906 25,409,343 217,489 WISCONSIN 579,222 210,223 18,456 3,426,111 13,610,183 130,625 ALASKA 76,463 58,405 1,552 492,233 1,500,205 17,437 ARIZONA 236,874 128,085 26,790 1,740,189 11,312,879 122,146 CALIFORNIA 2,465,841 932,528 208,420 16,493,632 81,803,622 1,227,757 HAWAII 73,367 31,203 2,592 509,089 2,652,235 30,578 IDAHO 117,046 50,545 4,083 640,718 2,984,147 47,611 NEVADA 196,969 107,290 9,259 1,471,081 5,244,813 55,991 OREGON 358,579 112,676 17,016 2,290,415 7,491,747 109,723 WASHINGTON 442,267 193,557 24,607 3,073,077 13,119,594 217,182 FY2013ALLOCATION

TABLE 3

FY2013ALLOCATION

DATA USED IN FY 2013 ALLOCATION

HOURS PER

STATE STAFF YEAR

CONNECTICUT 1,757.61

MAINE 1,742.78

MASSACHUSETTS 1,585.50 NEW HAMPSHIRE 1,621.72

NEW JERSEY 1,481.83

NEW YORK 1,617.96

PUERTO RICO 1,105.68

RHODE ISLAND 1,478.20

VERMONT 1,728.07

VIRGIN ISLANDS 1,709.57

DELAWARE 1,648.17

DIST. OF COLUMBIA 1,743.71 MARYLAND 1,735.67

PENNSYLVANIA 1,641.44

VIRGINIA 1,844.60

WEST VIRGINIA 1,654.79

ALABAMA 1,751.49

FLORIDA 1,879.38

GEORGIA 1,780.36

KENTUCKY 1,681.07

MISSISSIPPI 1,787.42

NORTH CAROLINA 1,826.48 SOUTH CAROLINA 1,651.90 TENNESSEE 1,688.41

ARKANSAS 1,797.94

COLORADO 1,753.97

LOUISIANA 1,744.51

MONTANA 1,707.30

NEW MEXICO 1,764.17

NORTH DAKOTA 1,907.77

OKLAHOMA 1,852.87

SOUTH DAKOTA 1,854.28

TEXAS 1,732.22

UTAH 1,712.88

WYOMING 1,783.06

ILLINOIS 1,610.78

INDIANA 1,709.44

IOWA 1,770.18

KANSAS 1,654.95

MICHIGAN 1,840.81

MINNESOTA 1,771.76

MISSOURI 1,785.94

NEBRASKA 1,737.06

OHIO 1,794.74

WISCONSIN 1,529.11

ALASKA 1,642.14

ARIZONA 1,845.24

CALIFORNIA 1,682.33

HAWAII 1,719.76

IDAHO 1,794.23

NEVADA 1,903.09

OREGON 1,752.99

WASHINGTON 1,740.11

Image 5

TABLE 4A

STATE MPU MADJ WADJ ADJ MPU WORKLOAD

VIRGIN ISLANDS 79.652 0.563845 0.750309 54.674 3,052

DIST. OF COLUMBIA 65.250 0.563845 0.753917 46.274 38,630

PUERTO RICO 55.118 0.563845 0.762178 40.289 120,113

MICHIGAN 48.492 0.563845 0.815579 35.671 646,834

MONTANA 47.712 0.563845 0.756255 36.156 61,694

RHODE ISLAND 45.627 0.563845 0.756768 34.953 66,759 SOUTH DAKOTA 44.761 0.563845 0.752030 34.521 20,022 ARKANSAS 43.746 0.563845 0.767776 33.731 175,335 SOUTH CAROLINA 43.363 0.563845 0.774789 33.424 244,503 COLORADO 42.196 0.563845 0.765844 32.875 156,275 VIRGINIA 39.794 0.563845 0.779221 31.366 288,222 NEW MEXICO 37.159 0.563845 0.757748 30.089 76,418 IDAHO 36.526 0.563845 0.761867 29.689 117,046 NEW JERSEY 34.807 0.563845 0.796948 28.428 463,069 WYOMING 34.708 0.563845 0.752980 28.723 29,393 WEST VIRGINIA 34.165 0.563845 0.756801 28.381 67,079 ALASKA 33.531 0.563845 0.757752 28.011 76,463 CALIFORNIA 31.766 0.563845 1.000000 25.476 2,465,841 DELAWARE 31.258 0.563845 0.754989 26.726 49,208 OHIO 30.336 0.563845 0.804790 25.923 540,412 HAWAII 29.649 0.563845 0.757438 25.789 73,367 FLORIDA 29.536 0.563845 0.824840 25.385 738,179 UTAH 29.462 0.563845 0.759455 25.672 93,258 LOUISIANA 29.096 0.563845 0.765823 25.432 156,066 MARYLAND 28.366 0.563845 0.776175 24.972 258,177 IOWA 27.869 0.563845 0.768677 24.723 184,222 GEORGIA 26.840 0.563845 0.809252 23.998 584,420 NORTH CAROLINA 26.659 0.563845 0.813024 23.886 621,629 ILLINOIS 26.648 0.563845 0.813765 23.878 628,942 ALABAMA 26.404 0.563845 0.776093 23.869 257,363 MISSISSIPPI 26.176 0.563845 0.762270 23.784 121,019 TENNESSEE 25.771 0.563845 0.779994 23.502 295,840 NEBRASKA 25.322 0.563845 0.758498 23.307 83,823 TEXAS 24.916 0.563845 0.823297 22.918 722,954 MASSACHUSETTS 24.912 0.563845 0.784871 23.009 343,945 NEW HAMPSHIRE 23.133 0.563845 0.756453 22.057 63,645 INDIANA 22.632 0.563845 0.785627 21.737 351,404 ARIZONA 22.551 0.563845 0.774016 21.704 236,874 PENNSYLVANIA 22.400 0.563845 0.857585 21.535 1,061,148

OKLAHOMA 22.346 0.563845 0.763296 21.599 131,141 WASHINGTON 22.245 0.563845 0.794839 21.513 442,267 OREGON 22.065 0.563845 0.786355 21.420 358,579 KENTUCKY 20.986 0.563845 0.777233 20.822 268,611 VERMONT 20.611 -1.000000 -1.000000 20.611 39,695 CONNECTICUT 20.304 -1.000000 -1.000000 20.304 204,464 NEVADA 20.169 -1.000000 -1.000000 20.169 196,969 NORTH DAKOTA 20.146 -1.000000 -1.000000 20.146 23,824 MAINE 19.340 -1.000000 -1.000000 19.340 71,674 MINNESOTA 17.163 -1.000000 -1.000000 17.163 277,572 KANSAS 15.609 -1.000000 -1.000000 15.609 148,599 MISSOURI 13.167 -1.000000 -1.000000 13.167 370,903 WISCONSIN 11.704 -1.000000 -1.000000 11.704 579,222 NEW YORK 11.662 -1.000000 -1.000000 11.662 1,053,837 CALCULATION OF MPU ADJUSTMENTS (INITIAL CLAIMS)

Image 6

TABLE 4B

VIRGIN ISLANDS 239.500 0.435752 0.750191 172.625 711

NEW YORK 110.319 0.435752 0.804605 83.885 203,681

MASSACHUSETTS 109.575 0.435752 0.769390 84.547 72,327

ARIZONA 88.377 0.435752 0.784338 70.108 128,085

CONNECTICUT 85.157 0.435752 0.771016 68.280 78,391

OREGON 82.374 0.435752 0.780207 66.242 112,676

VIRGINIA 79.204 0.435752 0.781673 64.122 118,143

DIST. OF COLUMBIA 79.096 0.435752 0.753520 64.592 13,130

NEW JERSEY 75.092 0.435752 0.792428 61.222 158,263

HAWAII 74.977 0.435752 0.758365 61.741 31,203

MONTANA 72.952 0.435752 0.756482 60.417 24,178

DELAWARE 72.546 0.435752 0.755055 60.168 18,854

PUERTO RICO 70.928 0.435752 0.763729 58.946 51,209

ILLINOIS 70.250 0.435752 0.821970 57.597 268,458

ALABAMA 66.197 0.435752 0.769790 55.707 73,820 MAINE 64.815 0.435752 0.757121 54.953 26,561 IDAHO 63.207 0.435752 0.763551 53.797 50,545 WISCONSIN 63.024 0.435752 0.806358 53.150 210,223 RHODE ISLAND 61.856 0.435752 0.754707 52.999 17,556 NEW HAMPSHIRE 61.011 0.435752 0.760073 52.371 37,573 OHIO 59.503 0.435752 0.828423 50.630 292,526 WEST VIRGINIA 57.995 0.435752 0.757219 50.382 26,928 CALIFORNIA 57.785 0.435752 1.000000 47.823 932,528 OKLAHOMA 57.311 0.435752 0.769732 49.802 73,604 MISSISSIPPI 56.891 0.435752 0.765864 49.560 59,173 NORTH CAROLINA 52.514 0.435752 0.787530 46.478 139,991 ALASKA 51.413 0.435752 0.765658 45.912 58,405 IOWA 50.624 0.435752 0.766529 45.380 61,655 WASHINGTON 50.397 0.435752 0.801890 44.990 193,557 FLORIDA 50.386 0.435752 0.849705 44.661 371,912 ARKANSAS 50.006 0.435752 0.765732 44.974 58,682 GEORGIA 47.130 0.435752 0.787513 42.941 139,927 MARYLAND 46.324 0.435752 0.807093 42.315 212,963 KANSAS 46.141 0.435752 0.769096 42.382 71,229 NEVADA 44.701 0.435752 0.778763 41.383 107,290 COLORADO 43.355 0.435752 0.786031 40.467 134,398 SOUTH CAROLINA 43.056 0.435752 0.771045 40.324 78,500 VERMONT 42.719 0.435752 0.753553 40.159 13,252 INDIANA 41.753 0.435752 0.787025 39.411 138,107 KENTUCKY 41.447 0.435752 0.767983 39.264 67,078 NEW MEXICO 39.956 0.435752 0.760962 38.287 40,888 MICHIGAN 38.117 0.435752 0.847643 36.938 364,221 PENNSYLVANIA 34.957 0.435752 0.822336 34.945 269,822 SOUTH DAKOTA 34.924 -1.000000 -1.000000 34.924 15,237 WYOMING 33.902 -1.000000 -1.000000 33.902 21,928 TENNESSEE 33.675 -1.000000 -1.000000 33.675 77,206 UTAH 32.373 -1.000000 -1.000000 32.373 106,363 MISSOURI 32.218 -1.000000 -1.000000 32.218 209,699

NEBRASKA 30.332 -1.000000 -1.000000 30.332 85,280

MINNESOTA 28.829 -1.000000 -1.000000 28.829 177,760

TEXAS 22.779 -1.000000 -1.000000 22.779 601,063

LOUISIANA 21.619 -1.000000 -1.000000 21.619 81,156

NORTH DAKOTA 11.332 -1.000000 -1.000000 11.332 22,085

CALCULATION OF MPU ADJUSTMENTS
(NONMONETARY DETERMINATIONS)

Image 7

TABLE 4C

STATE MPU MADJ WADJ ADJ MPU WORKLOAD NEW YORK 364.254 0.717234 0.787791 254.350 31,506 MONTANA 341.706 0.717234 0.750804 249.104 670 SOUTH DAKOTA 336.089 0.717234 0.751300 246.453 1,084 VERMONT 327.317 0.717234 0.751781 242.353 1,485 MAINE 303.570 0.717234 0.756661 230.942 5,553 NEVADA 298.270 0.717234 0.761106 228.109 9,259 OHIO 286.301 0.717234 0.775895 221.437 21,588 WEST VIRGINIA 281.932 0.717234 0.754857 221.192 4,049 MASSACHUSETTS 280.099 0.717234 0.772217 218.978 18,522 PUERTO RICO 278.238 0.717234 0.755065 219.482 4,223 NEW HAMPSHIRE 272.669 0.717234 0.754533 216.968 3,779 MICHIGAN 271.151 0.717234 0.778321 214.542 23,611 WISCONSIN 264.229 0.717234 0.772138 211.903 18,456 PENNSYLVANIA 259.722 0.717234 0.817226 206.982 56,045 IDAHO 251.337 0.717234 0.754898 207.159 4,083 WYOMING 250.870 0.717234 0.752338 207.094 1,949 OREGON 247.911 0.717234 0.770411 204.719 17,016 UTAH 242.077 0.717234 0.762559 202.516 10,470 CONNECTICUT 240.341 0.717234 0.764977 201.607 12,486 CALIFORNIA 235.472 0.717234 1.000000 188.330 208,420 MISSOURI 223.647 0.717234 0.773719 193.734 19,774 SOUTH CAROLINA 222.623 0.717234 0.766259 193.561 13,555 KANSAS 220.947 0.717234 0.761913 192.966 9,932

ALABAMA 216.037 0.717234 0.769735 190.480 16,453 HAWAII 213.334 0.717234 0.753109 189.789 2,592 GEORGIA 211.873 0.717234 0.796655 187.801 38,895 NORTH DAKOTA 207.588 0.717234 0.752096 187.174 1,747 COLORADO 203.587 0.717234 0.769499 184.909 16,256 MINNESOTA 201.559 0.717234 0.769246 184.006 16,045 KENTUCKY 200.511 0.717234 0.768731 183.547 15,616 TEXAS 197.847 0.717234 0.849592 180.722 83,028 ARKANSAS 195.215 0.717234 0.763692 181.263 11,415 RHODE ISLAND 193.926 0.717234 0.754460 180.841 3,718 OKLAHOMA 193.452 0.717234 0.763242 180.474 11,040 ALASKA 192.347 0.717234 0.751862 180.158 1,552 IOWA 190.994 0.717234 0.764148 179.347 11,795 NORTH CAROLINA 187.108 0.717234 0.791343 177.253 34,467 DELAWARE 185.735 0.717234 0.755370 177.071 4,477 VIRGINIA 180.120 0.717234 0.774463 174.356 20,394 MISSISSIPPI 174.774 0.717234 0.768518 172.001 15,438 NEW JERSEY 172.836 0.717234 0.786816 171.091 30,693 WASHINGTON 172.077 0.717234 0.779516 170.773 24,607 TENNESSEE 171.259 0.717234 0.773361 170.419 19,476 LOUISIANA 169.744 -1.000000 -1.000000 169.744 14,368 MARYLAND 155.520 -1.000000 -1.000000 155.520 28,437 NEW MEXICO 152.527 -1.000000 -1.000000 152.527 8,382 FLORIDA 152.350 -1.000000 -1.000000 152.350 92,374 ARIZONA 150.398 -1.000000 -1.000000 150.398 26,790 NEBRASKA 139.783 -1.000000 -1.000000 139.783 4,937 DIST. OF COLUMBIA 132.791 -1.000000 -1.000000 132.791 1,542 ILLINOIS 130.149 -1.000000 -1.000000 130.149 51,174 VIRGIN ISLANDS 115.601 -1.000000 -1.000000 115.601 93 INDIANA 88.531 -1.000000 -1.000000 88.531 22,684 CALCULATION OF MPU ADJUSTMENTS (APPEALS)

Image 8

TABLE 4D

STATE MPU MADJ WADJ ADJ MPU WORKLOAD VIRGIN ISLANDS 5.347 0.532072 0.750507 3.641 33,422 SOUTH DAKOTA 4.944 0.532072 0.751817 3.396 119,899 NEW HAMPSHIRE 3.973 0.532072 0.756719 2.806 443,305 ARIZONA 3.325 0.532072 0.776377 2.396 1,740,189 MAINE 2.953 0.532072 0.757705 2.196 508,316 HAWAII 2.887 0.532072 0.757716 2.156 509,089 VIRGINIA 2.765 0.532072 0.776626 2.067 1,756,634 OKLAHOMA 2.708 0.532072 0.763601 2.045 897,331 MISSISSIPPI 2.651 0.532072 0.761783 2.012 777,365 IDAHO 2.564 0.532072 0.759712 1.962 640,718 PUERTO RICO 2.545 0.532072 0.771285 1.942 1,404,295 WEST VIRGINIA 2.540 0.532072 0.758309 1.949 548,154 PENNSYLVANIA 2.302 0.532072 0.870274 1.734 7,935,014 LOUISIANA 2.244 0.532072 0.768444 1.766 1,216,814 SOUTH CAROLINA 2.146 0.532072 0.771607 1.706 1,425,496 MONTANA 2.029 0.532072 0.756699 1.645 441,980 MASSACHUSETTS 1.985 0.532072 0.801606 1.597 3,404,664 DELAWARE 1.982 0.532072 0.755422 1.617 357,704 NEVADA 1.886 0.532072 0.772298 1.553 1,471,081 FLORIDA 1.879 0.532072 0.828770 1.524 5,196,801 KANSAS 1.874 0.532072 0.764492 1.549 956,098 ALABAMA 1.798 0.532072 0.769959 1.502 1,316,779 OHIO 1.734 0.532072 0.808173 1.451 3,837,906 OREGON 1.697 0.532072 0.784717 1.437 2,290,415 TENNESSEE 1.684 0.532072 0.775260 1.433 1,666,517 NORTH DAKOTA 1.602 0.532072 0.752099 1.391 138,507 CONNECTICUT 1.568 0.532072 0.778392 1.364 1,873,138 TEXAS 1.507 0.532072 0.836314 1.315 5,694,524 DIST. OF COLUMBIA 1.496 0.532072 0.755399 1.327 356,207 ALASKA 1.492 0.532072 0.757461 1.324 492,233 INDIANA 1.483 0.532072 0.782697 1.313 2,157,200 MISSOURI 1.427 0.532072 0.781936 1.281 2,106,933

MARYLAND 1.402 0.532072 0.778847 1.266 1,903,149 WYOMING 1.385 0.532072 0.752576 1.261 169,969 IOWA 1.367 0.532072 0.766024 1.248 1,057,208 NORTH CAROLINA 1.355 0.532072 0.800490 1.236 3,331,080 WASHINGTON 1.334 0.532072 0.796580 1.224 3,073,077 VERMONT 1.261 0.532072 0.754752 1.186 313,520 GEORGIA 1.255 0.532072 0.792498 1.179 2,803,760 MICHIGAN 1.233 0.532072 0.811963 1.165 4,087,957 NEW MEXICO 1.151 0.532072 0.760866 1.120 716,876 MINNESOTA 1.134 0.532072 0.788442 1.109 2,536,197 NEW JERSEY 1.079 0.532072 0.823255 1.077 4,832,940 CALIFORNIA 1.075 -1.000000 -1.000000 1.075 16,493,632 ARKANSAS 1.019 -1.000000 -1.000000 1.019 1,237,949 WISCONSIN 1.017 -1.000000 -1.000000 1.017 3,426,111 NEW YORK 0.953 -1.000000 -1.000000 0.953 9,187,156 KENTUCKY 0.875 -1.000000 -1.000000 0.875 1,400,699 UTAH 0.822 -1.000000 -1.000000 0.822 656,865 NEBRASKA 0.743 -1.000000 -1.000000 0.743 557,222 ILLINOIS 0.708 -1.000000 -1.000000 0.708 6,089,901 RHODE ISLAND 0.391 -1.000000 -1.000000 0.391 488,687 COLORADO 0.221 -1.000000 -1.000000 0.221 1,521,317 CALCULATION OF MPU ADJUSTMENTS

Image 9

(WEEKS CLAIMED)

TABLE 4E

STATE MPU MADJ WADJ ADJ MPU WORKLOAD

VIRGIN ISLANDS 1.308 0.220950 0.750563 1.103 184,199

CALIFORNIA 0.588 0.220950 1.000000 0.474 81,803,622

VERMONT 0.522 0.220950 0.754849 0.447 1,586,586

OREGON 0.308 0.220950 0.772896 0.267 7,491,747

IOWA 0.307 0.220950 0.770771 0.267 6,796,411

GEORGIA 0.299 0.220950 0.799217 0.259 16,104,433

ALASKA 0.263 0.220950 0.754585 0.231 1,500,205

IDAHO 0.240 0.220950 0.759120 0.211 2,984,147 DELAWARE 0.236 0.220950 0.756053 0.208 1,980,579 NEBRASKA 0.214 0.220950 0.762730 0.190 4,165,330 MONTANA 0.211 0.220950 0.756116 0.187 2,001,157 MISSISSIPPI 0.209 0.220950 0.765429 0.185 5,048,536 VIRGINIA 0.203 0.220950 0.801032 0.179 16,698,287 SOUTH CAROLINA 0.199 0.220950 0.775604 0.177 8,377,974 ILLINOIS 0.197 0.220950 0.830841 0.174 26,452,308 WEST VIRGINIA 0.197 0.220950 0.759885 0.176 3,234,601 HAWAII 0.194 0.220950 0.758105 0.173 2,652,235 WYOMING 0.186 0.220950 0.753762 0.167 1,231,093 OKLAHOMA 0.176 0.220950 0.771870 0.158 7,156,272 WASHINGTON 0.173 0.220950 0.790095 0.155 13,119,594 MICHIGAN 0.168 0.220950 0.804734 0.151 17,909,801 SOUTH DAKOTA 0.163 0.220950 0.755541 0.147 1,812,973 TENNESSEE 0.161 0.220950 0.786696 0.145 12,007,364 ARKANSAS 0.160 0.220950 0.766533 0.145 5,409,725 TEXAS 0.156 0.220950 0.898252 0.139 48,510,326 OHIO 0.155 0.220950 0.827653 0.139 25,409,343 MARYLAND 0.154 0.220950 0.785351 0.139 11,567,373 INDIANA 0.148 0.220950 0.787243 0.134 12,186,320 MISSOURI 0.146 0.220950 0.787156 0.133 12,157,950 NEW HAMPSHIRE 0.143 0.220950 0.758704 0.131 2,848,073 ARIZONA 0.123 0.220950 0.784573 0.114 11,312,879 COLORADO 0.115 0.220950 0.780494 0.107 9,978,234 FLORIDA 0.113 0.220950 0.854275 0.105 34,120,130 NEVADA 0.108 0.220950 0.766029 0.102 5,244,813 NORTH DAKOTA 0.103 0.220950 0.755410 0.097 1,770,087 KANSAS 0.098 0.220950 0.769947 0.093 6,527,085 NEW JERSEY 0.087 0.220950 0.803966 0.084 17,658,349 ALABAMA 0.086 0.220950 0.774502 0.083 8,017,390 WISCONSIN 0.085 0.220950 0.791594 0.082 13,610,183 CONNECTICUT 0.084 0.220950 0.772924 0.082 7,500,986 MINNESOTA 0.079 0.220950 0.786502 0.077 11,943,908

NORTH CAROLINA 0.078 0.220950 0.803746 0.077 17,586,472

PUERTO RICO 0.071 0.220950 0.762306 0.071 4,026,628

MAINE 0.070 -1.000000 -1.000000 0.070 3,179,184

MASSACHUSETTS 0.065 -1.000000 -1.000000 0.065 13,367,409

NEW MEXICO 0.063 -1.000000 -1.000000 0.063 3,492,457

LOUISIANA 0.059 -1.000000 -1.000000 0.059 7,869,451

UTAH 0.057 -1.000000 -1.000000 0.057 5,479,838

KENTUCKY 0.052 -1.000000 -1.000000 0.052 8,126,240

DIST. OF COLUMBIA 0.044 -1.000000 -1.000000 0.044 2,379,959

RHODE ISLAND 0.039 -1.000000 -1.000000 0.039 2,129,909

PENNSYLVANIA 0.037 -1.000000 -1.000000 0.037 24,381,229

NEW YORK 0.019 -1.000000 -1.000000 0.019 40,433,616

CALCULATION OF MPU ADJUSTMENTS

(WAGE RECORDS)

Image 10

TABLE 4F

STATE MPU MADJ WADJ ADJ MPU WORKLOAD VIRGIN ISLANDS 166.610 0.710485 0.751810 112.622 3,585 MARYLAND 141.634 0.710485 0.818837 97.364 136,333 OHIO 114.104 0.710485 0.859814 84.436 217,489 UTAH 110.075 0.710485 0.784082 85.264 67,500 WEST VIRGINIA 109.806 0.710485 0.767979 85.652 35,608 NEVADA 109.225 0.710485 0.778271 85.068 55,991 WYOMING 107.347 0.710485 0.761154 84.737 22,091 PENNSYLVANIA 104.267 0.710485 0.900217 79.496 297,508 IOWA 103.890 0.710485 0.787932 82.420 75,126 OKLAHOMA 103.555 0.710485 0.791691 82.171 82,570 DELAWARE 103.455 0.710485 0.762950 82.902 25,647 SOUTH DAKOTA 101.877 0.710485 0.762887 82.181 25,524 HAWAII 101.814 0.710485 0.765439 82.086 30,578 CONNECTICUT 100.706 0.710485 0.798705 80.749 96,462 IDAHO 100.442 0.710485 0.774040 81.247 47,611 GEORGIA 100.429 0.710485 0.853221 79.278 204,431

ALASKA 100.319 0.710485 0.758804 81.568 17,437 ARIZONA 99.429 0.710485 0.811674 79.885 122,146 MISSOURI 96.882 0.710485 0.819140 78.640 136,934 NORTH DAKOTA 96.450 0.710485 0.761272 79.731 22,325 KANSAS 96.377 0.710485 0.785048 79.176 69,414 SOUTH CAROLINA 93.554 0.710485 0.798922 77.652 96,891 ALABAMA 93.159 0.710485 0.793279 77.591 85,716 TENNESSEE 92.755 0.710485 0.806260 77.164 111,424 KENTUCKY 90.841 0.710485 0.792533 76.593 84,238 MICHIGAN 88.442 0.710485 0.851697 74.582 201,414 ILLINOIS 87.332 0.710485 0.902246 73.361 301,527 OREGON 87.179 0.710485 0.805401 74.795 109,723 WISCONSIN 85.150 0.710485 0.815955 73.780 130,625 LOUISIANA 83.026 0.710485 0.803039 73.048 105,045 MAINE 81.742 0.710485 0.771130 72.864 41,849 NEW MEXICO 81.463 0.710485 0.772696 72.720 44,949 INDIANA 79.409 0.710485 0.814777 71.379 128,292 NEW HAMPSHIRE 78.819 0.710485 0.770047 71.553 39,704 NEW YORK 78.678 0.710485 1.000000 69.342 495,131 WASHINGTON 77.594 0.710485 0.859659 70.231 217,182 VERMONT 77.230 0.710485 0.760807 70.910 21,403 DIST. OF COLUMBIA 76.084 0.710485 0.764865 70.353 29,441 MISSISSIPPI 73.947 0.710485 0.777296 69.303 54,060 NORTH CAROLINA 73.640 0.710485 0.848387 68.756 194,857 PUERTO RICO 73.431 0.710485 0.784240 69.033 67,813 ARKANSAS 71.685 0.710485 0.784676 68.258 68,677 FLORIDA 67.480 0.710485 0.980227 66.128 455,970 TEXAS 65.538 -1.000000 -1.000000 65.538 467,100 MONTANA 64.004 -1.000000 -1.000000 64.004 36,302 NEBRASKA 63.342 -1.000000 -1.000000 63.342 48,508 COLORADO 59.124 -1.000000 -1.000000 59.124 147,813 VIRGINIA 50.393 -1.000000 -1.000000 50.393 195,710 MINNESOTA 48.479 -1.000000 -1.000000 48.479 130,063 RHODE ISLAND 38.132 -1.000000 -1.000000 38.132 32,633

Print Page 107 of 193

NEW JERSEY 37.233 -1.000000 -1.000000 37.233 230,253

MASSACHUSETTS 30.292 -1.000000 -1.000000 30.292 209,620

CALIFORNIA 12.358 -1.000000 -1.000000 12.358 1,227,757

CALCULATION OF MPU ADJUSTMENTS (TAX)

Image 11

TABLE 5A

STATE MPU ADJ MPU % DECREASE

VIRGIN ISLANDS 79.652 54.674 -31.3589

DIST. OF COLUMBIA 65.250 46.274 -29.0820

PUERTO RICO 55.118 40.289 -26.9041

MICHIGAN 48.492 35.671 -26.4394

MONTANA 47.712 36.156 -24.2203

RHODE ISLAND 45.627 34.953 -23.3940

SOUTH DAKOTA 44.761 34.521 -22.8771

ARKANSAS 43.746 33.731 -22.8935

SOUTH CAROLINA 43.363 33.424 -22.9205

COLORADO 42.196 32.875 -22.0898

VIRGINIA 39.794 31.366 -21.1791

NEW MEXICO 37.159 30.089 -19.0263

IDAHO 36.526 29.689 -18.7182

NEW JERSEY 34.807 28.428 -18.3268

WYOMING 34.708 28.723 -17.2439

WEST VIRGINIA 34.165 28.381 -16.9296

ALASKA 33.531 28.011 -16.4624

CALIFORNIA 31.766 25.476 -19.8010

DELAWARE 31.258 26.726 -14.4987

OHIO 30.336 25.923 -14.5471

HAWAII 29.649 25.789 -13.0190

FLORIDA 29.536 25.385 -14.0540

UTAH 29.462 25.672 -12.8640

LOUISIANA 29.096 25.432 -12.5928

MARYLAND 28.366 24.972 -11.9650

Print Page 108 of 193

IOWA 27.869 24.723 -11.2885

GEORGIA 26.840 23.998 -10.5887

NORTH CAROLINA 26.659 23.886 -10.4017

ILLINOIS 26.648 23.878 -10.3948

ALABAMA 26.404 23.869 -9.6008

MISSISSIPPI 26.176 23.784 -9.1381

TENNESSEE 25.771 23.502 -8.8045

NEBRASKA 25.322 23.307 -7.9575

TEXAS 24.916 22.918 -8.0189

MASSACHUSETTS 24.912 23.009 -7.6389

NEW HAMPSHIRE 23.133 22.057 -4.6514

INDIANA 22.632 21.737 -3.9546

ARIZONA 22.551 21.704 -3.7559

PENNSYLVANIA 22.400 21.535 -3.8616

OKLAHOMA 22.346 21.599 -3.3429

WASHINGTON 22.245 21.513 -3.2906

OREGON 22.065 21.420 -2.9232

KENTUCKY 20.986 20.822 -0.7815

VERMONT 20.611 20.611 0.0000

CONNECTICUT 20.304 20.304 0.0000

NEVADA 20.169 20.169 0.0000

NORTH DAKOTA 20.146 20.146 0.0000

MAINE 19.340 19.340 0.0000

MINNESOTA 17.163 17.163 0.0000

KANSAS 15.609 15.609 0.0000

MISSOURI 13.167 13.167 0.0000

WISCONSIN 11.704 11.704 0.0000

NEW YORK 11.662 11.662 0.0000

PERCENT DECREASE FROM STATE MPU (INITIAL CLAIMS)

Image 12

TABLE 5B

STATE MPU ADJ MPU % DECREASE

VIRGIN ISLANDS 239.500 172.625 -27.9228

NEW YORK 110.319 83.885 -23.9614

MASSACHUSETTS 109.575 84.547 -22.8410

ARIZONA 88.377 70.108 -20.6717

CONNECTICUT 85.157 68.280 -19.8187

OREGON 82.374 66.242 -19.5838

VIRGINIA 79.204 64.122 -19.0420

DIST. OF COLUMBIA 79.096 64.592 -18.3372

NEW JERSEY 75.092 61.222 -18.4707

HAWAII 74.977 61.741 -17.6534

MONTANA 72.952 60.417 -17.1825

DELAWARE 72.546 60.168 -17.0623

PUERTO RICO 70.928 58.946 -16.8932

ILLINOIS 70.250 57.597 -18.0114

ALABAMA 66.197 55.707 -15.8466

MAINE 64.815 54.953 -15.2156

IDAHO 63.207 53.797 -14.8876

WISCONSIN 63.024 53.150 -15.6670

RHODE ISLAND 61.856 52.999 -14.3187

NEW HAMPSHIRE 61.011 52.371 -14.1614

OHIO 59.503 50.630 -14.9119

WEST VIRGINIA 57.995 50.382 -13.1270

CALIFORNIA 57.785 47.823 -17.2398

OKLAHOMA 57.311 49.802 -13.1022

MISSISSIPPI 56.891 49.560 -12.8860

NORTH CAROLINA 52.514 46.478 -11.4941

ALASKA 51.413 45.912 -10.6996

IOWA 50.624 45.380 -10.3587

WASHINGTON 50.397 44.990 -10.7288

FLORIDA 50.386 44.661 -11.3623

ARKANSAS 50.006 44.974 -10.0628

GEORGIA 47.130 42.941 -8.8882

MARYLAND 46.324 42.315 -8.6543

KANSAS 46.141 42.382 -8.1468

Print Page 110 of 193

NEVADA 44.701 41.383 -7.4227

COLORADO 43.355 40.467 -6.6613

SOUTH CAROLINA 43.056 40.324 -6.3452

VERMONT 42.719 40.159 -5.9926

INDIANA 41.753 39.411 -5.6092

KENTUCKY 41.447 39.264 -5.2670

NEW MEXICO 39.956 38.287 -4.1771

MICHIGAN 38.117 36.938 -3.0931

PENNSYLVANIA 34.957 34.945 -0.0343

SOUTH DAKOTA 34.924 34.924 0.0000

WYOMING 33.902 33.902 0.0000

TENNESSEE 33.675 33.675 0.0000

UTAH 32.373 32.373 0.0000

MISSOURI 32.218 32.218 0.0000

NEBRASKA 30.332 30.332 0.0000

MINNESOTA 28.829 28.829 0.0000

TEXAS 22.779 22.779 0.0000

LOUISIANA 21.619 21.619 0.0000

NORTH DAKOTA 11.332 11.332 0.0000

PERCENT DECREASE FROM STATE MPU (NONMONETARY DETERMINATIONS)

Image 13

TABLE 5C

STATE MPU ADJ MPU % DECREASE

NEW YORK 364.254 254.350 -30.1724

MONTANA 341.706 249.104 -27.0999

SOUTH DAKOTA 336.089 246.453 -26.6703

VERMONT 327.317 242.353 -25.9577

MAINE 303.570 230.942 -23.9246

NEVADA 298.270 228.109 -23.5226

OHIO 286.301 221.437 -22.6559

WEST VIRGINIA 281.932 221.192 -21.5442

MASSACHUSETTS 280.099 218.978 -21.8212

PUERTO RICO 278.238 219.482 -21.1172

NEW HAMPSHIRE 272.669 216.968 -20.4281

MICHIGAN 271.151 214.542 -20.8773

WISCONSIN 264.229 211.903 -19.8033

PENNSYLVANIA 259.722 206.982 -20.3063

IDAHO 251.337 207.159 -17.5772

WYOMING 250.870 207.094 -17.4497

OREGON 247.911 204.719 -17.4224

UTAH 242.077 202.516 -16.3423

CONNECTICUT 240.341 201.607 -16.1163

CALIFORNIA 235.472 188.330 -20.0202

MISSOURI 223.647 193.734 -13.3751

SOUTH CAROLINA 222.623 193.561 -13.0544

KANSAS 220.947 192.966 -12.6641

ALABAMA 216.037 190.480 -11.8299

HAWAII 213.334 189.789 -11.0367

GEORGIA 211.873 187.801 -11.3615

NORTH DAKOTA 207.588 187.174 -9.8339

COLORADO 203.587 184.909 -9.1745

MINNESOTA 201.559 184.006 -8.7086

KENTUCKY 200.511 183.547 -8.4604

TEXAS 197.847 180.722 -8.6557

ARKANSAS 195.215 181.263 -7.1470

RHODE ISLAND 193.926 180.841 -6.7474

OKLAHOMA 193.452 180.474 -6.7086

ALASKA 192.347 180.158 -6.3370

IOWA 190.994 179.347 -6.0981

NORTH CAROLINA 187.108 177.253 -5.2670

DELAWARE 185.735 177.071 -4.6647

VIRGINIA 180.120 174.356 -3.2001

MISSISSIPPI 174.774 172.001 -1.5866

NEW JERSEY 172.836 171.091 -1.0096

WASHINGTON 172.077 170.773 -0.7578

TENNESSEE 171.259 170.419 -0.4905

Print Page 112 of 193

LOUISIANA 169.744 169.744 0.0000

MARYLAND 155.520 155.520 0.0000

NEW MEXICO 152.527 152.527 0.0000

FLORIDA 152.350 152.350 0.0000

ARIZONA 150.398 150.398 0.0000

NEBRASKA 139.783 139.783 0.0000

DIST. OF COLUMBIA 132.791 132.791 0.0000

ILLINOIS 130.149 130.149 0.0000

VIRGIN ISLANDS 115.601 115.601 0.0000

INDIANA 88.531 88.531 0.0000

PERCENT DECREASE FROM STATE MPU (APPEALS)

Image 14

TABLE 5D

STATE MPU ADJ MPU % DECREASE

VIRGIN ISLANDS 5.347 3.641 -31.9057

SOUTH DAKOTA 4.944 3.396 -31.3107

NEW HAMPSHIRE 3.973 2.806 -29.3733

ARIZONA 3.325 2.396 -27.9398

MAINE 2.953 2.196 -25.6349

HAWAII 2.887 2.156 -25.3204

VIRGINIA 2.765 2.067 -25.2441

OKLAHOMA 2.708 2.045 -24.4830

MISSISSIPPI 2.651 2.012 -24.1041

IDAHO 2.564 1.962 -23.4789

PUERTO RICO 2.545 1.942 -23.6935

WEST VIRGINIA 2.540 1.949 -23.2677

PENNSYLVANIA 2.302 1.734 -24.6742

LOUISIANA 2.244 1.766 -21.3012

SOUTH CAROLINA 2.146 1.706 -20.5033

MONTANA 2.029 1.645 -18.9256

MASSACHUSETTS 1.985 1.597 -19.5466

DELAWARE 1.982 1.617 -18.4157

Print

NEVADA 1.886 1.553 -17.6564

FLORIDA 1.879 1.524 -18.8930

KANSAS 1.874 1.549 -17.3426

ALABAMA 1.798 1.502 -16.4627

OHIO 1.734 1.451 -16.3206

OREGON 1.697 1.437 -15.3212

TENNESSEE 1.684 1.433 -14.9050

NORTH DAKOTA 1.602 1.391 -13.1710

CONNECTICUT 1.568 1.364 -13.0102

TEXAS 1.507 1.315 -12.7405

DIST. OF COLUMBIA 1.496 1.327 -11.2968

ALASKA 1.492 1.324 -11.2601

INDIANA 1.483 1.313 -11.4633

MISSOURI 1.427 1.281 -10.2313

MARYLAND 1.402 1.266 -9.7004

WYOMING 1.385 1.261 -8.9531

IOWA 1.367 1.248 -8.7052

NORTH CAROLINA 1.355 1.236 -8.7823

WASHINGTON 1.334 1.224 -8.2459

VERMONT 1.261 1.186 -5.9477

GEORGIA 1.255 1.179 -6.0558

MICHIGAN 1.233 1.165 -5.5150

NEW MEXICO 1.151 1.120 -2.6933

MINNESOTA 1.134 1.109 -2.2046

NEW JERSEY 1.079 1.077 -0.1854

CALIFORNIA 1.075 1.075 0.0000

ARKANSAS 1.019 1.019 0.0000

WISCONSIN 1.017 1.017 0.0000

NEW YORK 0.953 0.953 0.0000

KENTUCKY 0.875 0.875 0.0000

UTAH 0.822 0.822 0.0000

NEBRASKA 0.743 0.743 0.0000

ILLINOIS 0.708 0.708 0.0000

RHODE ISLAND 0.391 0.391 0.0000

Print Page 114 of 193

COLORADO 0.221 0.221 0.0000

PERCENT DECREASE FROM STATE MPU (WEEKS CLAIMED)

Image 15

TABLE 5E

STATE MPU ADJ MPU % DECREASE

VIRGIN ISLANDS 1.308 1.103 -15.6728

CALIFORNIA 0.588 0.474 -19.3878

VERMONT 0.522 0.447 -14.3678

OREGON 0.308 0.267 -13.3117

IOWA 0.307 0.267 -13.0293

GEORGIA 0.299 0.259 -13.3779

ALASKA 0.263 0.231 -12.1673

IDAHO 0.240 0.211 -12.0833

DELAWARE 0.236 0.208 -11.8644

NEBRASKA 0.214 0.190 -11.2150

MONTANA 0.211 0.187 -11.3744

MISSISSIPPI 0.209 0.185 -11.4833

VIRGINIA 0.203 0.179 -11.8227

SOUTH CAROLINA 0.199 0.177 -11.0553

ILLINOIS 0.197 0.174 -11.6751

WEST VIRGINIA 0.197 0.176 -10.6599

HAWAII 0.194 0.173 -10.8247

WYOMING 0.186 0.167 -10.2151

OKLAHOMA 0.176 0.158 -10.2273

WASHINGTON 0.173 0.155 -10.4046

MICHIGAN 0.168 0.151 -10.1190

SOUTH DAKOTA 0.163 0.147 -9.8160

TENNESSEE 0.161 0.145 -9.9379

ARKANSAS 0.160 0.145 -9.3750

TEXAS 0.156 0.139 -10.8974

OHIO 0.155 0.139 -10.3226

MARYLAND 0.154 0.139 -9.7403

INDIANA 0.148 0.134 -9.4595

MISSOURI 0.146 0.133 -8.9041

NEW HAMPSHIRE 0.143 0.131 -8.3916

ARIZONA 0.123 0.114 -7.3171

COLORADO 0.115 0.107 -6.9565

FLORIDA 0.113 0.105 -7.0796

NEVADA 0.108 0.102 -5.5556

NORTH DAKOTA 0.103 0.097 -5.8252

KANSAS 0.098 0.093 -5.1020

NEW JERSEY 0.087 0.084 -3.4483

ALABAMA 0.086 0.083 -3.4884

WISCONSIN 0.085 0.082 -3.5294

CONNECTICUT 0.084 0.082 -2.3810

MINNESOTA 0.079 0.077 -2.5316

NORTH CAROLINA 0.078 0.077 -1.2821

PUERTO RICO 0.071 0.071 0.0000

MAINE 0.070 0.070 0.0000

MASSACHUSETTS 0.065 0.065 0.0000

NEW MEXICO 0.063 0.063 0.0000

LOUISIANA 0.059 0.059 0.0000

UTAH 0.057 0.057 0.0000

KENTUCKY 0.052 0.052 0.0000

DIST. OF COLUMBIA 0.044 0.044 0.0000

RHODE ISLAND 0.039 0.039 0.0000

PENNSYLVANIA 0.037 0.037 0.0000

NEW YORK 0.019 0.019 0.0000

PERCENT DECREASE FROM STATE MPU (WAGE RECORDS)

Image 16

TABLE 5F

STATE MPU ADJ MPU % DECREASE

VIRGIN ISLANDS 166.610 112.622 -32.4038

MARYLAND 141.634 97.364 -31.2566

Print Page 116 of 193

OHIO 114.104 84.436 -26.0008

UTAH 110.075 85.264 -22.5401

WEST VIRGINIA 109.806 85.652 -21.9970

NEVADA 109.225 85.068 -22.1167

WYOMING 107.347 84.737 -21.0625

PENNSYLVANIA 104.267 79.496 -23.7573

IOWA 103.890 82.420 -20.6661

OKLAHOMA 103.555 82.171 -20.6499

DELAWARE 103.455 82.902 -19.8666

SOUTH DAKOTA 101.877 82.181 -19.3331

HAWAII 101.814 82.086 -19.3765

CONNECTICUT 100.706 80.749 -19.8171

IDAHO 100.442 81.247 -19.1105

GEORGIA 100.429 79.278 -21.0606

ALASKA 100.319 81.568 -18.6914

ARIZONA 99.429 79.885 -19.6562

MISSOURI 96.882 78.640 -18.8291

NORTH DAKOTA 96.450 79.731 -17.3344

KANSAS 96.377 79.176 -17.8476

SOUTH CAROLINA 93.554 77.652 -16.9977

ALABAMA 93.159 77.591 -16.7112

TENNESSEE 92.755 77.164 -16.8088

KENTUCKY 90.841 76.593 -15.6845

MICHIGAN 88.442 74.582 -15.6713

ILLINOIS 87.332 73.361 -15.9976

OREGON 87.179 74.795 -14.2053

WISCONSIN 85.150 73.780 -13.3529

LOUISIANA 83.026 73.048 -12.0179

MAINE 81.742 72.864 -10.8610

NEW MEXICO 81.463 72.720 -10.7325

INDIANA 79.409 71.379 -10.1122

NEW HAMPSHIRE 78.819 71.553 -9.2186

NEW YORK 78.678 69.342 -11.8661

WASHINGTON 77.594 70.231 -9.4891

VERMONT 77.230 70.910 -8.1833

DIST. OF COLUMBIA 76.084 70.353 -7.5325

MISSISSIPPI 73.947 69.303 -6.2802

NORTH CAROLINA 73.640 68.756 -6.6323

PUERTO RICO 73.431 69.033 -5.9893

ARKANSAS 71.685 68.258 -4.7806

FLORIDA 67.480 66.128 -2.0036

TEXAS 65.538 65.538 0.0000

MONTANA 64.004 64.004 0.0000

NEBRASKA 63.342 63.342 0.0000

COLORADO 59.124 59.124 0.0000

VIRGINIA 50.393 50.393 0.0000

MINNESOTA 48.479 48.479 0.0000

RHODE ISLAND 38.132 38.132 0.0000

NEW JERSEY 37.233 37.233 0.0000

MASSACHUSETTS 30.292 30.292 0.0000

CALIFORNIA 12.358 12.358 0.0000

PERCENT DECREASE FROM STATE MPU (TAX)

Image 17

TABLE 6A

RATIO OF SUPPORT TO

OPERATING OPERATING FY 2013 PRIOR YEAR STATE POSITIONS POSITIONS SUPPORT SUPPORT

CONNECTICUT 244.0 0.08975 21.9 21.5

MAINE 97.8 0.20757 20.3 17.7

MASSACHUSETTS 371.4 0.32229 119.7 112.3

NEW HAMPSHIRE 99.6 0.20683 20.6 18.9

NEW JERSEY 546.6 0.36590 200.0 220.1

NEW YORK 957.3 0.30837 295.2 314.7

PUERTO RICO 283.1 0.18085 51.2 74.5

RHODE ISLAND 69.3 0.27128 18.8 27.6

VERMONT 55.6 0.18705 10.4 8.5

VIRGIN ISLANDS 11.6 1.15517 13.4 5.6

REGION 1 2,736.3 0.28195 771.5 821.4

DELAWARE 82.8 0.23188 19.2 16.2

DIST. OF COLUMBIA 63.2 0.24842 15.7 16.6

MARYLAND 411.9 0.23622 97.3 105.0

PENNSYLVANIA 957.6 0.20186 193.3 198.1

VIRGINIA 359.6 0.22191 79.8 86.7

WEST VIRGINIA 113.6 0.21831 24.8 25.5

REGION 2 1,988.7 0.21627 430.1 448.1

ALABAMA 270.8 0.09786 26.5 27.3

FLORIDA 925.8 0.04796 44.4 32.4

GEORGIA 518.2 0.22540 116.8 121.4

KENTUCKY 214.5 0.19580 42.0 47.0

MISSISSIPPI 177.1 0.12931 22.9 25.0

NORTH CAROLINA 488.6 0.13508 66.0 69.8

SOUTH CAROLINA 306.9 0.16944 52.0 54.7

TENNESSEE 307.8 0.21053 64.8 58.9

REGION 3 3,209.7 0.13565 435.4 436.5

ARKANSAS 194.2 0.25695 49.9 50.8

COLORADO 253.6 0.09740 24.7 26.6

LOUISIANA 191.9 0.29703 57.0 51.8

MONTANA 84.1 0.16409 13.8 15.1

NEW MEXICO 104.0 0.17404 18.1 20.1

NORTH DAKOTA 38.3 0.60052 23.0 26.8

OKLAHOMA 184.3 0.12751 23.5 33.2

SOUTH DAKOTA 59.1 0.11506 6.8 6.6

TEXAS 1,052.3 0.21097 222.0 180.3

UTAH 174.8 0.33124 57.9 34.8

WYOMING 50.5 0.32673 16.5 17.0

REGION 4 2,387.1 0.21499 513.2 463.1

ILLINOIS 846.5 0.13904 117.7 161.9

INDIANA 317.8 0.20925 66.5 81.9

IOWA 239.5 0.09937 23.8 23.3

KANSAS 178.0 0.26067 46.4 39.9